TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	iv
ABSTRACT (THAI)	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	x
ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Lead	1
1.1.1 Properties of lead	2
1.1.2 Toxicity of lead	3
1.2 Voltammetry	6
1.2.1 Working electrodes	8
1.3 Adsorptive stripping voltammetry	10
1.3.1 Principles–operational parameters	11
1.3.2 Applications	15
1.4 Pulse voltammetry	16
1.5 Chemically Modified Electrode	19
1.6 Bioaccumulation	22
1.7 *Pennisetum setosum*	24
1.8 Research Aims	25
CHAPTER 2 EXPERIMENTAL	26
2.1 Apparatus and Instruments	26
2.2 Chemicals	26
2.3 Preparation of Solutions	28
2.4 Preparation of treated – *Pennisetum*	29

	Page
2.5 Electrode preparation	29
2.6 Optimization of system	30
2.7 Analytical characteristic of the method	35
CHAPTER 3 RESULTS	36
3.1 Preliminary Studies of Bioaccumulation of treated *Pennisetum*	36
3.2 Optimization of the System	41
3.2.1 Effect of pH	41
3.2.2 Effect of accumulation time	43
3.3.3 Effect of ionic strength	45
3.3.4 Effect of paste composition	46
3.3.5 Effect of modulation amplitude	48
3.3.6 Effect of scan rate	49
3.3 Analytical characteristics of the method	51
3.3.1 Linear Range	51
3.3.2 Detection limit	53
3.3.3 Calibration Curve	54
3.3.4 Reproducibility of the system	56
3.3.5 Repeatability of the system	57
3.3.6 Effect of Interference	57
3.4 Determination of Lead in Mineral Water Samples	59
CHAPTER 4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	60
REFERNCES	65
APPENDIXES	72
CURRICULUM VITAE	79

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Physical properties of lead	2
1.2	Symptoms of inorganic and organic lead poisoning	4
1.3	Adsorptive stripping measurements of organic compounds	15
1.4	Adsorptive stripping of metal ions via the adsorption of metal complexes	16
3.1	Apparent lead binding capacity for treated – *Pennisetum*	36
3.2	Effect of varying pH on voltammetric response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (15% w/w) carbon paste electrode	41
3.3	Effect of accumulation times on voltammetric response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (15% w/w) carbon paste electrode	43
3.4	Effect of ionic strength on voltammetric response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (15% w/w) carbon paste electrode	45
3.5	Effect of paste composition on voltammetric response	47
3.6	Effect of modulation amplitude on voltammetric response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (10 % w/w) carbon paste electrode	48
3.7	Effect of scan rate on voltammetric response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (10 % w/w) carbon paste electrode	50
3.8	Optimum condition for lead(II) determination	52
3.9	Study of linear range	52
3.10	Peak currents obtained from low concentrations of lead(II) determination	54
3.11	Calibration curve obtained from untreated and treated–*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrodes	55
3.12	Reproducibility of replicate determination of lead(II)	56
3.13	Repeatability of replicate determination of lead(II)	57
3.14	Effect of possible interferences	58

Table **Page**

3.15 Comparison the data obtained between the proposed voltammetric method and atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS) for determination of non spike Pb(II) and spike 0.05 ppm Pb(II) in mineral water samples 59

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Chiang Mai University

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1.1	Schematic experimental arrangement for controlled potential experiments; Wk is the working electrode , Ctr is the counter electrode , Ref is the reference electrode	7
1.2	Normal pulse polarography, (a) sampling scheme, (b) current sampled	17
1.3	NPP, I_F and I_C vs. pulse-time course	18
1.4	Sampling scheme of differential double-pulse voltammetry	19
2.1	Electrochemical analyzer set (A) PGSTAT 10 (B) Methrom stand for voltammetric	27
2.2	Three electrode system used for analysis (A) Carbon paste electrode as working electrode (B) saturated calomel electrode as reference electrode (C) Platinum wire electrode as auxiliary electrode	27
3.1	Cyclic voltammogram for 100 mg/l. lead(II) following 3, 6 and 9 min stirring at the treated-*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrode respectively; Scan rate, 10 mV/sec. Supporting electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0 : A= Carbon paste electrode; B = treated-*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrode	37
3.2	Scanning electron micrographs of the unmodified carbon paste electrode (A) and treated-*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrode (B)	39
3.3	Voltammetric responses before (A) and after (B) electrode regeneration in the determination of lead(II) by differential pulse voltammetry. Support electrolyte, 0.6 M acetate buffer; scan rate, 5 mV/s; modulation amplitude, 40 mV	40
3.4	Effect of varying the pH of acetate buffer for the detection of lead(II) at treated-*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrode following accumulation of 1 ppm aqueous solution of lead(II) for 2 min. Detection conditions: electrolyte, 0.6 M acetate buffer; scan rate, 5 mV/s; pulse amplitude, 40 mV	42

Figure		Page
3.5	Effect of varying the accumulation time on voltammetric response. Lead (II) concentration, (A) 1.00 ppm, (B) 0.10 ppm, (C) 0.05 ppm; electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0 ; scan rate, 5 mV/s; pulse amplitude, 40 mV	44
3.6	Effect of ionic strength on the peak current. Supporting electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0; lead(II) concentration, 0.05 ppm; preconcentration time, 5 min; scan rate, 5 mV/s; pulse amplitude, 40 mV	46
3.7	Effect of paste composition on the peak current. Supporting electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0; ionic strength, 0.6; lead(II) concentration, 0.05 ppm; preconcentration time, 5 min; scan rate, 5 mV/s; pulse amplitude, 40 mV	47
3.8	Effect of modulation amplitude on the response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (10% w/w) carbon paste electrode. Supporting electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0; ionic strength, 0.6; lead(II) concentration, 0.05 ppm; preconcentration time, 5 min; scan rate, 5 mV/s	49
3.9	Effect of scan rate on the response of treated-*Pennisetum* modified (10% w/w) carbon paste electrode. Supporting electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0; ionic strength, 0.6; lead(II) concentration, 0.05 ppm; preconcentration time, 5 min; moduration amplitude 120 mV	50
3.10	Differential pulse voltammograms for 0.05 ppm lead(II) following different scan rates. Supporting electrolyte, acetate buffer pH 5.0; ionic strength, 0.6; preconcentration time, 5 min; moduration amplitude, 120 mV	51
3.11	Relationship between peak current (μA) and lead(II) concentration (ppm)	53
3.12	The calibration curves of lead(II) determination, using treated-*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrode (A) and untreated-*Pennisetum* modified carbon paste electrode (B)	55

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

AAS	atomic absorption spectrophotometry
ASV	anodic stripping voltammetry
CV	cyclic voltammetry
°C	degree Celsius
DPASV	differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry
$E_{1/2}$	half wave potential
g	gram
i	current
i_d	diffusion current
M	molar
mg	milligram
ml	milliliter
mV	millivolt
min	minute
ppm	part per million
RSD	relative standard deviation
μA	microampere
sec	second
VS	versus
SD	standard deviation
w/w	weight by weight

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Chiang Mai University