TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	
Abstract	v
Table of contents	x
List of tables	xII
Chapter 1 Introduction	
Background and signi	ficance
of research proble	em
Objectives of the st	udy
Research questions	, O
Scope of the study	
Definition of terms	ε
Chapter 2 Literature review and	conceptual framework
Literature review .	
Conceptual framework	28
Chapter 3 Methodology	
Research design	
Population and sample	e 30
Instrumentation	31
Data collection proce	edure 33
Analysis of Data .	

Chapter 4	Findings and discussion	
	Findings	35
	Discussion	63
Chapter 5	Conclusion and recommendations	
	Conclusions	77
	Implication of the findings	79
	Limitation of the study	80
	Recommendations	80
References		82
Appendices		
	Appendix A Demographic Data Form	90
	Appendix B Needs of Hepatectomy Patient with	
	Pain and Nurse Responses	
	Questionnaire	92
	Appendix C Verbal explanation	95
	Appendix D List of validators	97
Curriculum	Vitae	98

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e S	Page
1	Frequency, percentage of age, gender, marital	
	status, educational level, of hepatectomy	
	patient with pain	36
2	Frequency, percentage of occupation, family	
	income, and way of payment of hepatectomy	
	patient with pain	38
3	Frequency, percentage of treatment site, surgical	
	incision, pain medication administration, and	
	number of time received pain medication of	
	hepatectomy patient	40
4	Mean, standard deviation and rank of needs of	
	hepatectomy patient with pain as perceived	
	by the patients	42
5	Mean, standard deviation and rank of nurse	
	responses to needs of hepatectomy patient	
	with pain as perceived by the patients	45

Table	e ·	Page
6	Difference of mean rank and sum of ranks between	
	overall needs of hepatectomy patient with pain	
	and overall nurse responses as perceived by the	
	patients	48
7	Difference of mean rank and sum of ranks between ea	.ch
	statement of needs of hepatectomy patient with pain	
	and nurse responses as perceived by the patients	5 _. 0
8	Difference of rank between each statement of needs	
	of hepatectomy patient with pain and nurse response	s
	as perceived by the patients	59