

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract (Thai)	vi
Abstract (English)	viii
Table of contents	x
List of tables	xiii
List of Illustrations	xv
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Background and significance of research problem	1
Objective of the study	8
Hypothesis	8
Scope of the study	8
Definition of terms	9
Chapter 2 Literature review	12
Stages of cancer survivorship	12
Problematic consequence of breast cancer survivors	14
Quality of life	22
Self-care	32
Intervention based on Orem’s Self-Care Deficit Theory	40
of Nursing	
Conceptual framework	52
Chapter 3 Methodology	55
Research design	55
Population and sample	56
Instruments	59
Instrument for data collection	59
Instrument for intervention	66

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
Chapter 3 Methodology (continued)	
Preparation of research assistant	75
Protection of human rights	75
Procedure of data collection	76
Data analysis	82
Chapter 4 Results and discussion	86
Part I: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the of the subjects	86
Part II: Testing hypothesis I	94
Part III: Testing hypothesis II	102
Part IV: Intervention feedback from breast cancer survivors	106
Discussion	113
Chapter 5 Conclusion and recommendations	125
Finding and conclusion	125
Implication to nursing	128
Recommendations	130
Limitation of the study	131
References	133
Appendices	154
Appendix A The Demographic Data Tool (DDT)	155
Appendix B The Self-Care Behavior Log (SCB Log)	156
Appendix C The Quality of Life Breast Cancer (QOL-BC)	157
Appendix D Issues of discussion in a self-help group and Examples of subjects' situation	158
Appendix E Health problems and concerns while providing telephone intervention	160
Appendix F Health problem and concern assessment	161
Appendix G Nursing intervention record	162

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
Appendices (continued)	
Appendix H A Package of document	163
Appendix I Confirmation of similarity of the two groups	173
Appendix J Depiction of difference on quality of life between the experimental and the control groups and Comparison of the mean difference on quality of life	184
Appendix K List of material validators for Breast Cancer Survivors	189
Appendix L List of experts for content validity of a self-help group plan	190
Appendix M List of validators for back translation of SCB Log	191
Appendix N Ethics Committee Performa	192
Curriculum vitae	195

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	The most common instrument measuring quality of life in breast cancer patients	24
2	The schedule of interventions and time of evaluations	81
3	Demographic characteristics by group	89
4	Clinical characteristics by group	92
5	Baseline and posttest means and standard deviation for self-care behaviors by group	95
6	Comparison of self-care behavior in the experimental group by time	96
7	Comparison of mean change of self-care behavior by group	98
8	Self-care action for relief fear of recurrence	101
9	Baseline and posttest means and standard deviation for quality of life by group	103
10	Comparison of the mean change of self-care behavior by group	104
11	Comparison of the quality of life in each item by group immediately post-intervention	105
12	Comparison of the quality of life in each item by group at four weeks post intervention	106

ลิขสิทธิ์ในหนังสือสงวนลิขสิทธิ์โดยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table		Page
I1	Comparison the variable of nominal and ordinal scale of the two groups (self-care analysis set)	175
I2	Comparison of the continuous variable with normal distribution between two groups (self-care analysis set)	177
I3	Comparison of the continuous variables with not normal distribution between two groups (self-care analysis set)	178
I4	Comparison between the variables of nominal and ordinal scale of the two groups (quality of life analysis set)	180
I5	Comparison of the continuous variables with normal distribution between two groups (quality of life analysis set)	181
I6	Comparison of the continuous variables with not normal distribution by group (quality of life analysis set)	182
I7	Comparison of the quality of life in each item by group at baseline	183
J1	Post hoc comparison of quality of life by group	188

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Modification of Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory	34
2	Theoretical framework	54
3	Interaction effect between group and time on the self-care behavior. Higher score indicated more performance self-care behaviors	97
4	Comparison of the mean score of the severity and distress level of fear of recurrence on the basis of time	99
5	Comparison mean score of total quality of life between two groups	184
6	Comparison mean score of physiological well-being dimension between two groups	185
7	Comparison mean score of psychological well-being dimension between two groups	186
8	Comparison mean score of social well-being dimension between two groups	187
9	Comparison mean score of spiritual well-being dimension between two groups	187

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved