

CHAPTER 2

PRELIMINARIES

In this chapter, we introduce some definitions and theorems those will be used in our thesis.

2.1 Local rings and Noetherian rings

A ring R is a *local ring* in case it has a unique maximal ideal.

Proposition 2.1.1. *For a ring R the following statements are equivalent :*

- (a) R is a local ring;
- (b) If M is a maximal left ideal of R , then $M = \{x \in R \mid x \text{ is not invertible}\}$.

Proof. See [1] page 170.

A set \mathfrak{S} of ideals of R satisfies the *ascending chain condition* in case for every chain

$$L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots L_n \subseteq \dots$$

in \mathfrak{S} , there is an n with $L_{n+i} = L_n$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

A ring R is *Noetherian* in case the lattice $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ of all ideals of R satisfies the *ascending chain condition*.

Proposition 2.1.2. *For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) R is Noetherian;
- (b) every non-empty set of ideals in R has a maximal element;
- (c) every ideal in R is finitely generated.

Proof. See [2] page 74-75.

Theorem 2.1.3. *Let M be an ideal in a ring R with identity $1_R \neq 0$.*

- (i) *If M is maximal and R is commutative, then the quotient ring R/M is a field.*
- (ii) *If the quotient ring R/M is a division ring, then M is maximal.*

Proof. See [3] page 129.

2.2 Forcing linearity numbers

Definition 2.2.1. A near-ring is a nonempty set R together with two binary operations (usually denote as addition $(+)$ and multiplication) such that:

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a group.
- (ii) $(ab)c = a(bc)$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.
- (iii) $(b + c)a = ba + ca$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Let V be a left R -module.

The set $M_R(V) = \{f : V \rightarrow V \mid f(rv) = rf(v), r \in R, v \in V\}$ is the collection of homogeneous functions determined by the R -module V . Under the operations addition and composition of functions, $M_R(V)$ is a near-ring.

Note that $M_R(V)$ contains $End_R(V)$, the ring of R -endomorphisms of V .

If $M_R(V) = End_R(V)$, that is, if every R -homogeneous function from V to V is an endomorphism, then V is said to be *endomorphal*.

Let $\mathfrak{S} = \{W_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a collection of proper submodules of V . We say that \mathfrak{S} *forces linearity on V* if whenever $f \in M_R(V)$ and f is linear on each $W_\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}$, then $f \in End_R(V)$. Now we give the definition of forcing linearity number of V .

Definition 2.2.2. To each non-zero R -module V we assign a number

$fln(V) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$, call the *forcing linearity number* of V , as follows:

- (i) If $M_R(V) = End_R(V)$, then $fln(V) = 0$.
- (ii) If $M_R(V) \neq End_R(V)$ and there is some finite collection \mathfrak{S} of proper submodules of V which forces linearity with (say) $|\mathfrak{S}| = s$, but no collection \mathfrak{S}' of proper submodules of V with $|\mathfrak{S}'| < s$ that forces linearity, then we say $fln(V) = s$.
- (iii) If neither of the above conditions holds, we say $fln(V) = \infty$.

It is easy to see that if V is a cyclic R -module, then $M_R(V) = End_R(V)$, so $fln(V) = 0$ for any cyclic R -module.

2.3 Modules

Definition 2.3.1. Let V be a left R -module and N be a submodule of V . N is said to be a *maximal submodule* in case $N \neq V$ and if M is a submodule of V such that $N \subseteq M \subseteq V$, then $N = M$ or $N = V$.

Lemma 2.3.2. Let V be a left R -module and M be a maximal submodule of V . Then $M + Rm = V$ where $m \in V \setminus M$.

Proof. Since M is maximal and $m \in 0 + 1m \in M + Rm$, $M \subsetneq M + Rm$ and thus $M + Rm = V$.

Lemma 2.3.3. Let e be an idempotent in $End_R(M)$. Then $1 - e$ is an idempotent in $End_R(M)$ such that

$$Kere = \{x \in M \mid x = x(1 - e)\} = Im(1 - e),$$

$$Ime = \{x \in M \mid x = x - e\} = Ker(1 - e),$$

and

$$M = Me \oplus M(1 - e).$$

Proof. See [1] page 70.

2.4 Direct products and direct sums

Definition 2.4.1. Let $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be an indexed set of left R -modules. The cartesian product $\times_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$ is a R -module with addition and scalar multiplication defined by

$$(x_\alpha) + (y_\alpha) = (x_\alpha + y_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad r(x_\alpha) = (rx_\alpha).$$

The resulting module is called the *direct(or cartesian) product* of $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and will be denoted by

$$\prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha.$$

In case $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we write $\prod_{i=1}^n M_i$ or $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$ for $\prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$.

If $M_\alpha = M$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we write $M^{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$.

If $\mathcal{A} = \phi$, then $\prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha = 0$.

Definition 2.4.2. Let π_α be the projection map and $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be an indexed set of left R -modules. An element $x \in \prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$ is almost always zero in case its support

$$S(x) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \mid x(\alpha) = \pi_\alpha(x) \neq 0\}$$

is finite. Since $S(0) = \phi$ and both $S(x+y) \subseteq S(x) \cup S(y)$ and $S(rx) \subseteq S(x)$, it follows that

$$\bigoplus_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha = \{x \in \prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha \mid S(x) \text{ is finite}\}$$

is a submodule of $\prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$.

This submodule is the *(external) direct sum* of $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

If \mathcal{A} is finite, then $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha = \prod_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$.

If $M_\alpha = M$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, then we write $M^{(\mathcal{A})} = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{A}} M_\alpha$.

2.5 Free modules

Definition 2.5.1. Let $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be an indexed set of elements of a left R -module M . The set $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is *linearly independent* in case for every finite sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ of distinct elements of \mathcal{A} and every $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$

$$r_1x_{\alpha_1} + r_2x_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + r_nx_{\alpha_n} = 0 \text{ implies } r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_n = 0.$$

An R -module M with linearly independent spanning set $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is called a *free R -module* with basis $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Let R be a commutative local ring with identity and $V = R^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Then V is a free module with basis $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $\pi_j(e_i) = 1$ if $j = i$ and $\pi_j(e_i) = 0$ if $j \neq i$.