

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract (Thai)	v
Abstract (English)	ix
Table of Contents	xiii
List of Tables	xv
List of Figures	xx
Chapter 1      Introduction	1
1.1    Rationale	1
1.2    Objectives	3
1.3    Scope of the study	4
1.4    Outputs and outcomes of the study	4
Chapter 2      Feta cheese from buffalo milk	5
2.1    History of feta cheese and global cheese consumption	5
2.2    Buffalo milk and cheese from buffalo milk	6
2.3    Feta cheese from buffalo milk produced by the Royal Project Foundation	11
Chapter 3      Sales forecast with limited number of observations	14
3.1    Introduction	14
3.2    Conceptual framework and literature review	14
3.3    Research Methodology	20
3.4    Analysis	24
3.5    Data	25
3.6    Results	25

3.7	Conclusion	64
Chapter 4	Social accounting matrix of the Royal Project Foundation	66
4.1	History of the Royal Project Foundation	66
4.2	Overview of the Social accounting matrix (SAM)	69
4.3	Advantage and disadvantage of SAM	70
4.4	Reference period (RP)	70
4.5	Balancing the SAM	70
4.6	Results	70
4.7	Conclusion	83
Chapter 5	Economic impact of the Royal Project Foundation on the nationwide economy	84
5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	Research Methodology	84
5.3	Specification of KS-CGE Type IV model	87
5.4	Results	92
5.5	Conclusions	117
5.6	Further studies	119
References		121
Appendices		126
	Appendix A	127
	Appendix B	135
	Appendix C	149
	Appendix D	164
	Appendix E	179
	Appendix F	187
	Appendix G	195
	Appendix H	203
Curriculum Vitae		220

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Statistics of buffalo milk producers in 2008	7
2.2	Comparison of nutrition fact between buffalo milk and cow milk (100 g).	8
3.1	Estimation results for the Logistic function using OLS and quadratic interpolation	27
3.2	Estimation results for the Logistic Model using EGLS and quadratic interpolation	28
3.3	Data for the comparison between OLS and EGLS	29
3.4	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC from OLS and EGLS	30
3.5	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC between OLS and EGLS using the t-test	30
3.6	Estimation results for the Logistic function using OLS and quadratic interpolation and fixed y-intercept	31
3.7	Estimation results for the Logistic function using OLS and quadratic interpolation and floating y-intercept	32
3.8	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC for the estimation methods with fixed and floating y-intercept	33
3.9	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC from the estimation methods with fixed and floating y-intercept	33
3.10	Least squares with quadratic interpolation and fixed intercept At $V_0$	34
3.11	Least squares with Quasi-Newton and fixed intercept at $V_0$	35
3.12	Maximum likelihood with quadratic interpolation and fixed intercept at $V_0$	36
3.13	Maximum likelihood with Quasi-Newton and fixed intercept at $V_0$	37
3.14	Estimation result of the Logistic function using maximum likelihood with quadratic interpolation (to search for M) and fixed intercept at $V_0$	41
3.15	Estimation result of Logistic function using least squares with quadratic interpolation (to search for M) and fixed intercept at $V_0$	42

3.16	Estimation result of Logistic function using maximum likelihood with Quasi-Newton (to search for M and Beta) and fixed intercept at $V_0$	43
3.17	Estimation result of Logistic function using least squares with Quasi-Newton (to search for M and Beta) and fixed intercept at $V_0$	44
3.18	Estimation result of the Bass model using least squares and searching for only M (fixed p and fixed q) with quadratic interpolation	45
3.19	Estimation result of the Bass model using least squares searching for M and q (fixed p) with Quasi-Newton	46
3.20	Estimation result of the Bass model using least squares to search for M, p and q with Quasi-Newton	47
3.21	Paired Samples Statistics using data from whole period	49
3.22	Paired Samples Statistics using data from 7 <sup>th</sup> to 24 <sup>th</sup> month	50
3.23	Paired samples statistics between the best logistic model and the best Bass model	52
3.24	Estimation results for the Logistic function using OLS and quadratic interpolation with the method of rolling windows when the width of window is 15	53
3.25	Estimation results for the Logistic function using OLS and quadratic interpolation with the method of rolling windows when the width of window is 16	53
3.26	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC from the estimation methods of rolling windows with widths 15 and 16	54
3.27	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC for the estimation methods of rolling windows with widths 15 and 16	54
3.28	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC from the estimation methods of rolling windows with width 15 and the model with the method of cumulative observations (OLS with quadratic interpolation)	55
3.29	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC between the estimation methods of rolling window with width 15 and the model with the method of cumulative observations (OLS with quadratic interpolation)	55
3.30	Estimation results for the Logistic function using the Quasi-Newton method	56

3.31	Estimation results of the Logistic function using the Gauss-Newton method	57
3.32	Estimation results of the Logistic function using the Newton-Raphson method	58
3.33	Data for the comparison between the Quasi-Newton and Gauss-Newton method	59
3.34	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC from the estimations using the Quasi-Newton and Gauss-Newton methods	60
3.35	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC from the estimation using the Quasi-Newton and Gauss-Newton methods	60
3.36	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC for the estimation using the Quasi-Newton and Newton-Raphson methods	61
3.37	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC for the estimation methods using the Quasi-Newton and Newton-Raphson methods	61
3.38	Data for the comparison between Gauss-Newton and Newton-Raphson	62
3.39	Descriptive statistics of MAPE, AIC and BIC for the estimation using the Gauss-Newton and Newton-Raphson methods	63
3.40	Comparison of MAPE, AIC and BIC for the estimation using the Gauss-Newton and Newton-Raphson methods	63
4.1	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Production sectors buy from Production sectors)	73
4.2	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Import sectors buy from Production sectors)	74
4.3	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Household sectors buy from Production sectors)	75
4.4	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Production sectors buy from Import sector)	76
4.5	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Import sectors buy from Import sectors)	77
4.6	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Household sectors and other sectors buy from Import sectors)	78

4.7	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Production sectors buy from Household sectors)	79
4.8	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Import sectors buy from Household sectors and other sectors)	80
4.9	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Household sectors buy from Household sectors)	81
4.10	Social Accounting Matrix of Thailand in 2010 (Other sectors buy from household sector)	82
5.1	Scenario 1: Increasing government funding to only RPF by 10%, 20% and 30%	92
5.2	Scenario 2: Increasing government funding to only RPF by 10% and export of RPF also increases 10%, 20% and 30%	94
5.3	Scenario 3: Increasing government funding to only RPF by 10% and household consumption of RPF also increases 10%, 20% and 30%	95
5.4	Scenario 4: Labor cost (wage) increases 10%, 19.52%, 20% and 30%	97
5.5	Scenario 5: Labor cost (wage) increases 19.52% and government funding to RPF increases by 7.89%	99
5.6	Scenario 6: Labor cost (wage) increases 19.52% and the optimal increase of government funding to RPF that would neutralize the negative impact	101
5.7	Scenario 7: Production cost of sector 1 (agriculture) increases by 5%, 10% and 15%	102
5.8	Scenario 8: Production cost of sector 1 (agriculture) increases by 10% and government funding to RPF increases by 7.89%	104
5.9	Scenario 9: Production cost of sector 1 (agriculture) increases by 10% and the study will find the optimal increase of government funding to RPF that would neutralize the negative impact	105

5.10	Scenario 10: Production cost of sector 3 (food manufacturing) increases by 5%, 10% and 15%	107
5.11	Production cost of sector 3 (food manufacturing) increases by 10% and government funding to RPF increases by 7.89%	109
5.12	Production cost of sector 3 (food manufacturing) increases by 10% and the government funding to RPF that would neutralize the negative impact	111
5.13	Scenario 13: Production cost of sector 1 (agriculture) and sector 3 (food manufacturing) increase by 10% at the same time	112
5.14	Scenario 14: Production cost of sector 1 (agriculture) and sector 3 (food manufacture) increase by 10% and government funding Increases by 7.89%	114
5.15	Production cost of sector 1 (agriculture) and sector 3 (food manufacture) increase by 10% and the government funding that would neutralize the negative impact	115

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Import value of cheese from whole cow milk into Thailand during 1961-2008.	6
2.2	Effect of ripening on flavor scores of Cheddar cheese	9
2.3	Effect of ripening on aroma scores of Cheddar cheese	9
2.4	Overall scores acceptance	10
2.5	Mehsana buffalo	11
2.6	Feta cheese from buffalo milk produced by RPF	12
2.7	Monthly sales of feta cheese from buffalo milk	13
3.1	Stages of product life cycle	13
3.2	Influence of mass media and interpersonal communication on the adoption of a new product	15
3.3	Total effects of mass media and interpersonal communication on the adoption of a new product	16
3.4	Overall sales of a new product over time	
3.5	S-shaped of the logistic function	17
3.6	Mean Absolute Percentage Error of the Least squares with quadratic interpolation and fixed intercept At $V_0$	36
3.7	Mean Absolute Percentage Error of the Least squares with Quasi-Newton and fixed intercept at $V_0$	36
3.8	Mean Absolute Percentage Error of the Maximum Likelihood with quadratic interpolation and fixed intercept at $V_0$	37
3.9	Mean Absolute Percentage Error of the maximum likelihood with Quasi-Newton and fixed intercept at $V_0$	37
3.10	Forecasting results of Bass1 and Logistic 4	46
3.11	Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) of Bass1 and Logistic 4	46