

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT IN THAI	iv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Statement of the problems	1
1.2 Purposes and scopes	2
1.3 Methodology	2
1.4 Location of the study area	3
1.5 Literature review	3
1.5.1 VOCs contamination of the study area	3
1.5.2 Groundwater modeling of the study area	4
CHAPTER 2 PHYSICAL, GEOLOGIC, AND HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING	6
2.1 Physical setting	6
2.2 Tectonic setting and basin geology	7
2.3 Geologic setting	11
2.4 Hydrogeology of the study area	12
CHAPTER 3 SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC SETTING	15
3.1 Stratigraphic units	15
3.2 Resistivity survey	26
3.2.1 Resistivity data acquisition	29
3.2.2 Resistivity data processing	32
3.2.3 Resistivity data interpretation	35

CHAPTER 4 HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERISTIC AND	
HYDROSTRATIGRAPHIC MODEL	36
4.1 Hydrogeologic characteristic	36
4.1.1 Hydrostratigraphic unit	36
4.1.2 Hydraulic properties of aquifers	37
4.1.3 Groundwater levels and flow directions	42
4.1.4 Groundwater quality	47
4.1.5 Groundwater quality with respect to VOCs	51
4.2 Hydrostratigraphic model	54
CHAPTER 5 GROUND WATER FLOW MODEL	56
5.1 Theory of ground water flow	56
5.2 Method of flow modeling set up	57
5.3 Conceptual model	59
5.4 Model design and construction	60
5.4.1 Model grids and layers	60
5.4.2 Model parameters	61
5.4.3 Boundary conditions	64
5.5 Model calibrations	68
5.5.1 Steady-state simulations	70
5.5.2 Sensitivity analysis	75
CHAPTER 6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	77
REFERENCES	80
APPENDICES	83
APPENDIX A LITHOLOGIC LOG DATA	84
APPENDIX B RESISTIVITY SURVEY DATA	131
APPENDIX C GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA	147
APPENDIX D DISTRIBUTION OF HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	
OF MODEL LAYER	150
VITAE	165

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 Location of lithologic log wells.	17
3.2 Resistivity of some common rocks and other materials.	28
3.3 Resistivity survey locations.	33
4.1 Detailed of pumping test.	40
4.2 Pumping test results.	42
4.3 The measured water level location of dug wells.	43
4.4 The measured water level location of drilled wells.	44
5.1 Recharge rate estimates for the steady-state model.	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Study area (modified from Royal Thai Survey Department, 1996).	5
2.1 The Cenozoic intermontane basins of Thailand (Wattananikorn et al., 1995).	8
2.2 Geologic map of Chiang Mai – Lamphun Basin (Rhodes et al., 2005).	10
2.3 Geologic and structural map of the study area and its immediate vicinity (modified from Department of Mineral Resources, 2004).	13
2.4 Hydrogeologic map of the study area. (modified from Department of Mineral Resources, 2004).	14
3.1 Location of lithologic log wells and subsurface geologic cross sections.	16
3.2 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line A-B.	18
3.3 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line C-D.	18
3.4 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line E-F.	19
3.5 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line G-H.	19
3.6 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line I-J.	20
3.7 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line K-L.	20
3.8 Subsurface geologic cross-section of line M-N.	21
3.9 The isopach map of clay thickness in the sequence I.	25
3.10 The electric field between two electrodes for resistivity surveys (modified from Todd, 1980).	30
3.11 Electrode array in common (modified from Todd, 2005).	30
3.12 Resistivity surveys locations.	31
3.13 Schlumberger field survey electrode array (modified from Todd, 1980).	32
3.14 Electrical resistivity field surveys.	34
3.15 Resistivity modeling example.	35
4.1 Hydrostratigraphic units of the study.	37
4.2 Location of pumping test.	39

4.3	Pumping test analysis using WTAQ (Barlow and Moench, 1999) and UCODE (Poeter and Hill, 1998) programs.	41
4.4	Slug test analysis using type-curve matching method.	41
4.5	Flow net in two dimensional approximations (from Hamill and Bell, 1986).	43
4.6	Flow direction of the shallow aquifer.	45
4.7	Flow direction of the deep aquifer.	46
4.8	Sampling locations of shallow and deep groundwaters (modified from DGR, 2007).	48
4.9	Hydrochemical facies classification of the dug wells samples at first sampling, September 2007 (DGR, 2007).	49
4.10	Hydrochemical facies classification of the dug wells samples at second sampling, December 2007 (DGR, 2007).	49
4.11	Hydrochemical facies classification of the drilled wells samples at first sampling, September 2007 (DGR, 2007).	50
4.12	Hydrochemical facies classification of the drilled wells samples at second sampling, December 2007 (DGR, 2007).	50
4.13	Locations of dug wells that were contaminated with VOCs.	52
4.14	Locations of groundwater wells that were contaminated with VOCs.	53
4.15	The construction of hydrostratigraphic model.	55
5.1	The experiment of Darcy.	56
5.2	Model setup algorithms.	58
5.3	Pictorial conceptual model of the study area.	60
5.4	The finite difference grids and model layers of the study area.	62
5.5	Distribution of hydraulic conductivity of layer 10 in the steady-state model for the example.	63
5.6	Schematic of General Head Boundary.	64
5.7	The recharge zone of the study area.	66
5.8	Recharge distribution of the steady-state model.	67
5.9	River package for the steady-state model.	69
5.10	Mathematical and graphical scatter diagram comparing simulated heads and observed heads of the steady-state model.	72

5.11	Comparison of groundwater flow between simulated and observed heads of shallow aquifer for the steady-state simulation.	73
5.12	Comparison of groundwater flow between simulated and observed heads of deep aquifer for the steady-state simulation.	74
5.13	The normalized root mean square from the sensitivity analysis.	75
5.14	The sensitivity analysis between river parameter and GBH parameter.	76



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved