

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	iii
บทกัศด่.....	v
Abstract.....	vii
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Objective of Study	3
1.2 Scope and Method.....	3
1.2.1 Scope.....	3
1.2.2 Methods	3
1.3 Location and Topography of the Study Areas	4
1.3.1 Location of the Study Areas.....	4
1.3.2 Topography of the Study Areas.....	6
1.4 Geology of the Study Areas	7
1.4.1 Tectonic setting.....	7
1.4.2 General Geology of the Study Areas.....	11
1.4.3 Economic Geology.....	22
1.5 Literature Review	23
Chapter 2 Gemstones from the Study Areas.....	27
2.1 The source rocks of gemstones.....	27
2.1.1 The similarity of locations and rocks associated with corundum in Thailand and adjacent countries.....	27
2.1.2 The similarity of gemstone depositional environment and minerals associated with corundum	28

2.1.3 The dating from the major associated minerals	31
2.1.4 Results from studying surface features of ruby and sapphire...	33
2.1.5 Results from chemical analyses.....	34
2.1.6 The sapphire crystal in basaltic hosted rock.....	35
2.2 Shape Analysis of the Gemstone Grains	44
2.3 The Gemstone Distribution	48
Chapter 3 Satellite Image Study.....	56
3.1 Materials and Methods	56
3.1.1 The Topographic Maps Scale 1: 500000 and the Geological Maps Scale 1: 250000.....	56
3.1.2 The Aerial Photographs	58
3.1.3 Satellite Imagery Study... ..	58
3.1.4 Field Check.....	62
3.2 Result and Discussion.....	62
3.2.1 The Hard Rock Unit	66
3.2.2 The Plain of Sedimentary Unit	73
3.2.3 The Igneous Rock Unit.....	82
Chapter 4 Sedimentary Analysis	86
4.1 Textural Analysis	86
4.2 Result and Interpretation.....	98
4.2.1 Histograms, Frequency and Cumulative Curves	100
4.2.2 Graphic Mean Values of All Samples.....	105
4.2.3 Scatter Plots of Simple Skewness Measure Versus the Simple Sorting Matures.....	106
4.2.4 Scatter Plot of Skewness Measure Versus Graphic Mean Values	106
4.2.5 Roundness, Shape, Sphericity and Kind analysis.....	109
4.2.6 Provenance of the Sediments in the Study Areas.....	109
4.3 Summary	112

Chapter 5 Lithofacies and Depositional Environment of the Study Areas.....	117
5.1 The Elementary Facies Description.....	118
5.1.1 Facies G1 and G1 (a).....	119
5.1.2 Facies G2 and G2 (a).....	120
5.1.3 Facies G3 and G3 (a).....	124
5.1.4 Facies S1 and S1 (a).....	126
5.1.5 Facies S2 and S2 (a).....	126
5.1.6 Facies F and F (a).....	127
5.1.7 Facies Bs and Bs (a).....	127
5.2 Depositional Environments.....	130
5.3 The Episodic Evolution of the Facies.....	132
5.4 Subsurface Gemstone Deposits.....	133
Chapter 6 Discussion and Conclusion.....	135
References.....	141
Appendix A Histogram, Frequency Curve, Cumulative Frequency Curve and Interpretative Tables.....	149
Appendix B Borehole-Drilling and Pit Logging.....	190

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 The first 20 commodities exported from Thailand during 2002 – 2006.....	2
1.2 The distinction of Khun Han and Kantaralak gemfield	24
3.1 The distinction of the other true and false color composites (RGB)	61
4.1 Locations and samples.....	89
4.2 Particle Grade – Size Scale.....	95
4.3 Statistical parameters of grain size.....	96
4.4 Statistical parameters of grain size of samples.....	101
4.5 The characteristics of ancient and present-day sediments from the study areas.	115
5.1 The lithofacies scheme for fluvial environment in the study areas.....	123
5.2 The lithofacies scheme for volcanic environment in the study areas	124
6.1 The conclusion of characteristics of ancient time sediments and present-time sediments from the study areas.....	137
6.2 The episodic evolution of the facies.....	138

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Location, topography and transportation of the study areas.....	5
1.2 General stratigraphy of the Northeast Thailand	8
1.3 The locations of 5 sub-basins of the Khorat Plateau	9
1.4 3D depositional modeling showing the tectonic events during Cretaceous to Tertiary	9
1.5 The stratigraphy of the Khorat Group which is correlating to times and important tectonic events	12
1.6A General geology of the study areas	13
1.6B The explanation for geological map of the study areas.....	14
1.7 The cross section of Phu Kra Jeaw quarry, representing an outcrop of none gemstone basalt in the southern rim of the Khorat Plateau.....	20
1.8 (A) Fault structures of the Khorat Plateau comparing to (B) linearment structures discovered in the study areas.....	21
1.9 Gemfields of the study areas	25
2.1 Distribution of Late Cenozoic basalts and gemstone deposits of corundum and zircon in Thailand and the Indochina region.....	29
2.2 The Late Cenozoic basalts in Thailand and the Indochina region	30
2.3 (A) Marble rock at the ruby mines in Luc Yen, north Vietnam; the veins in the rock contain rubies and gold, (B) ruby from Luc Yen, Yen Bai, (C) Prospecting for gemstone in Mogok, Myanmar, (D) ruby embeds in carbonate rock from Mogok mine, (E) Corundum mining from weathered alkali basalt at Tha Mai District of Chanthaburi Province (F) A miner works with old methods at Khao Ploi Waen of Chanthaburi and the exotic ruby from the mines along the Thai/Cambodian border in area of Chanthaburi.....	32

- 2.4 (A - B) The red color topography of the study areas where alkali basalts flow cover unconsolidated sediments and country rocks, no great tectonic setting since post Tertiary makes it clear that these scattering basalt outcrops around the Khorat Plateau are occurred at the same or adjacent vents of older basalt outcrops which give gemstones but weathered already now. (C) A part of basaltic outcrop which provides corundum, it is only place where the rock is still not weathered absolutely. (D) The lateritic bed in study area, consisting of sediments and Fe oxide cement 33
- 2.5 The negative crystal impressions, the pictures are embossed as seen in right column for more clearing. All pictures show sharp edged, deep, geometric shaped holes, or crystal impressions of the order of 0.5-1.0 mm across on sapphire and ruby crystal faces. The angles between the impression faces in picture 1 and 2 are 120. The negative crystal shape in picture 3 is particularly interesting in that it appears to show tetragonal symmetry, thus precluding the possibility of it having been a fluid filled negative crystal cavity. These impressions developed as the corundum grew as part of a coarsely crystallized aggregate with minerals such as anorthoclase and zircon..... 36
- 2.6 (A) sapphire from Reddestone Creek, New England (x 66) showing details of flat topped triangular hillocks, (B) sapphire from Reddestone Creek, New England (x 330), detail showing relief of hillocks and their irregular, eroded appearance, (C) blue sapphire from Braemar, New England (x 3300) showing details of etch surface at a low angle to the *c* axis resembling trigonal prismatic “bricks”, (D) blue sapphire from Reddestone Creek, New England, surface perpendicular to the *c* axis (x 2200) showing details of several hexagonal depressions.....37

- 2.7 (A) blocky shape of Ubon Ratchathani sapphire showing hexagonal pyramid and basal pinacoid form with the corroded surface feature of etching by basaltic magma during transportation to earth surface. (B) - (C) SEM images of Ubon Ratchathani sapphire showing triangular hillock resulted from etching by basaltic magma.....38
- 2.8 Chemical variation diagrams (wt% plot) of trace element contents of corundums from mainland Southeast Asia and Sri Lanka; *showing four groups including, group I, high Cr and low Ga content (ruby of basaltic affiliation); group II high Fe, Ti, Ga and low Cr contents(sapphire of basaltic affiliation), group III high Cr and low Fe, Ti contents (ruby of metamorphic affiliation) and group IV low Fe, Ti and Cr contents (sapphire of metamorphic affiliation (a symbol is modified for distinction) (Srichan, 2002).* It is noticed that sapphire from Ubon Ratchathani is in the same group of sapphire from all gemfields of Thailand, Pailin of Cambodia and Huai Sai of Laos PDR.....39
- 2.9 Chemical variation diagrams (wt% plot) between Fe_2O_3 and Cr_2O_3 of corundums from mainland Southeast Asia and Sri Lanka; (a symbol is modified for distinction) (Srichan, 2002). It is notice that sapphire from Ubon Ratchathani is in the same group of sapphire from all gemfields of Thailand, Pailin of Cambodia and Huai Sai of Laos PDR40
- 2.10 Photographs of discovered green dull corundum which embed in basaltic rock showing hexagonal shape. A part of this crystal is flaked out to be run by Fourier Transform Infrared: FTIR to prove certain corundum being. This corundum crystal is taken from area of a basaltic outcrop called Phanom Kantung (610 hill following field army) near fault scarp in Khun Han District, Si Sa Ket Province.....41

