

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT IN THAI	iv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Objective	2
1.2 Study Scope	2
1.3 Seismic Data Set from Mae Sot	3
1.3.1 Study Area	5
1.3.2 Morphology and Geology of Mae Sot Basin	7
1.4 GPR data set from Wat Pan Sao	9
1.4.1 Study Area	12
1.5 Literature Review	13
CHAPTER 2 NOISE SUPPRESSION THEORY	15
2.1 F-x prediction filtering	15
2.2 Median filtering	16
2.3 Singular value decomposition filtering	19
CHAPTER 3 NOISE SUPPRESSION IN REAL DATA APPLICATION	24
3.1 Seismic data sets	24

3.1.1 Tested data 1: Shot gather (#533)	28
3.1.2 Tested data set 2: CDP gather (#1072)	31
3.1.3 Tested data set 3: NMO corrected CDP supergather (#1072)	34
3.1.4 Tested data set 4: Stacked section	37
3.2 GPR data set	40
3.2.1 Tested data set 5: GPR section	42
3.3 Seismic data improvement using SVD filter	45
CHAPTER 4 SEISMIC SECTION CORRELATION WITH BOREHOLE LOGGING	52
4.1 CDP 1072	53
4.2 CDP 1691	54
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	55
5.1 Discussion	55
5.2 Advantage and disadvantage of each filtering	56
5.3 Conclusions	56
REFERENCES	58
APPENDIX MATHEMATICA SOURCE CODE	62
CURRICULUM VITAE	65

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1-1	Parameters of seismic data acquisition	5
1-2	Parameters of GPR data acquisition	11
3-1	Processing step (A) and parameters of seismic data	26
3-2	Processing step and parameters of GPR data.	41
3-3	Processing steps (B) and parameters of seismic data.	46

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1-1	An example of a raw shot gather.	4
1-2	The seismic surveys map in Mae Sot district. The red line is MSO-0450 seismic line, the black dots are location of wells MS-184 and MS-190.	6
1-3	The gravity anomaly of Mae Sot Basin at Bann Huai Kalok, (A) northern sub-basin, (B) southern sub-basin (Suwannathong and Khummongkol, 2007).	8
1-4	The sample excavation at the site with layers labeled at Wat Pan Sao.	10
1-5	An example of GPR section from study area Wat Pan Seo study area after time-zero correction and DC remover.	11
1-6	Map of the data acquisition area at Wat Pan Sao. The green box shows the coordinates in the study work field (Modified from Google Inc, 2013).	12
2-1	Process flowchart for the f-x prediction filtering (Modified Harrison, 1990).	16
2-2	The calculating the median value of an amplitude value neighborhood (modified from Fisher et al., 2013). filter is used in the input sequence (From Stewart, 1985).	18

- 2-3 A flat event is contaminated with noise and the reformation by $p = q = 1$ (from Signal Analysis and Imaging Group Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Available: <http://saig.physics.ualberta.ca/s/sites/default/files/chapter6.pdf>. 2013, March 16). 21
- 2-4 The singular value spectrum graph was an abrupt change within the singular values for the data in Figure 2-3 (from Signal Analysis and Imaging Group Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Available: <http://saig.physics.ualberta.ca/s/sites/default/files/chapter6.pdf>. 2013, March 16). 21
- 2-5 Process flowchart for the SVD filter. 23
- 3-1 Flowchart of seismic processing. 25
- 3-2 (left) The f-k filter of 0-500 m/s fan shape, (middle) before f-k filter and (right) after f-k filter. 27
- 3-3 (left) The f-k filter of 0-2500 m/s fan shape, (middle) before f-k filter and (right) after f-k filter 27
- 3-4 Singular value spectrum of a shot gather record number 533. 28
- 3-5 (a) A raw shot gather (b) A shot gather with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=4$. (c) A shot gather with SVD filter using $p=4$ to $q=11$. (d) A shot gather with SVD filter using $p=10$ to $q=31$. 29
- 3-6 The comparison result of (a) SVD filter with $p=10$ to $q=31$, (b) f-x

- prediction filter with filter length of 4 traces and design windows of 15 traces, (c) 2-D median filter with window length of 2 traces and 3 samples and (d) f-k filter of 0-500 m/s fan shape. 30
- 3-7 Singular value spectrum of a CDP gather number 1072. 31
- 3-8 (a) A raw CDP gather (b) A CDP gather with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=3$. (c) A CDP gather with SVD filter using $p=3$ to $q=20$. (d) A CDP gather with SVD filter using $p=10$ to $q=20$. 32
- 3-9 The comparison result of (a) SVD filter with $p=3$ to $q=20$, (b) f-x prediction filter with filter length of 4 traces and design windows of 9 traces, (c) 2-D median filter with window length of 2 traces and 3 samples and (d) f-k filter of 0-500 m/s fan shape. 33
- 3-10 Singular value spectrum of a CDP supergather record number 1072. 34
- 3-11 (a) A raw NMO corrected CDP supergather. (b) A NMO corrected CDP supergather with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=7$. (c) A NMO corrected CDP supergather with SVD filter using $p=7$ to $q=30$. (d) A NMO corrected CDP supergather with SVD filter using $p=30$ to $q=91$. 35
- 3-12 The comparison result of (a) SVD filter with $p=7$ to $q=30$, (b) f-x prediction filter with filter length of 4 traces and design windows of 48 traces, (c) 2-D median filter with window length of 5 traces and 3 samples and (d) f-k filter of 0-2500 m/s fan shape. 36
- 3-13 Singular value spectrum of the final stack section with residual static 37

- correction.
- 3-14 (a) The final stack section with residual static correction. (b) The final stack section with residual static correction with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=15$. (c) The final stack section with residual static correction with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=25$. (d) The final stack section with residual static correction with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=40$. 38
- 3-15 The comparison result of (a) SVD filter with $p=1$ to $q=15$, (b) f-x prediction filter with filter length of 4 traces and design windows of 48 traces, (c) 2-D median filter with window length of 5 traces and 3 samples. 39
- 3-16 The GPR data processing step. 40
- 3-17 The f-k filter zone selection of GPR data. 41
- 3-18 Singular value spectrum of GPR common offset gather. 42
- 3-19 (a) Amplitude correction GPR common offset gather. (b) Amplitude correction GPR common offset gather with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=10$. (c) Amplitude correction GPR common offset gather with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=18$. (d) Amplitude correction GPR common offset gather with SVD filter using $p=1$ to $q=44$. 43
- 3-20 The comparison result of (a) SVD filter with $p=1$ to $q=18$, (b) f-x prediction filter with filter length of 4 traces and design windows of 48 traces, (c) 2-D median filter with window length of 3 traces and 5 samples and (d) f-k filter shape presented in Figure 3-17. 44

3-21	The improved seismic data processing flowchart.	45
3-22	(a) CDP gather before applying SVD filter, (b) CDP gather after applied with parameters of SVD filter used $p=3$ to $q=20$. (c) CDP gather after applied f-k filter of 0-500 m/s fan shape.	49
3-23	(a) NMO correction CDP supergather before applying f-k filter. (b) NMO correction CDP supergather after applied f-k filter of 0-2500 m/s fan shape. (c) NMO correction CDP supergather after applied SVD filter with parameters of SVD filter used $p=3$ to $q=30$.	50
3-24	Velocity analysis, (left) the semblance analysis with the black line was velocity picking and (right) the common offset stacking.	51
3-25	The final stack section with residual static correction, (a) from basic processing steps (A) and (b) from processing steps (B).	51
4-1	Correlation at CDP 1072. Track 1: gamma ray (NGAM) was represented from 0.00 CPS to 300.00 CPS. Track 2: high resolution density (HRD) scale ranges in value from 1000.00 CPS to 5000.00 CPS. Track 3: neutron logs (NEUT) was displayed from 0.00 CPS to 500.00 CPS.	53
4-2	Correlation at CDP 1691. Track 1: gamma ray (NGAM) was represented from 0.00 CPS to 400.00 CPS. Track 2: high resolution density (HRD) scale ranges in value from 1000.00 CPS to 5000.00 CPS. Track 3: neutron logs (NEUT) was displayed from 0.00 CPS to 600.00 CPS.	54