

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract (Thai)	iv
Abstract (English)	vii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Research objective	4
Chapter 2 Literature reviews	5
Chapter 3 Materials and Methodology	14
Site selection	14
Methodology	17
Chapter 4 Results	24
1. General behavior	25
1.1 Descriptions of observed comfort behavior	25
1.2 Foraging behavior	29
1.2.1 Foraging pattern	29
1.2.2 Climbing direction for foraging	31
1.2.3 Tree species preferred for foraging	32
1.3. Breeding behavior	33
1.3.1 Mating and courtship	33

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
1.3.2 Nest building	34
1.3.2.1 Nest building	34
1.3.2.2 Nest tree characteristics	35
1.3.2.3 Vegetative structure of nest site	36
1.3.2.4 Nest cavity characteristics	37
1.3.2.5 Nest materials	39
1.3.3 Copulation	39
1.3.4 Egg laying, and incubation	39
1.3.5 Parental care	40
1.3.6 Fledging or nest leaving	43
2. <i>Sitta magna</i> habitats	46
2.1 Sampling plot No.1	46
2.2 Sampling plot No.2	49
2.3 Sampling plot No.3	53
2.4 Sampling plot No.4	57
2.5 Sampling plot No.5	61
3. Vocal communication	66
3.1 Vocal communication patterns	66
3.1.1 Individual recognition	66
3.1.2 Begging call	67

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
3.1.3 The development of nestling calls	68
3.1.4 Feeding call	70
3.1.5 Alarm call	70
3.1.6 Exciting call	70
3.1.7 Territorial call	71
3.1.8 Contact call or duet call	73
3.1.9 Aggressive call	75
3.2 Responses to playback of local vs. distant contact calls in <i>S. magna</i> .	77
Chapter 5 Discussion	79
Chapter 6 Conclusion	83
References	86
Curriculum Vitae	91

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Examples of the use of behavioral characters in taxonomy.	6
4.1 Measurement of various parts of captive <i>Sitta magna</i> at three locations	24
4.1.1 The number of exhibit comfort behavior in the morning and in afternoon for 12 day at each sampling plot.	28
4.1.2 The number of nuthatch acted comfort behavior in each tree position and each sampling plot.	29
4.2.1 The number of moving down tree trunks and moving up tree trunks for foraging in each sample plot.	31
4.2.2 The number of moving along tree trunks and along tree branches for foraging in each sample plot.	32
4.2.3 The number of <i>Pinus kesiya</i> and other species in each sample plot.	32
4.2.4 The number of each tree species were used for foraging in each sample plot.	33
4.3.1 Nest characteristic	38
4.3.2 Duration of breeding behavior in three cavity nest.	44
4.3.3 Activity for observation in each behavior change.	45

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)


Table	Page
4.4.1 The main characteristics of sampling plot No.1 or nest No.1 site [tree species, number of tree, relative frequency(RF), relative density(RD), relative dominance(RDo) and important value index(IVI)]	46
4.4.2 The comparison of characteristic in sampling plot No.1 or nest No.1 site with tree height layer, number of tree, percentage of number of tree, basal area and basal area proportion.	49
4.4.3 Comparison of characteristics of nest No.2 site with tree species, number of tree, relative frequency(RF), relative density(RD), relative dominance(RDo) and IVI index.	50
4.4.4 The comparison of characteristic in sampling plot No.2 or nest No.2 site with tree height layer, number of trees, number of trees in percentage, basal area and basal area proportion.	53
4.4.5 Comparison of characteristics of sampling plot No.3 which <i>S. magna</i> usually foraging site with tree species, number of tree, relative frequency (RF), relation density (RD), relative dominance (RDo) and IVI index.	54