2.11	Other gemstones discovered in Pha Nom Kantung garnet (A) magnetite(B) zircon(C)	41
2.12	The corundum bearing basalt outcrop called Phanom Kantung in Khun Han District showing hard rock with columnar joint structure and gemstones as pyroxene, garnet, zircon can be discovered from basaltic soil here.....	42
2.13	The characteristics of corundum bearing basalt discovered in Khun Han District, Si Sa Ket Province: (A) – (B) columnar joint structures in rock, (C) lherzolite in rock, (D) – (E) black pyroxene megacrysts.....	43
2.14 A	The discovered gemstones were grouped together.....	45
2.14 B	The explanation of Figure 2.14A.....	46
2.15	The gemstones from zone A show subangular -subrounded, low sphericity. Most of them are zircon having > 2 mm. Some subhedral crystal were discovered.....	49
2.16	The gemstones from zone B show subrounded – rounded, low sphericity. Most of them are zircon having rather small grain size. The subhedral crystal was seen from a grain of yellow sapphire (No. 34).....	50
2.17	The gemstones from zone C show subangular – rounded, low sphericity, 2 mm up grain size. Some of them still have euhedral – subhedral crystal, The small grain size as no. 13 and no. 14 should be the reworked products of the transportation.....	51
2.18	The gemstones from zone D illustrated the angular roundness, medium – high sphericity. Generally they are biggest size comparing to the gemstones	

from the other units. Most of them have euhedral – subhedral crystal, especially a perfect crystal of magnetite (no. 11) is very rare in natural because of its easily weathered situation. It reflects in – situ gemfields.....52

2.19 The gemstone from zone E. They are subrounded – round, medium – high sphericity, grain size about 2 mm and anhedral crystals. Most of them are zircon. The Fe – oxide minerals (should be ilmenite) were discovered together. Naturally, the Fe – oxide minerals are easy to be weathered, especially in high humid condition in the area they discovered. It is presumed that the gemstones of the unit E are reworked transportation not far from their hosted rock.....52

2.20A The gemstone distribution in the study areas53

2.20 B The explanation of Figure 2.20 A.....54

2.21 A-B The local people were digging for the gemstones in the area of Ban San Thaworn during 1990. The gemstone bearing was in the gravel bed (1 m approximately) as they were flaking off,.....55

3.1 The layout of materials and methods for geomorphological study by using maps, aerial photos, and the satellite image, respectively..... 57

3.2 A The satellite images which are composed in varied RGB to the best result of study. The top left is the true color composites (RGB: 321) revealing the natural color of study areas and the adjacent areas. The top right is the false color composites (RGB: 457) which is transformed to be gray tone. The others are the false color composites which show different distinct geomorphs.59

3.2 B Additional false color composites which show different distinctive geomorphs. The last is the true color composites (RGB: 321) which


was transformed for classifying the geomorphological unit.....	60
3.3A (A) The true color composites (RGB:321), (B) the false color composites (RGB: 451) of the study areas and the vicinities, which can illustrate clearly that the false color composites can make more useful to divided the rock units.....	63
3.3 B (A) The figures of the study areas and the vicinities from the Program google earth® 2006. (B) The figure was transformed for better clear surface features.....	64
3.4 The results of classifying the surface landforms which the adjacent areas were studied together for more understanding. The study areas comprises other landsforms from south to north direction: the hard rock unit in the mountainous area; the plain of sediments where was subcategorized being the talus deposit unit at the foothill, the mixed sediment unit, the terrace unit, the recent floodplain; and the volcanic outcrops which intruded the other unit.....	65
3.5 The lineament structures which were discovered after studying from the landsat images. There are 4 sets in various directions. The biggest normal fault lies along the scarp (labeled by the violet line).....	66
3.6 The hard rock unit and the drainage system on its surface and the lineament structure occurred. In the gray boxes showing the angulate drainage patterns are effected from the lineament structures. The false color composites (RGB: 457) is picked for comparison.	68
3.7 The drainage system of the study and adjacent areas. ...	69
3.8 The dislocation of set 2 lineament structures as indicated in boxes due to the other set lineament structures.....	71

3.9	The dislocation of set 3 lineament structure (the locations are bounded with gray color boxes, and the dislocation of set 4 lineament structure (the locations are bounded with the yellow color boxes)..	72
3.10	The geological structures of the Khorat Plateau.....	74
3.11	The conjugated lineament structures around the basaltic outcrops and gemstone fields. This is presumed to associates with the basaltic eruptions and gemstones they carried up to the surface.....	75
3.12	The talus unit (orange color) shows the boundary and the drainage patterns on its surface. The upper is the false color composites (RGB: 471) for comparison, that the granular texture of the cultivation and natural vegetation are together. The drainage has widely space (not more closely than in the hard rock unit). The water tributaries began to form the dendritic drainage pattern.....	77
3.13	(A) The potential area and the additional presumed area of gemstone deposit, most of the areas are in the talus unit, some are in the hard rock unit. (B) The false color composites (RGB: 425) is illustrated for comparison.....	79
3.14	The drainage patterns appearing on the surfaces of the mixed sediment, the terrace unit, and the recent floodplain units. Most of them reflects the controlled structures. The density of drainages is more closely spaced than the other units.....	81
3.15	(A) The true color composites (RGB: 321) is delineated to show (B) the boundaries of the igneous rocks. The large bodies show the radial drainage patterns.....	85
4.1	The locations of collecting samples	87

4.2	Locations of sample collecting: (A) Location 1, (B) Location 2, (C) Location 3, (D) Location 4, (E) Location 5, (F) Location 6, (G) Location 7, (H) Location 8, (I) Location 9, (J) Location 10, (K) Location 11, (L) Location 12, (M) Location 13, (N) Location 14, and (O) Location 15.....	88
4.3	(A) The mechanical splitter, (B) Conning and quartering a sample, (C) The Ro-Tap mechanical shaker, (D) Roundness and sphericity estimate comparison chart, (E) Shape of particle, (F) Image for estimating visual sphericity	94
4.4	The slope and breaks on cumulative curves which reflect sorting and depositional mechanism, This curve is used to compare the cumulative curves of samples from the study areas.....	97
4.5	The graphic mean of sample nos. 1- 48.....	107
4.6	(A) The scatter plot of simple sorting mature versus simple skewness measure of all samples for in-situ basalt and ancient sediments. (B) The scatter plot of graphic mean versus the simple sorting measure, for the in situ basalts and lateritic sediments, gravel beds, sand and silt, and gravels in potholes.....	108
4.7	Graph of grain analysis showing in vertical bar, the sample number (horizontal bar) orders from sample number 1 to number 48 but cannot reveal all the inspected Figures. (A) Roundness, (B) Shape, (C) Sphericity, and (D) Kind.....	110
4.8	(A) Kinds of the particle dividing into Quartz, Feldspar, and Lithic are plotted into the FQL diagram. It can see most of the grains are in the recycled orogenic, that means they are derived from the weathered rocks.	

	The minors are in the Craton interior and in Undissected arc. Those imply there are the sediments are derived from the mountainous area in the continental plate, and from the volcanoclastic on land. The samples are separated according the areas. (B) The samples are from the west area, (D) from the east area and (C) from the area between them.....	113
4.9	The section created from the change of the graphic mean values, reflecting the lithofacies simply. The Locations 1 – 9 are from the west of the study area. The Locations 10 and 18 are from gap areas. The locations 11 – 17 are from the east study areas.....	116
5.1	The subsurface investigating areas from 3 sources. (A) Huai Tha and its tributaries, (B) Huai Ka Yung and its tributaries, (C) Lam Som and its tributaries.....	118
5.2A	Fence diagram of area A showing the correlation of the lithofacies. The cross section A1 and A2 are illustrated in Figure 5.2B.....	121
5.2B	The cross section A1 and A2 of area A.....	122
5.3	Fence diagram and cross section of area B showing the correlation of the lithofacies	125
5.4A	Fence diagram of area C showing the correlation of the lithofacies. The cross section C1 – C5 are illustrated in Figure 5.4B.....	128
5.4B	The cross section C1- C5 of area C.....	129
5.5	(A) The cartoons illustrate the depositional environments of the sediments in the study areas (in the red – dashed boxes), modified from Crick (2005). (B) The illustrator of the study areas showing depositional environments, the alluvial fans are bounded by the green lines, the volcanic environments	

are bounded by the pink lines.....	131
5.6 Surface and Subsurface gemfields of the study areas.....	134
6.1 A-F The cartoon illustrates the episodic evolution of the study areas.....	139



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved