

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	v
ABSTRACT (THAI)	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Statement of the problems and objectives	1
1.2 Anticipated benefits	3
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	4
2.1 Dental caries	4
2.1.1 Defense reaction and pulpal response	6
2.2 Deep dentin carious lesion	7
2.3 Vital pulp diagnosis	7
2.4 Treatment of deep carious lesion	9
2.4.1 Complete caries removal technique	9

2.4.2 Incomplete caries removal technique	13
2.4.2.1 Indirect pulp treatment	19
2.4.2.2 Stepwise excavation	24
2.4.2.3 Ultraconservative treatment	25
2.4.2.4 Hall technique	27
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
3.1 Research design	30
3.2 Research populations and samples	30
3.2.1 Study populations	30
3.2.1.1 Clinical criteria	32
3.2.1.2 Radiographic criteria	33
3.2.2 Sample size	35
3.2.3 Random sampling	35
3.2.4 Patient selection and examination	36
3.3 Treatment protocol	37
3.4 The first and second evaluation	42
3.5 Data analysis	42
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	44
4.1 Tooth exclusion	44
4.2 The first evaluation	45
4.2.1 Number of treated teeth remained for the first evaluation	45
4.2.2 Follow-up period at the first evaluation	46

4.2.3 Age of patients at initial treatment	47
4.2.4 Clinical data of each group at the first evaluation	47
4.2.5 Clinical and radiographic evaluations at the first evaluation	50
4.3 The second evaluation	55
4.3.1 Number of treated teeth that reached the second evaluation	56
4.3.2 Follow-up period at the second evaluation	57
4.3.3 Clinical data of each group at the second evaluation	57
4.3.4 Clinical and radiographic evaluations at the second evaluation	58
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION	60
Conclusion	68
Further research suggestion	69
BIBLIOGRAPHY	70
APPENDICES	82
Appendix A Certificate of ethic clearance	83
Appendix B Data collection	84
Appendix C Patient information sheet and informed consent	87
Appendix D Follow up data	92
CURRICULUM VITAE	94

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Articles comparing the percentage of a pulp exposure between complete and incomplete caries removal.	12
2.2 Changes in microbiology in deep carious lesion after incomplete caries removal.	15
2.3 The varied definitions of IPT.	20
2.4 Success rates of IPT with different biocompatible materials.	22
4.1 Excluded teeth in each treatment group classified by cause of exclusion.	44
4.2 Number of teeth remained for the first evaluation in each treatment group.	45
4.3 Follow-up period in each treatment group at the first evaluation.	46
4.4 Age of patients in each treatment group at the first evaluation.	47
4.5 Distribution of treated teeth according to tooth type and treatment group at the first evaluation.	48
4.6 Distribution of treated teeth according to carious lesion site of primary molar at the first evaluation.	49
4.7 Distribution of treated teeth according to clinical diagnosis at the first evaluation.	50
4.8 Success and failure rates according to the treatment group at the first evaluation.	51

4.9	Comparison of the radiographic failure rates between the MCR with RMGI _{B/L} and MCR with RMGI _L groups at the first evaluation.	52
4.10	Failures of radiographic findings in the MCR with RMGI _L group at the first evaluation.	54
4.11	Number of teeth that reached the second evaluation in each treatment group.	56
4.12	Follow-up period in each treatment group at the second evaluation.	57
4.13	Success and failure rates according to the treatment group at the second evaluation.	59

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 Flow chart of the experimental procedure.	31
3.2 The selection of cases from radiograph.	34
3.3 Diagrams showing 3 different incomplete caries removal techniques.	38
3.4 Radiographs and clinical photographs depicting the IPT group of mandibular left second primary molar.	40
3.5 Radiographs and clinical photographs depicting the MCR with RMGI _{B/L} group of mandibular left second primary molar.	41
3.6 Radiographs and clinical photographs depicting the MCR with RMGI _L group of mandibular left second primary molar.	41
4.1 The graph shows number of teeth remained for the first evaluation in each treatment group.	46
4.2 Radiographs of the left first mandibular primary molar from the IPT group.	52
4.3 Radiographs of the right first mandibular primary molar from the MCR with RMGI _{B/L} group.	53
4.4 Radiographs of the left second mandibular primary molar from the MCR with RMGI _L group.	53
4.5 Radiographs of the left second maxilla primary molar from the MCR with RMGI _L group.	54

4.6 Radiographs of the left first mandibular primary molar from the MCR with RMGI _L group.	55
4.7 Radiographs of the left second mandibular primary molar from the MCR with RMGI _L group.	55
4.8 The graph shows number of teeth that reached the second evaluation in each treatment group.	56
4.9 The section of extracted tooth in the MCR with RMGI _{B/L} group at the second evaluation.	59

ABBREVIATIONS

AAPD	American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry
AGS	Sealed amalgam
AGU	Unsealed amalgam
CLT	Central Limit Theorem
CompS/C	Sealed composite restoration
DEJ	Dentinoenamel junction
FC	Formocresol
FS	Ferric sulfate
GI	Glass ionomer cement
IPT	Indirect pulp treatment
IRM	Intermediate restorative material
MCR	Minimal caries removal
MCR with RMGI _{B/L}	Minimal caries removal with both resin-modified glass ionomer base material and luting cement

MCR with RMGI_L

Minimal caries removal with only resin-modified glass ionomer luting cement

MTA

Mineral trioxide aggregate

NaOCl

Sodium hypochlorite

PDL

Periodontal ligament

PST

Prospective study

RMGI

Resin-modified glass ionomer cement

RST

Retrospective study

SSC

Stainless steel crown