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Page
4.4.6 The comparison of characteristic in sampling plot No.3 with tree height layer, number of tree, number of tree in percentage, basal area and basal area proportion.	57
4.4.7 Comparison of characteristics of nest No.3 site and <i>S.magna</i> usually foraging site with tree species, number of tree, relative frequency(RF), relation density(RD), relative dominance(RDo) and IVI index.	58
4.4.8 The comparison of characteristic in sampling plot No.4 or nest No.3site with tree height layer, number of tree, number of tree in percentage, basal area and basal area proportion.	61
4.4.9 Comparison of characteristics of sampling plot No.5 which <i>S. magna</i> usually foraging site with tree species, number of tree, relative frequency(RF), relation density (RD), relative dominance (RDo) and IVI index.	62
4.4.10 The comparison of characteristic in sampling plot No.5 with tree height layer, number of tree, number of tree in percentage, basal area and basal area proportion.	64

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Page
4.4.11 Species diversity index (H) of five sampling plots	65
4.4.12 Matrix of similarity indices (SI) in percent for 5 plots	65



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	Page
1 General characteristic of <i>S. magna</i> .	1
2 The species distribution is from Lijiang(1), Dongshan (2) and Longpeng (3) in South of China through Taunggyi(4) in Myanmar to northern of Thailand [Doi Pa Hom Pok (5), Doi Angkang(6),Doi Chiang Dao(7),Doi Mae Jok Loung(8) and Doi Inthanon(9)] (Birdbase hokkaido,2009).	3
3 Topographic Map (Amphur Chiang Dao, place 4747 I, scale 1:50,000) of five sample plots.	16
4 Orthophoto of five point count sample plot positions (Google Earth,2005).	16
5 Various parts of <i>S. magna</i>	17
6 Tarsus and finger parts of <i>S. magna</i>	17
7 Nuthatch perched on branch and acted preening behavior in three positions.	26
8 Nuthatch preening posture while attract tree trunk.	27
9 Head shaking (1, 2) and body shaking (3, 4)	27
10 Wing-leg stretching (1) and bill-stretching (2)	28
11 (1) The flight line pattern of <i>S. magna</i> (dash- line). (2) Posture landing on tree trunk before climbing up or down.	30

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE	Page
12 Posture attachment of body to tree trunk (1), turning up its body parallel with tree trunk(2), climbing up along tree trunk (3).	30
13 Posture attachment of body to tree trunk (1), turning down its body parallel with tree trunk (2), climbing down along the tree trunk (3).	31
14 The average frequency of nest visited for nest building in each period in a day(for 5 day).	35
15 All three nests in difference trees.	36
16 Male touch female by bill to bill before male mount female to copulation.	40
17 Average number of male visits to the nest for feeding female in cavity nest(eggs laying period) between 13-15 February 2006, in the morning period peak of feeding rate and slump at 12.01-13.00 pm and peak in afternoon again, finally slump in evening.	41
18 The relationship between nestling age and the number of feeding bouts. The regression ling is plotted as a solid line ($r^2=0.411$, $\hat{Y}=40.28+1.48x$).	42

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE		Page
19	The average feeding rate of male and female <i>S. magna</i> over 18 days. Note morning peak of feeding and slump in afternoon.	43
20	Profile diagram of the site around <i>Sitta magna</i> nest No.1. The upper emergent layer was composed of <i>Pinus kesiya</i> (1, 22). The emergent layer was composed of <i>Erythrina subumbrans</i> , <i>Syzygium albiflorum</i> (19,26). The upper top canopy layer was composed of <i>Schima wallichii</i> (23). The upper middle canopy layer was composed of <i>Syzygium albiflorum</i> (25). The middle canopy layer was composed of <i>Syzygium albiflorum</i> and <i>Butea monosperma</i> (24, 39)	47
21	Basal area and number of trees in each height layer.	48
22	Profile diagram of the site around <i>Sitta magna</i> nest No.2. The top canopy layer was composed of <i>Castanopsis diversifolia</i> (30, 32, 70) <i>Schima wallichii</i> (33) <i>Lithocarpus elegans</i> (72) and <i>Xylia xylocarpa</i> (4). The upper middle canopy was composed of <i>Quercus vestita</i> (66,79) <i>Castanopsis diversifolia</i> (67,68,80,78,34) <i>Lithocarpus elegans</i> (31,71) <i>Schima wallichii</i> (69) and <i>Castanopsis calathiformis</i> (3). The middle canopy layer was composed of <i>Gluta obovata</i> (35) <i>Castanopsis diversifolia</i> (2).	51

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE		Page
23	Compare basal area proportion and number of tree in each layer.	52
24	Profile diagram of the site around <i>Sitta magna</i> foraging area is sample plot No.3. The upper top canopy layer (21-25m tall) was composed of <i>Pinus kesiya</i> (100, 65, 73, 72, and 23). The top canopy layer (16-20m tall) was composed of <i>Pinus kesiya</i> (101). The upper middle canopy layer (11-15m tall) included <i>Lithocarpus sootepensis</i> (99), <i>Mammea siamensis</i> (37, 36) and <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i> (12) and <i>Symplocos macrophylla</i> (33). The middle canopy layer (6-10m tall) included <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i> (66, 32) and lower canopy layer (less than 6m tall) included <i>Symplocos macrophylla</i> (34).	55
25	Basal area proportion and number of tree in each height layer.	56
26	Profile diagram of the site around nest No.3 and usually foraging. The top canopy layer was composed of <i>Lithocarpus sootepensis</i> (43, 1). The upper middle canopy layer was composed of <i>Lithocarpus sootepensis</i> (44, 24), <i>Dipterocarpus obtusifolius</i> (45), <i>Castanopsis acuminatissima</i> (2). The middle canopy was composed of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> (35), <i>Lithocarpus sootepensis</i> (36, 31), <i>Butea monosperma</i> (56), and <i>Quercus vestita</i> (3). The lower canopy layer was composed of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> (40).	59

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE	Page
27 Basal area and number of tree in each height layer.	60
28 Profile diagram of the site around <i>S. magna</i> usually foraging. Top canopy layer was composed of <i>Pinus kesiya</i> (47, 53), <i>Schima wallichii</i> (41). The upper middle canopy layer was composed of <i>Castanopsis acuminatissima</i> (3), <i>Pinus kesiya</i> (42, 43). The middle canopy layer was composed of <i>Gluta obovata</i> (84), <i>Lithocarpus sootepensis</i> (50), <i>Castanopsis</i> <i>acuminatissima</i> (46, 52, 44, 45).	63
29 Basal area and number of tree in each height layer.	64
30 Different call patterns of two <i>S. magna</i> .	66
31 The patterns of distant begging calls of <i>S. magna</i> nestling showing two uttered rasping.	67
32 Sonogram of the close begging call of <i>S. magna</i> nestling showing three uttered repeatedly.	68
33 Sonogram of normal development of <i>S. magna</i> nestling (in red quadrilateral).	69
34 Sonogram of feeding call of <i>S. magna</i> parent.	70
35 Sonogram of alarm call of <i>S. magna</i> .	71
36 Sonogram of exciting call or exclaim call of <i>S. magna</i> .	71

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE	Page
37 Sonogram of territorial call in rough or hoarse quivering of <i>S. magna</i> .	72
38 Sonogram of territorial call in one element of reverberation of <i>S. magna</i> .	72
39 Sonogram of territorial call in two element of hiccup of <i>S. magna</i> .	72
40 Sonogram of territorial call in one element of whistle of <i>S. magna</i> . The duration of “whistle” call between 0.2-0.3 second.	73
41 Sonogram of duet call by “quivering” call at first and responds by “whistle” call.	74
42 Sonogram of duet call by hiccough call at first and whistle call for respond.	74
43 Sonogram of contact call by one element of reverberation calls and responds by hoarse quivering calls.	75
44 Sonogram of aggressive call by constant rhythm of <i>S. magna</i> .	76
45 Sonogram of aggressive by call vibrate repeated in quickly when enemy approached.	76
46 Structure of contact calls at Doi Ankang, two element first is stimulus calls and three faded elements is respond calls.	77
47 Two stimulus calls remain after extraction of “respond” calls.	78



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved