

Х

|     |                         |             |  | Page   |  |  |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------|--|--------|--|--|
|     | 1.2.4                   | Mixing a    | nd Zone Overlap of SIA                                 | 18     |  |  |
| 1.3 | Iron                    |             |  | 20     |  |  |
|     | 1.3.1                   | Physical    | and Chemical Properties                                | 20     |  |  |
|     | 1.3.2                   | Sources a   | and Uses   | 21     |  |  |
|     | 1.3.3                   | Toxicity    | and Limiting Concentrations                            | 21     |  |  |
|     | 1.3.4                   | Determin    | nation of Iron   | 22     |  |  |
| 1.4 | Erioch                  | nrome cyan  | nine R   | 25     |  |  |
| 1.5 | Resear                  | rch Aims    |  | 29     |  |  |
|     |                         |             |  |        |  |  |
| CH  | CHAPTER II EXPERIMENTAL |             |  |        |  |  |
| 2.1 | Instru                  | ments and   | Apparatus  | 30     |  |  |
| 2.2 | Chemi                   | icals       | AL UNIVER?   | 30     |  |  |
| 2.3 | Prepar                  | ation of St | andard Solutions and Reagents                          | 31     |  |  |
|     | 2.3.1                   | Preparati   | on of Standard Solutions and Reagents of FIA system    | 32     |  |  |
|     |                         | 2.3.1.1     | Iron stock solution 1000 mg L <sup>-1</sup>            | 32     |  |  |
|     |                         | 2.3.1.2     | Eriochrome cyanine R solution 0.03 mol L <sup>-1</sup> | 32     |  |  |
|     |                         | 2.3.1.3     | Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide stock solut             | ion 32 |  |  |
|     |                         |             | 0.1 mol $L^{-1}$                                       |        |  |  |
|     |                         | 2.3.1.4     | A 0.1 mol L <sup>-1</sup> of Acetate buffer pH 4.5     | 33     |  |  |

xi

|     |        |  | Page |
|-----|--------|--|------|
|     | 2.3.2  | Preparation of standard solutions and reagents of SIA system       | 33   |
|     |        | 2.3.2.1 Iron stock solution 1000 mg $L^{-1}$                       | 33   |
|     |        | 2.3.2.2 Eriochrome cyanine R solution 0.03 mol $L^{-1}$            | 33   |
|     |        | 2.3.2.3 Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide stock solution              | 33   |
|     |        | 0.1 mol L <sup>-1</sup>  |      |
|     |        | 2.3.2.4 A 0.1 mol $L^{-1}$ of Acetate buffer pH 4.5                | 34   |
| 2.4 | Prelim | inary Studies of Spectrophotometric Determination of Iron (III) by | 34   |
|     | Using  | Eriochrome cyanine R as Complexing Agent                           |      |
|     | 2.4.1  | Absorption spectra   | 34   |
|     | 2.4.2  | Study of the composition of the Fe-ECR-CTMAB complex by            | 35   |
|     |        | Mole-ratio method  |      |
| 2.5 | Procee | lure   | 35   |
|     | 2.5.1  | Procedure for collection and treating drinking water samples for   | 35   |
|     |        | iron (III) determination   |      |
|     | 2.5.2  | FIA spectrophotometric determination of iron (III) using ECR and   | 36   |
|     |        | CTMAB as complexing agent  |      |
|     |        | 2.5.2.1 Optimization of the flow system by univariate method       | 38   |
|     |        | 2.5.2.2 Linearity of calibration graph                             | 39   |
|     |        | 2.5.2.3 Precision  | 40   |

xii

|       |          |  | Page |
|-------|----------|--|------|
|       | 2.5.2.4  | Detection limit  | 40   |
|       | 2.5.2.5  | Accuracy of the proposed method                          | 41   |
|       | 2.5.2.6  | Interference studies                                     | 41   |
|       | 2.5.2.7  | Validation method  | 42   |
| 2.5.3 | SIA spec | trophotometric determination of iron (III) using ECR and | 43   |
|       | СТМАВ    | as complexing agent                                      |      |
|       | 2.5.3.1  | Sequential injection method                              | 45   |
|       | 2.5.3.2  | Optimization of the sequential injection system          | 47   |
|       | 2.5.3.3  | Linearity of calibration graph                           | 49   |
| Ţ     | 2.5.3.4  | Precision  | 50   |
|       | 2.5.3.5  | Detection limit  | 50   |
|       | 2.5.3.6  | Accuracy of the proposed method                          | 50   |
|       | 2.5.3.7  | Interference studies                                     | 50   |
|       | 2.5.3.8  | Validation method  | 51   |
|       |          |  |      |
| APTER | III RES  | ULTS AND DISCUSSION                                      |      |
|       |          |  |      |

| 2.5.5.6 Validation method   |          | 51 |
|---|----------|----|
|   |          |    |
| CHAPTER III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                                |          |    |
| 3.1 Preliminary Studies of Spectrophotometric Determination of Ir | on (III) | 52 |
| by Using Eriochrome cyanine R as Complexing Agent                 |          |    |

3.1.1 Absorption spectra

xiii

| •  |   |
|----|---|
| X1 | V |

Page

53

58

|     | 3.1.2 Mole-ratio method   |
|-----|---|
| 3.2 | FIA Spectrophotometric Determination of Iron (III) Using Eriochrome |
|     | cyanine R and Cetyltrimethyl Ammonium Bromide as A Complexing       |
|     | Agent   |

| 3.2.1 | Optimiza | ation of the Flow System by Univariate Method       | 58                              |
|-------|----------|---|---------------------------------|
|       | 3.2.1.1  | Optimum wavelength                                  | 58                              |
|       | 3.2.1.2  | Effect of pH  | 60                              |
|       | 3.2.1.3  | Effect of ECR concentration                         | 61                              |
|       | 3.2.1.4  | Effect of CTMAB concentration                       | 63                              |
| Ţ     | 3.2.1.5  | Effect of flow rate                                 | 64                              |
|       | 3.2.1.6  | Effect of reaction coil length                      | 66                              |
|       | 3.2.1.7  | Effect of sample volume                             | 67                              |
|       | 3.2.1.8  | Summary of the studied range and optimum conditions | 69                              |
| 3.2.2 | Analytic | al Characteristics of the method                    | 69                              |
|       | 3.2.2.1  | Linear range  | 69                              |
|       | 3.2.2.2  | Calibration curve                                   | 72                              |
|       | 3.2.2.3  | Precision of the flow injection system              | 75                              |
|       | 3.2.2.4  | Detection limit S                                   | <b>e</b> <sub>76</sub> <b>O</b> |
|       | 3.2.2.5  | Interference Studies                                | 77                              |

|       |        |            |   | Page |
|-------|--------|------------|---|------|
|       |        | 3.2.2.6    | Effect of masking agents and interference             | 83   |
|       |        | 3.2.2.7    | Determination of iron (III) in drinking water samples | 84   |
| 3.3 S | SIA SJ | pectrophot | cometric Determination of Iron (III) Using Eriochrome | 87   |
| С     | yanin  | e R and    | Cetyltrimethyl Ammonium Bromide as A Complexing       |      |
| A     | Agent  |            |   |      |
| 3     | .3.1   | Study asp  | piration order  | 87   |
| 3     | 3.3.2  | Optimiza   | tion of the sequential injection system by univariate | 88   |
|       |        | method     |   |      |
|       |        | 3.3.2.1    | Effect of pH  | 89   |
|       | E      | 3.3.2.2    | Effect of pH concentration                            | 90   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.3    | Effect of ECR concentration                           | 92   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.4    | Effect of CTMAB concentration                         | 93   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.5    | Effect of aspiration volumes of acetate buffer        | 95   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.6    | Effect of aspiration volumes of ECR                   | 96   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.7    | Effect of aspiration volumes of CTMAB                 | 98   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.8    | Effect of aspiration volumes of sample                | 99   |
|       |        | 3.3.2.9    | Effect of flow rate                                   | 101  |
|       |        | 3.3.2.10   | Effect of holding coil length                         | 102  |
|       |        | 3.3.2.11   | Effect of holding time                                | 104  |

