## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|               |  | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| Acknowled     | gements  | iii  |
| Abstract (H   | English)   | v    |
| Abstract (T   | Thai)  | vii  |
| Table of Co   | ontents  | ix   |
| List of Tab   | les  | xii  |
| List of Illus | strations  | xiv  |
| Abbreviati    | ons  | xv   |
| Chapter 1     | Introduction   | 1    |
|               | Background and significance of research problem              | 1    |
|               | Objectives of the study                                      | 7    |
|               | Research questions   | 8    |
|               | Scope of the study   | 9    |
|               | Definition of terms  | 9    |
| Chapter 2     | Review of the literature                                     | 11   |
|               | Overview of stroke   | 11   |
|               | Types of stroke  | 11   |
|               | Impacts of stroke  | 13   |
|               | Stroke recovery  | 17   |
|               | Quality of life of stroke survivors                          | 18   |
|               | Quality of life concepts                                     | 18   |
|               | Factors affecting quality of life of stroke survivors        | 21   |
|               | Measurement of quality of life                               | 26   |
|               | Nursing intervention for enhancing quality of life of stroke | 28   |
|               | survivors  |      |
|               | Research on nursing interventions for enhancing QOL          | 29   |
|               | Conceptual framework   | 34   |

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

|           |  | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| Chapter 3 | Methodology  | 36   |
|           | Research design  | 36   |
|           | Population and sample                                      | 37   |
|           | Sample size  | 37   |
|           | Research setting   | 40   |
|           | Sampling   | 42   |
|           | Instrumentation  | 43   |
|           | Research instruments                                       | 43   |
|           | Intervention description                                   | 49   |
|           | Human right protection                                     | 53   |
|           | Data collection  | 54   |
|           | Data analysis  | 55   |
| Chapter 4 | Results and Discussion                                     | 57   |
|           | Part I Demographic characteristics of the sample           | 58   |
|           | Part II The difference in functional abilities between the | 63   |
|           | experimental and control groups                            |      |
|           | Part III The difference in depression between the          | 67   |
|           | experimental and control groups                            |      |
|           | Part IV The difference in perceived social support from    | 71   |
|           | family between the experimental and control groups         |      |
|           | Part V The difference in quality of life between           | 73   |
|           | the experimental and control groups                        |      |
|           | Discussion / Children Mail University                      | 81   |
| Chapter 5 | Conclusion and recommendations                             | 88   |
|           | Findings and conclusion                                    | 88   |
|           | Implication of findings                                    | 90   |

