## Appendix I

Calculation of Thin Mercury Film (TMF) Thickness on Glassy Carbon Electrode (Metrohm, 6.1204.1204)

Disk diameter:  $2.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ 

Area disk =  $\pi r^2 = 3.1416 \text{ mm}^2$ 

(10 mm = 1 cm.)

 $= 0.031416 \text{ cm}^2$ 

Average deposition current =  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  A

Deposition time = 300 s

Amount of coulombs applied =  $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ A} * 300 \text{ s} = 0.03 \text{ C}$ 

Calculated Amount of Mercury (Hg) deposited =  $0.03 \text{ C} \div (9.6485 \text{ x } 10^4 \text{ C/mole} \div 2)$ 

since: 1 Faraday =  $9.6485 \times 10^4 \text{ C/mole}$ 

and 2 equivalents/mole Hg

 $= 1.55 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mole}$ 

=  $1.55 \times 10^{-7}$  mole \*  $10^6$  µmole/mole

= 0.155 μmole \* 200.59 μg/μmole

= 31.09 μg

Volume of Hg deposited =  $3.109 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g/}13.54 \text{ g/cm}^3$   $(\rho_{\text{Hg,25}}^{\circ}\text{c} = 13.54 \text{ g/cm}^3)$  =  $2.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3$ 

TMF Thickness =  $2.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 / 0.031416 \text{ cm}^2$ 

 $= 7.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$ 

 $= 7.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$ 

 $= 0.73 \mu m$ 

Appendix II

### Sample Calculation for Method Detection Limit

Xi	Yi	Y^i o	Y <sub>i</sub> - Y^ <sub>i</sub>	$(Y_i - Y^{})^2$
0	0.4204	0.3618	5.86 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	$3.4 \times 10^{-3}$
2	0.8502	0.8407	9.5 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	$0.1 \times 10^{-3}$
6	1.754	1.798	4.4 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.9 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
10	2.681	2.756	$7.5 \times 10^{-2}$	5.6 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
14	3.696	3.714	1.79 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	$0.3 \times 10^{-3}$
18	4.741	4.672	6.94 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.8 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>

where :  $X_i = \text{amount of Pb added (}\mu g/l)$ 

 $Y_i = current signal (\mu A)$ 

From linear regression of Xi and Yi values:

$$r = 0.9994$$

$$A = 0.3618 \Rightarrow Y_B$$

$$b = 0.2394$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 y = 0.2394 (x) + 0.3618 Equation 1

$$S_{y/x} = \{ \sum (Y_i - Y_i)^2 / n - 2 \}^{1/2} = S_B$$

$$S_{y/x} = \{0.0161/(6-2)\}^{1/2}$$

= 0.0634

Limit of Detection:  $Y - Y_B = 3S_B$ 

$$Y = 3(0.0634) + 0.3618$$

$$Y = 0.5522 \Rightarrow x = 0.794 \mu g/l = 0.08 \mu g/dl$$
 from Equation 1

## Appendix III

#### **Odds Ratio Calculation**

Odds ratios were calculated using the Epi Info 6.0 system. Comparison of two potential risk factors with respect to their influence on the occurrence of PbB levels  $\geq 10 \ \mu g/dl$  makes use of a 2 x 2 table such as the following:

PbMask100

Count	PbB ≥10 μg/dl	PbB <10	Row
		μg/dl	Total
Type of Mask			
1	37 (A)	11 <b>(C)</b>	48 (66.7 %)
2	16 ( <b>B</b> )	3 ( <b>D</b> )	24 (33.3 %)
Column Total	53 (73.6 %)	19 (26.4 %)	72 (100 %)

The odds of exposure among the "diseased" (A/C) is divided by the odds of the exposure among the "non-diseased" (B/D) to form the odds ratio, AD/BC. For the above example;

Note:

Mask 1 refers to the use of cotton masks

Mask 2 refers to the use of filter masks

Number of Registered Motor Vehicles in Chiang Mai City (1984 - 1996)

Appendix IV

Year	4-wheeled Motor Vehicles	Motorcycles	Total
	(cars, pick-ups, trucks, vans, minibuses)		
1984	23,960	112,479	136,439
1985	27,023	101,468	128,491
1988	48,291	207,300	255,591
1989	52,085	237,765	289,850
1990	63,823	236,486	300,309
1995	119,830	328,969	448,799
1996	132,775	365,309	498,084

# Appendix V

## Use of Lead as Gasoline Additive in Thailand

Year	Trend in reductions of Pb additive in gasoline
1984	Pb content in regular gasoline reduced from 0.84 g/l to 0.45 g/l
1989	Pb content in gasoline reduced from 0.45 g/l to 0.40 g/l
1991	Unleaded gasoline first introduced into the market
1992	Pb in regular and premium gasoline further reduced from 0.40 g/l to 0.15 g/l
1996	Total use of unleaded gasoline imposed nationwide