xv

|           |   | Page   |
|-----------|---|--|
| 3.3.2.12  | Effect of reaction coil length  | 105  |
| 3.3.2.13  | Summary of the studied range and optimum conditions   | 107  |
| Analytica | al Characteristics of the method  | 108  |
| 3.3.3.1   | Linear range  | 108  |
| 3.3.3.2   | Calibration curve   | 110  |
| 3.3.3.3   | Precision of the sequential injection system  | 113  |
| 3.3.3.4   | Detection limit   | 115  |
| 3.3.3.5   | Interference Studies  | 116  |
| 3.3.3.6   | Effect of masking agents and interference   | 121  |
| 3.3.3.7   | Determination of iron (III) in drinking waters  | 122  |
|           |   |  |
| R IV CO   | NCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER  | 124  |
|           | 3.3.2.12<br>3.3.2.13<br>Analytica<br>3.3.3.1<br>3.3.3.2<br>3.3.3.3<br>3.3.3.4<br>3.3.3.5<br>3.3.3.6<br>3.3.3.6<br>3.3.3.7 | <ul> <li>3.3.2.12 Effect of reaction coil length</li> <li>3.3.2.13 Summary of the studied range and optimum conditions</li> <li>Analytical Characteristics of the method</li> <li>3.3.1 Linear range</li> <li>3.3.2 Calibration curve</li> <li>3.3.3 Precision of the sequential injection system</li> <li>3.3.4 Detection limit</li> <li>3.3.5 Interference Studies</li> <li>3.3.6 Effect of masking agents and interference</li> <li>3.3.7 Determination of iron (III) in drinking waters</li> </ul> |

REFERENCES128APPENDIX A133APPENDIX B135CURRICULUM VITAE141THE RELEVANCY OF THE RESEARCH WORK IN THAILAND142

WORK

xvi



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright<sup>©</sup> by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

xvii

xviii

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1.1   | A brief review of the methods for the determination of iron           | 22   |
| 1.2   | A brief review of FIA and SIA for the determination of iron           | 25   |
| 1.3   | A brief review of ECR reagent for the determination of some metals    | 27   |
| 2.1   | Preliminary experimental conditions of FIA for studying optimum       | 38   |
|       | wavelength of Fe-ECR-CTMAB  |      |
| 2.2   | The studied range for the optimization of all parameters of FIA       | 39   |
| 2.3   | The device sequence for one full cycle of the SIA system              | 46   |
| 2.4   | The studied range for the optimization of all parameters of SIA       | 48   |
| 2.5   | Preliminary experimental conditions of SIA for studying optimum pH of | 49   |
|       | Fe-ECR-CTMAB  |      |
| 3.1   | Effect of ECR concentrations for mole-ratio of Fe-ECR-CTMAB complex   | 54   |
| 3.2   | Effect of CTMAB concentrations for mole-ratio of Fe-ECR-CTMAB         | 55   |
|       | complex   |      |
| 3.3   | Effect of wavelength on the sensitivity                               | 59   |
| 3.4   | Effect of pH on the sensitivity                                       | 60   |
| 3.5   | Effect of concentration of ECR on the sensitivity                     | 62   |
| 3.6   | Effect of concentration of CTMAB on the sensitivity                   | 63   |
| 3.7   | Effect of flow rate on the sensitivity                                | 65   |

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 3.8   | Effect of reaction coil length on the sensitivity                                       | 66   |
| 3.9   | Effect of sample volume on the sensitivity  | 68   |
| 3.10  | Univariate optimization of chemical and FIA variables                                   | 69   |
| 3.11  | Peak height at various iron concentrations for linearity check of the                   | 70   |
|       | calibration graph   |      |
| 3.12  | ΔPeak height for calibration curve  | 73   |
| 3.13  | Precision verification using standard 0.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> iron                       | 76   |
| 3.14  | The blank signal resulting from 12 injections   | 77   |
| 3.15  | Effect of interference study for 0.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> iron                            | 78   |
| 3.16  | Summary of the interference effects of some ions on the responses obtain                | 82   |
|       | from 0.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> iron  |      |
| 3.17  | Effect of masking agent for $Al^{3+}$ the response obtained from 0.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 83   |
|       | iron (III)  |      |
| 3.18  | Determination of iron in drinking water sample by FIA method                            | 85   |
| 3.19  | Comparative determination of iron in drinking water sample by proposed                  | 86   |
|       | FIA method and ICP-MS   |      |
| 3.20  | Sensitivity at various aspiration orders  | 88   |
| 3.21  | Effect of pH on the sensitivity   | 89   |
| 3.22  | Effect of concentration of acetate buffer pH 5.5 on the sensitivity                     | 91   |

xix

| 37  | 37 |
|-----|----|
| - ^ | л  |
|     |    |

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 3.23  | Effect of various concentration of ECR on the sensitivity                                       | 92   |
| 3.24  | Effect of various concentration of CTMAB on the sensitivity                                     | 94   |
| 3.25  | Effect of various aspiration volume of 0.1 mol L <sup>-1</sup> of acetate buffer                | 95   |
|       | pH 5.5 on the sensitivity   |      |
| 3.26  | Effect of various aspiration volume of $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> of ECR on the   | 97   |
|       | sensitivity   |      |
| 3.27  | Effect of various aspiration volume of $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> of CTMAB on the | 98   |
|       | sensitivity   |      |
| 3.28  | Effect of various aspiration volume of sample on the sensitivity                                | 100  |
| 3.29  | Effect of various flow rate on the sensitivity  | 101  |
| 3.30  | Effect of various holding coil length on the sensitivity  | 103  |
| 3.31  | Effect of various holding time on the sensitivity   | 104  |
| 3.32  | Effect of various reaction coil length on the sensitivity                                       | 106  |
| 3.33  | Optimum conditions for ion determination 10   |      |
| 3.34  | Linearity of iron determination   | 108  |
| 3.35  | $\Delta$ Peak height for calibration curve  | 111  |
| 3.36  | Precision verification using various concentrations of iron standard                            | 114  |
| 3.37  | The blank signal resulting from 12 injections   | 115  |
| 3.38  | Interference studies for 0.2 mg $L^{-1}$ standard iron by SIA method                            | 116  |

|  | Page  |
|--|---|
| Summary of interference effects of some ions on the response obtained        | 120   |
| from 0.2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> by SIA method                                    |   |
| Effect of masking agent for mask Al <sup>3+</sup> the response obtained from | 121   |
| 0.2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> by SIA method   |   |
| Determination of iron in drinking water sample by SIA method                 | 122   |
| Comparative determination of iron in drinking water sample by proposed       | 123   |
| SIA method and ICP-MS  |   |
| Comparison of the analytical characteristics between FIA and SIA             | 127   |
| methods for iron determination   |   |
|  | Summary of interference effects of some ions on the response obtained<br>from 0.2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> by SIA method<br>Effect of masking agent for mask Al <sup>3+</sup> the response obtained from<br>0.2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> by SIA method<br>Determination of iron in drinking water sample by SIA method<br>Comparative determination of iron in drinking water sample by proposed<br>SIA method and ICP-MS<br>Comparison of the analytical characteristics between FIA and SIA<br>methods for iron determination |

**ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่** Copyright<sup>©</sup> by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

xxi

### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1.1    | The Schematic diagram of the basic FIA system                             | 3    |
| 1.2    | The analog output has the form of a FIA peak                              |      |
| 1.3    | General types of transport in closed tubes                                | 4    |
| 1.4    | Effects of convection and diffusion on concentration profiles of analyses |      |
|        | at the detector   |      |
| 1.5    | Dispersed sample zone in flow system                                      | 6    |
| 1.6    | Relationship between the rollers of a peristaltic pump and the pump       | 9    |
|        | tubes   |      |
| 1.7    | Sequence zone of SIA systems  | 11   |
| 1.8    | Structure of injected zones and concentration profiles as seen by         | 12   |
|        | the detector  |      |
| 1.9    | Structure flow diagram of a sequential injection analyzer                 | 14   |
| 1.10   | Dispersed sample zones of SIA system                                      | 16   |
| 1.11   | Forward and reversal flow of SIA system                                   | 19   |
| 1.12   | The structure of Eriochrome cyanine R                                     | 26   |
| 2.1    | The experimental setup of the FIA system for the determination of         | 37   |
|        | iron (III) S n t s r e s e r v e  |      |
| 2.2    | The experimental setup of the SIA system for determination of iron (III)  | 44   |