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

|            |   | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| Chapter 5  | Conclusion and recommendations (continued)                  | 88   |
| QI         | Limitations   | 91   |
|            | Recommendations for further research                        | 91   |
| References |   | 93   |
| Appendices |   | 107  |
| Appendix A | Sample Size Determination                                   | 108  |
| Appendix B | Recruitment Form  | 111  |
| Appendix C | Informed Consent Form                                       | 112  |
| Appendix D | Demographic Data Questionnaire                              | 113  |
| Appendix E | Chula Mental Test   | 114  |
| Appendix F | Orpington Prognostic Score                                  | 115  |
| Appendix G | Barthel ADL Index   | 116  |
| Appendix H | Thai Geriatric Depression Scale-short form                  | 117  |
| Appendix I | Modified Perceived Social Support from Family Scale         | 118  |
| Appendix J | Stroke Impact scale   | 119  |
| Appendix K | List of Educational Materials                               | 120  |
| Appendix L | Nursing Intervention Protocols                              | 121  |
| Appendix M | Initial Assessment Tool                                     | 122  |
| Appendix N | Problems and Care Plans Record                              | 123  |
| Appendix O | Ethical Approval Document                                   | 124  |
| Appendix P | Introductory Cover Letter                                   | 125  |
| Appendix Q | Post Hoc Comparison for the Friedman Test                   | 127  |
| Appendix R | The number of cases which had changed in functional ability | 130  |
|            | from baseline to week-12 of control and experimental group  |      |
| Appendix S | Permission to use the SIS Questionnaire                     | 131  |
| Appendix T | List of Experts   | 132  |
| Curriculum | vitae   | 133  |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 19    | Demographic characteristics of the control and experimental groups    | 59   |
| 2     | Comparison of age of stroke survivors and the duration after stroke   |      |
|       | occurrence between the experimental and control groups                |      |
| 3     | Level of functional abilities, depression and perceived family social | 63   |
|       | support of the control and experimental groups                        |      |
| 4     | Comparison of functional abilities between control and experimental   | 65   |
|       | groups at each point of time  |      |
| 5     | Changes in functional abilities of control and experimental groups    | 66   |
|       | over time   |      |
| 6     | Post Hoc comparison for Friedman Test of functional abilities in      | 67   |
|       | control and experimental groups                                       |      |
| 7     | Comparison of depression between control and experimental groups      | 68   |
|       | at each point of measurement  |      |
| 8     | The number of depression cases between experimental and control       | 69   |
|       | groups at baseline, week-6, and week-12                               |      |
| 9     | Changes in depression of control and experimental groups over time    | 70   |
| 10    | Post Hoc comparison for Friedman Test in depression of                | 71   |
|       | experimental group  |      |
| 11    | Comparison of perceived social support from family between            | 72   |
|       | control and experimental groups at each point of measurement          |      |
| 12    | Changes in perceived social support from family of control and        | 73   |
|       | experimental groups over time   |      |
| 13    | Quality of life of control and experimental groups at baseline,       | 74   |
|       | week-6 and week-12  |      |

### **LIST OF TABLES (Continued)**

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 14    | Inter-group comparison of mean scores for overall and sub-domains | 75   |
|       | of the SIS at baseline  |      |
| 15    | The mean difference of QOL score between and within groups        | 76   |
| 16    | Changes in mean scores of QOL between baseline and week-6,        | 77   |
|       | week-6 and week-12, baseline and week-12 of control and           |      |
|       | experimental groups   |      |
| 17    | Mean scores of QOL sub-domains of control and experimental        | 78   |
|       | group at baseline, week-6, and week-12                            |      |
| 18    | The mean difference in sub-domains of SIS scores between control  | 79   |
|       | and experimental groups over time                                 |      |
|       |   |      |

# ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright © by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

|          | Figure   | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| 19       | Conceptual framework of home-based nursing intervention for        | 35   |
|          | enhancing QOL of stroke survivor                                   |      |
| 2        | The repeated measures experimental design used in this study       | 37   |
| 3        | Plan for nursing intervention program                              | 53   |
| <i>4</i> | Changes in functional abilities of control and experimental groups | 64   |
|          | at baseline, week-6, and week-12                                   |      |
| 5        | Changes in mean score of TGDS of control and experimental          | 70   |
|          | groups at baseline, week-6, and week-12                            |      |
| 6        | Changes in perceived social support from family of control and     | 72   |
|          | experimental groups  |      |
| 7        | Changes in QOL of control and experimental groups at baseline,     | 74   |
|          | week-6, and week-12  |      |
|          |  |      |

# adansukronunaulaulaulku Copyright © by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

#### ABBREVIATIONS

ADL Activities of daily living

AHCPR The Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Clinical Practice

Guideline

BAI Barthel Activity of Daily Living Index

FPI Functional Performance Inventory

HRQOL Health related quality of life

IADL Instrumental activity of daily living

ICIDH The International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and

Handicaps

MPSS-Fa Modified Perceived Social Support from Family

NIH National Institute of Health

OPS Orpington Prognostic Scale

PSS-Fa Perceived Social Support from Family

QOL Quality of life

RMANOVA Repeated measure analysis of variance

SIS Stroke Impact Scale

TGDS Thai Geriatric Depression Scale

TGDS-SF Thai Geriatric Depression Scale-short form

TIA Transient ischemic attack

WHO World Health Organization

WHOQOL World Health Organization Quality of life