## Appendix VI

#### ---- ONEWAY -/---

#### Variable PbB

By Variable MTRAF96 (refers to # hours on road/day)

#### Analysis of Variance

Source	D. F.	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	F Prob.
Between	2	9477.0357	4738.5179	2.3106	.1055
Groups					
Within Groups	84	172265.3091	2050.7775		
Total	86	181742.3448			
Group C	ount Mean	Standard	Standard Error	95 Pct	Conf Int for Mean
		Deviation			
Grp 0 20	79.050	0 41.4722	9.2735	59.6404	TO 98.4596
Grp 1 12	2 106.75	51.9827	15.0061	73.7218	TO 139.7782
Grp 2 55	75.872	45.1052	6.0820	63.6791	TO 88.0664
Total 87	80.862	45.9705	4.9286	71.0644	TO 90.6597
GROUP M	NIMUM )	MAXIMUM			
Grp 0 17	.0000	178.0000			
Grp 1 35	.0000	169.0000			
Grp 2 11	.0000	219.0000			
TOTAL 11	0000 2	219.0000			
Levene Test for	Homogeneity o	of Variances			
Statistic	dfl d	df2 2-tail Sig.	$\bigcirc$		
1.2936	2 8	.280			

---- ONEWAY ----

Variable PbB

By Variable MTRAF96 (refers to # hours on road/day)

Multiple Range Tests: LSD test with significance level .05

The difference between two means is significant if

MEAN (J) - MEAN (I)  $\geq$  32.0217 \* RANGE \* SQRT (1/N(I) + 1/N (J))

with the following value(s) for RANGE: 2.81

### (\*) Indicates significant differences which are shown in the following triangle

G	G	(
r	r	r
p	p	p
2	0	1

 Mean
 MTRAF96

 75.8727
 Grp 2

 79.0500
 Grp 0

 106.7500
 Grp 1

## Appendix VII

----- ONEWAY - - - -

#### Variable PbB

By Variable MTRAF96 (refers to # hours on road/day)

### Analysis of Variance

Source	D. F.	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	F Prob.
Between	1	9391.7603	9391.7603	4.3734	.0404
Groups					
Within	65	139586.3591	2147.4824		
Groups					
Total	66	148978,1194			

Group	Count	Mean	Standard	Standard	95 Pct	Conf Int	for Mean
			Deviation	Error			
Grp 1	12	106.7500	51.9827	15.0061	73.7218	TO	139.7782
Grp.2	55	75.8727	45.1052	6.0820	63.6791	TO	88.0664
Total	67	81.4030	47,5105	5.8043	69.8143	то	92,9917

GROUP	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM		
Grp I	35.0000	169.0000		
Grp 2	11.0000	219.0000		
TOTAL	11.0000	219.0000		

### Levene Test for Homogeneity of Variances

Statistic	df1	df2	2-tail Sig.
1.9664	1	65	.166

### Note:

Grp  $1 \Rightarrow 1-2 \text{ hour(s)}$  traffic work/day

Grp  $2 \Rightarrow \ge 3$  hours traffic work/day

## Appendix VIII

---- ONEWAY -- --

Variable PbB

By Variable MASKC

#### Analysis of Variance

2				, a	77 D -41 6	2	F D1-
Source	D. F	. Su	m of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio		F Prob.
Betwee	n 1	110	091.3781	11091.3781	5.2285		.0255
Groups							
$W\ i\ t\ h\ i$	n 65	137	7886.7413	2121.3345			
Groups							
Total	66	14	8978.1194				
Group	Count	Mean	Standard	Standard	95 Pct	Conf Int	for Mean
			Deviation	Error			
Grp 1	43	71.7907	39.6836	6.0517	59.5779	TO	84.0035
Grp 2	24	98.6250	55.8514	11.4006	75.0410	TO	122.2090
Total	67	81.4030	47.5105	5.8043	69.8143	TO	92.9917
GROUP	MINIM	лм мах	KIMUM				
Grp 1	11.0000	169.0	0000				
Grp 2	13.0000	219.0	0000				

Levene Test for Homogeneity of Variances

11.0000

Statistic df1 df2 2-tail Sig. 3.5007 1 65 .066

Note:

TOTAL

Grp 1 refers to policemen who use filter masks

Grp 2 refers to policemen who use cotton masks

219.0000

### **CURRICULUM VITAE**

**NAME** 

: Maria Lourdes Nanette Deza Catalon

**BIRTH DATE** 

: 04 February 1969

**NATIONALITY** 

: Filipino

**EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND** 

B. S. Chemistry

: University of the Philippines -- Diliman

Quezon City, Philippines

1986 - 1990

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Chemist

: SGS (Societé Generale de Surveillance) Far East, Ltd.

Makati, Metro Manila, Philippines

1994 - 1995

University Research Associate

: Natural Sciences Research Institute and

: Natural Products Research Laboratory

University of the Philippines -- Diliman

Quezon City, Philippines

1991 - 1993