## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT ENGLISH	v
ABSTRACT THAI	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	XV
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	
	_
Background and rationale	1
Objectives	6
Definitions	6
II LITERATURE REVIEW	
Concepts of advertising	10
Drug advertisement regulation	15
Consumer information processing	18
Concepts of consumer involvement	<b>e</b> <sub>23</sub> <b>0</b>
Concepts of recall and recognition	24
Concepts of risk perception	27

iii

	Page
Research related to warning	30
III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
Experiment 1	36
Experiment 2	48
IV RESULTS	
Experiment 1	56
Experiment 2	77
V DISCUSSION	
Experiment 1	102
Experiment 2	109
Covariable: involvement	113
Limitation of the study	115
VI CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	116
REFERENCES	121
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A	133
APPENDIX B	137
APPENDIX C hts hese	
APPENDIX D	151
CURRICULUM VITAE	162

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1. Number (percentage) of participants by their characteristics under	
individual warning conditions for Paranol	58
4.2. Number (percentage) of participants by their characteristics under	
individual warning conditions for Parachlor	59
4.3. Mean of clarity in high vs. low warning conspicuousness in Paranol	
and Parachlor drug advertisements	60
4.4. Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of	
warning recall by warning conspicuousness and mode of transmission	65
4.5. Analysis of covariance of warning recall by warning conspicuousness	
and mode of warning transmission, Paranol drug advertisement	66
4.6. Analysis of covariance of warning recall by warning conspicuousness	
and mode of warning transmission, Parachlor drug advertisement	66
4.7. Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of	
warning recognition by warning conspicuousness and mode of	
transmission g h t s r e s e r v e	070

Table

4.8. Analysis of covariance of warning recognition by warning	
conspicuousness and mode of warning transmission, Paranol drug	
advertisement	71
4.9. Analysis of covariance of warning recognition by warning	
conspicuousness and mode of warning transmission, Parachlor drug	
advertisement	71
4.10. Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of risk	
perception by warning conspicuousness and mode of transmission	74
4.11. Analysis of covariance of risk perception by warning conspicuousness	
and mode of warning transmission, Paranol drug advertisement	75
4.12. Analysis of covariance of risk perception by warning conspicuousness	
and mode of warning transmission, Parachlor drug advertisement	75
4.13. Number (percentage) of participants by their characteristics under	
individual warning conditions for Paranol	79
4.14. Number (percentage) of participants by their characteristics under	
individual warning conditions for Parachlor	80
4.15. Mean of clarity in high vs. low warning conspicuousness in <i>Paranol</i>	
and Parachlors hts heserve	C <sub>81</sub>
	<ul> <li>conspicuousness and mode of warning transmission, <i>Paranol</i> drug advertisement</li> <li>4.9. Analysis of covariance of warning recognition by warning conspicuousness and mode of warning transmission, <i>Parachlor</i> drug advertisement</li> <li>4.10. Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of risk perception by warning conspicuousness and mode of transmission</li> <li>4.11. Analysis of covariance of risk perception by warning conspicuousness and mode of warning transmission, <i>Paranol</i> drug advertisement</li> <li>4.12. Analysis of covariance of risk perception by warning conspicuousness and mode of warning transmission, <i>Parachlor</i> drug advertisement</li> <li>4.13. Number (percentage) of participants by their characteristics under individual warning conditions for <i>Parachlor</i></li> <li>4.14. Number (percentage) of participants by their characteristics under individual warning conditions for <i>Parachlor</i></li> <li>4.15. Mean of clarity in high vs. low warning conspicuousness in <i>Paranol</i></li> </ul>

Table

4.16.	Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of	
	warning recall by warning conspicuousness, warning specificity, and	
	number of warning statement	86
4.17.	Analysis of covariance of warning recall by warning conspicuousness,	
	warning specificity, and number of warning statement within warning	
	specificity, Paranol drug advertisement	87
4.18.	Analysis of covariance of warning recall by warning conspicuousness,	
	warning specificity, and number of warning statement within warning	
	specificity, Parachlor drug advertisement	88
4.19.	Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of	
	warning recognition by warning conspicuousness, warning specificity,	
	and number of warning statement	92
4.20.	Analysis of covariance of warning recognition by warning	
	conspicuousness, warning specificity, and number of warning	
	statement within warning specificity, Paranol drug advertisement	93
4.21.	Analysis of covariance of warning recognition by warning	
	conspicuousness, warning specificity, and number of warning	
	statement within warning specificity, Parachlor drug advertisement	94

Table

Page

98

- 4.22. Mean and standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of risk perception by warning conspicuousness, warning specificity, and number of warning statement
- 4.23. Analysis of covariance of risk perception by warning conspicuousness, warning specificity, and number of warning statement within warning specificity, *Paranol* drug advertisement
  4.24. Analysis of covariance of risk perception by warning conspicuousness, warning specificity, and number of warning statement within warning specificity, *Parachlor* drug advertisement
  100

âðânຣົມหາວົກອາລັອເຮືອວໃหມ່ Copyright © by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
2.1. Stages of information processing	19
2.2. The multiple-store model of memory: the three storage systems	20
2.3. Conceptual framework	34
3.1. Factorial design of experiment 1	38
3.2. Unbalanced nested-factorial design of experiment 2	51
4.1. Mean warning recall for high and low conspicuousness by mode of	
transmission: Paranol drug advertisement	67
4.2. Mean warning recall for high and low conspicuousness by mode of	
transmission: Parachlor drug advertisement	67
4.3. Mean warning recognition for high and low conspicuousness by mode	
of transmission: Paranol drug advertisement	72
4.4. Mean warning recognition for high and low conspicuousness by mode	
of transmission: Parachlor drug advertisement	72
4.5. Mean risk perception for high and low conspicuousness by mode of	
transmission: <i>Paranol</i> drug advertisement	76
4.6. Mean risk perception for high and low conspicuousness by mode of	
transmission: Parachlor drug advertisement	76

Figure

4.7. Mean warning recall for high and low warning conspicuousness by	
number of warning statement: Paranol drug advertisement	88
4.8. Mean warning recall for high and low conspicuousness by number of	
warning statement: Parachlor drug advertisement	89
4.9. Mean warning recognition for high and low conspicuousness by	
warning specificity: Paranol drug advertisement	94
4.10. Mean warning recognition for high and low conspicuousness by	
warning specificity: Parachlor drug advertisement	95
4.11. Mean risk perception for general and specific warning by warning	
conspicuousness: Paranol drug advertisement	101
4.12. Mean risk perception for general and specific warning by warning	
conspicuousness: Parachlor drug advertisement	101

ລິບສິກລົ້ນກາວົກຍາລັຍເຮີຍວໃກມ Copyright © by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved