


TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	v
LISTS OF TABLE	xiv
LISTS OF FIGURES	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Rational	1
1.2 Study objectives	5
1.3 Expected results	5
1.4 Scope of the study	6
1.5 Definitions	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Theoretical framework	8
2.1.1 Concept of Effectiveness	8
2.1.2 Concept about efficiency	10
2.2 Literature review	12
2.2.1 Quality of life of farmer households	12
2.2.2 The role of saving group in poverty problem	13
2.2.3 Effectiveness of the savings groups	14
2.2.4 Farm household production efficiency	14

2.2.5	Factors affecting the efficiency of agricultural households	15
2.2.6	Factors affecting the performance of saving group	16
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Conceptual framework for research	19
3.2	sample size	20
3.3	Research Method	22
CHAPTER 4 THE ROLE OF MICROFINANCE IN AGRICULTURAL INVESTMENT		
4.1	The Microfinance group in Thailand	37
4.2	The characteristics of the sample microfinance	43
4.3	The role of microfinance in agriculture investment	51
4.3.1	The role in production	51
4.3.2	The role in product processing	54
4.3.3	The role in marketing	57
4.3.4	Conclusion	61
CHAPTER 5 TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY OF MICROFINANCE AND FARM HOUSEHOLD PRODUCTION		
5.1	Efficiency of microfinance	65
5.1.1	Operation of microfinance	65
5.1.2	Efficiency of microfinance	66
5.1.3	The problem of input slack in microfinance	68
5.1.4	Returns to scale and economy of scale of microfinance	75
5.2	Farm household and technical efficiency	89

5.2.1 Characteristics of farm household	79
5.2.2 Technical efficiency of farm households who are members of microfinances	82
5.2.3 Problem of input slack in farm households	84
5.2.4 Returns to scale in farm households	89
5.3 Technical efficiency of microfinance that affect technical efficiency of farm households	90
5.3.1 Inputs that affect technical efficiency in farm households	91
5.3.2 Factors that affect the technical efficiency of microfinance	95
CHAPTER 6 THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF FARM HOUSEHOLD	
6.1 The household Quality of Life	102
6.2 Value in each of the index	105
6.3 The quality of life of the microfinance farm household	109
6.4 Comparison between the data and the values of indicators in different aspects	112
6.5 Factors affecting quality of life of farm households	115
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	
7.1 Conclusion	120
7.2 Suggestions	126
7.2.1 Suggestion on policy	126
7.2.2 Suggestion for further study	128
REFERENCES	129

APPENDICES	139
APPENDIX 1	140
APPENDIX 2	150
CURRICULUM VITAE	169



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LISTST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Gross domestic products during 1998 – 2008.	2
1.2 Amount of saving groups, farm households, and agricultural areas in 2009.	7
3.1 The number of farmer households and sample size shown by province and type of saving groups	22
3.2 The number of farmer households randomized from selected saving groups	22
3.3 The criteria for dividing the saving group roles toward investment	23
3.4 Variables used in the study of production efficiency of agricultural households	24
3.5 Variables used in the operational efficiency analysis of saving groups	27
3.6 Composition and indicators of quality of life of farmers	33
4.1 Characteristics of the sample group of microfinance s	50
4.2 The length of establishment, profit accumulation, operating funding and welfare fund	52
4.3 The Role in production of the production group	53
4.4 The Role in production of the Credit Union	53
4.5 The role in product processing of the production group	55
4.6 The role in product processing of the credit union	56

4.7	The role of the production group in marketing	60
4.8	The role of credit union in marketing	60
4.9	Comparison of role of both types of a microfinance group	64
5.1	Average operation of microfinance in some aspects	66
5.2	The data of variables used in the study	67
5.3	Level of technical efficiency of microfinance	68
5.4	The level of efficiency and performance of microfinance	68
5.5	number of groups and the number of input that must be decrease.	69
5.6	Overall operations of microfinances that need to decrease input.	71
5.7	Size of input slack of microfinance	72
5.8	Output slack of microfinance	75
5.9	Returns to scale and the level of technical efficiency of microfinance	78
5.10	Comparing returns to scale with surplus inputs	79
5.11	Characteristics of heads of farm households from the sample	80
5.12	Characteristics of household sample	81
5.13	variables used in the study	83
5.14	Level of technical efficiency among farm households and returns to scale	84
5.15	Number of inputs that must be decreased of farm household technical efficiency	85
5.16	General characteristics on farm households that need to lower their inputs	85
5.17	Input slack of farm households	87
5.18	Output slack in farm household	89
5.19	Returns to scale and economy of scale of farm household	90

5.20	variables used in the study	91
5.21	Factors which affect technical efficiency of farm households	94
5.22	Factors that affect technical efficiency analyzed by using Tobit model	99
6.1	Average and proportion of the sample households with different indicators	105
6.2	Average of the indicator's components in each aspect	108
6.3	Value of the indicator of each aspect and proportion of farm household	109
6.4	The number of farm households grouping by the level of security and type of microfinance.	112
6.5	The average and proportion of the indicator data by the level of the indicator values in each aspect	115
6.6	The analysis results of the relationship of the level of famer's quality of life	119


ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LISTST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Trend of amount of saving groups in the upper northern region during 2006 – 2009.	4
1.2 Proportion of households in poverty in the upper northern region during 1967– 2007.	5
2.1 Efficiency and operational effectiveness of the organization	8
2.2 DEA Frontier	11
3.1 Research framework	22
4.1 The total number of microfinances for Thailand in 2009	39
4.2 The total number of the microfinance members of the whole country in 2010	40
4.3 The amount of operating funds in 2010	40
4.4 The number of microfinance by province	41
4.5 The number of members of the microfinance by province	42
4.6 The amount of operating fund of the microfinance in the upper North provinces by province	42
4.7 Comparison of the production role between each type of microfinance	54
4.8 Comparison of the role in processing products between each type of microfinance.	57

4.9	Comparison of the marketing role between each type of microfinance	61
4.10	Comparison of microfinances in the investment in agriculture	63



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved