

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract (Thai)</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Abstract (English)</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Illustrations</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Background and significance of research problem	1
Objective of the study	8
Hypothesis	8
Scope of the study	8
Definition of terms	9
<b>Chapter 2 Literature review</b>	<b>12</b>
Stages of cancer survivorship	12
Problematic consequence of breast cancer survivors	14
Quality of life	22
Self-care	32
Intervention based on Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory	40
of Nursing	
Conceptual framework	52
<b>Chapter 3 Methodology</b>	<b>55</b>
Research design	55
Population and sample	56
Instruments	59
Instrument for data collection	59
Instrument for intervention	66

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
<b>Chapter 3 Methodology (continued)</b>	
Preparation of research assistant	75
Protection of human rights	75
Procedure of data collection	76
Data analysis	82
<b>Chapter 4 Results and discussion</b>	<b>86</b>
Part I: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the of the subjects	86
Part II: Testing hypothesis I	94
Part III: Testing hypothesis II	102
Part IV: Intervention feedback from breast cancer survivors	106
Discussion	113
<b>Chapter 5 Conclusion and recommendations</b>	<b>125</b>
Finding and conclusion	125
Implication to nursing	128
Recommendations	130
Limitation of the study	131
<b>References</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Appendix A</b> The Demographic Data Tool (DDT)	155
<b>Appendix B</b> The Self-Care Behavior Log (SCB Log)	156
<b>Appendix C</b> The Quality of Life Breast Cancer (QOL-BC)	157
<b>Appendix D</b> Issues of discussion in a self-help group and Examples of subjects' situation	158
<b>Appendix E</b> Health problems and concerns while providing telephone intervention	160
<b>Appendix F</b> Health problem and concern assessment	161
<b>Appendix G</b> Nursing intervention record	162

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
<b>Appendices (continued)</b>	
<b>Appendix H</b> A Package of document	163
<b>Appendix I</b> Confirmation of similarity of the two groups	173
<b>Appendix J</b> Depiction of difference on quality of life between the experimental and the control groups and Comparison of the mean difference on quality of life	184
<b>Appendix K</b> List of material validators for Breast Cancer Survivors	189
<b>Appendix L</b> List of experts for content validity of a self-help group plan	190
<b>Appendix M</b> List of validators for back translation of SCB Log	191
<b>Appendix N</b> Ethics Committee Performa	192
<b>Curriculum vitae</b>	195

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	The most common instrument measuring quality of life in breast cancer patients	24
2	The schedule of interventions and time of evaluations	81
3	Demographic characteristics by group	89
4	Clinical characteristics by group	92
5	Baseline and posttest means and standard deviation for self-care behaviors by group	95
6	Comparison of self-care behavior in the experimental group by time	96
7	Comparison of mean change of self-care behavior by group	98
8	Self-care action for relief fear of recurrence	101
9	Baseline and posttest means and standard deviation for quality of life by group	103
10	Comparison of the mean change of self-care behavior by group	104
11	Comparison of the quality of life in each item by group immediately post-intervention	105
12	Comparison of the quality of life in each item by group at four weeks post intervention	106

ลิขสิทธิ์สงวนลิขสิทธิ์โดยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved

## LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table		Page
I1	Comparison the variable of nominal and ordinal scale of the two groups (self-care analysis set)	175
I2	Comparison of the continuous variable with normal distribution between two groups (self-care analysis set)	177
I3	Comparison of the continuous variables with not normal distribution between two groups (self-care analysis set)	178
I4	Comparison between the variables of nominal and ordinal scale of the two groups (quality of life analysis set)	180
I5	Comparison of the continuous variables with normal distribution between two groups (quality of life analysis set)	181
I6	Comparison of the continuous variables with not normal distribution by group (quality of life analysis set)	182
I7	Comparison of the quality of life in each item by group at baseline	183
J1	Post hoc comparison of quality of life by group	188

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Modification of Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory	34
2	Theoretical framework	54
3	Interaction effect between group and time on the self-care behavior. Higher score indicated more performance self-care behaviors	97
4	Comparison of the mean score of the severity and distress level of fear of recurrence on the basis of time	99
5	Comparison mean score of total quality of life between two groups	184
6	Comparison mean score of physiological well-being dimension between two groups	185
7	Comparison mean score of psychological well-being dimension between two groups	186
8	Comparison mean score of social well-being dimension between two groups	187
9	Comparison mean score of spiritual well-being dimension between two groups	187

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
 Copyright © by Chiang Mai University  
 All rights reserved