xxii

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.3    | FIAlab 5.0 for windows software  | 44   |
| 2.4    | Schematic diagram of the device sequence for one cycle of the SIA        |      |
|        | system   |      |
| 3.1    | The absorbance spectrum of ECR, ECR-CTMAB, Fe-ECR and                    | 52   |
|        | Fe-ECR-CTMAB complexes   |      |
| 3.2    | Mole-ratio study of Fe-ECR-CTMAB system; effect of ECR                   | 54   |
|        | concentration.   |      |
| 3.3    | Mole-ratio study of Fe-ECR-CTMAB system; effect of CTMAB                 | 56   |
|        | concentration.   |      |
| 3.4    | The reaction of Fe-ECR-CTMAB   | 57   |
| 3.5    | Relationship between wavelength and sensitivity of the calibration curve | 59   |
| 3.6    | Relationship between pH and sensitivity of the calibration curve         | 61   |
| 3.7    | Relationship between concentration of ECR and sensitivity of the         | 62   |
|        | calibration curve  |      |
| 3.8    | Relationship between concentration of CTMAB and sensitivity of the       | 64   |
|        | calibration curve  |      |
| 3.9    | Relationship between flow rate and sensitivity of the calibration curve  | 65   |
| 3.10   | Relationship between reaction coil length and sensitivity of the         | 67   |
|        | calibration curve  |      |

### xxiii

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3.11   | Relationship between sample volume and sensitivity of the calibration                          | 68   |
|        | curve  |      |
| 3.12   | Relationship between net peak height and concentration of iron                                 | 71   |
| 3.13   | The calibration signal of FIA spectrophotometric determination of iron                         | 74   |
|        | 0.01-0.35 mg $L^{-1}$ and 0.50–0.80 mg $L^{-1}$  |      |
| 3.14   | The calibration curve of FIA spectrophotometric determination of                               | 75   |
|        | iron: (a) iron 0.01-0.35 mg $L^{-1}$ ; (b) iron 0.50–0.80 mg $L^{-1}$                          |      |
| 3.15   | Relationship between various pH and sensitivity of the calibration curve                       | 90   |
| 3.16   | Relationship between various concentration of acetate buffer pH 5.5 and                        | 91   |
|        | sensitivity of the calibration curve   |      |
| 3.17   | Relationship between various concentration of ECR solution and                                 | 93   |
|        | sensitivity of the calibration curve   |      |
| 3.18   | Relationship between concentration of CTMAB on the sensitivity of                              | 94   |
|        | the calibration curve  |      |
| 3.19   | Relationship between various aspiration volume of 0.1 mol $L^{-1}$ of                          | 96   |
| Copyr  | acetate buffer pH 5.5 on the sensitivity of the calibration curve                              |      |
| 3.20   | Relationship between various aspiration volumes of $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> of | 97   |
|        | ECR on the sensitivity of the calibration curve  |      |

xxiv

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3.21   | Relationship between various aspiration volumes of $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> of | 99   |
|        | CTMAB on the sensitivity of the calibration curve  |      |
| 3.22   | Relationship between various aspiration volumes of sample on the                               | 100  |
|        | sensitivity of the calibration curve   |      |
| 3.23   | Relationship between various flow rate on the sensitivity of                                   | 102  |
|        | the calibration curve  |      |
| 3.24   | Relationship between various holding coil length on the sensitivity of                         | 103  |
|        | the calibration curve  |      |
| 3.25   | Relationship between various holding time on the sensitivity of                                | 105  |
|        | the calibration curve  |      |
| 3.26   | Relationship between various reaction coil length on the sensitivity of                        | 106  |
|        | the calibration curve  |      |
| 3.27   | Relationship between net peak height and concentration of iron                                 | 110  |
| 3.28   | Calibration signal of SIA spectrophotometric determination of iron                             | 112  |
|        | $0.02-0.45 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and $0.45-1.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$                                 |      |
| 3.29   | The Calibration curve of SIA spectrophotometric determination of iron:                         | 113  |
|        | (a) iron 0.02-0.45 mg $L^{-1}$ ; (b) iron 0.45-1.0 mg $L^{-1}$                                 |      |
|        |  |      |
|        |  |      |

xxv

## ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

|      |      | ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS  |
|------|------|--|
|      |      |  |
|      | AU   | absorbance unit  |
|      | FIA  | flow injection analysis  |
|      | h    | hour Solar   |
|      | i.d. | inner diameter   |
|      | in.  | inch   |
|      | L    | liter  |
|      | LOD  | limit of detection   |
|      | LOQ  | limit of quantitation  |
|      | mg   | milligram  |
|      | min  | minute   |
|      | mL   | milliliter   |
|      |      | millimeter mail and a solution of the solution |
| Copy | PC   | personal computer ang Mai University   |
|      | PTFE | polytetrafluoroethylene  |
|      | x    | mean   |
|      | P.H. | peak height  |
|      | RSD  | relative standard deviation  |

xxvi

#### xxvii



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright<sup>©</sup> by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved