

## CHAPTER 4

### CONCLUSIONS

In this research unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  were successfully synthesized by FSP and the hydrothermal method. Characterization of these nanomaterials and the sensing films were elucidated and investigated by XRD, BET, SEM with EDS–dot mapping mode, HRTEM. Gas sensing films of all samples were tested towards various flammable gases ( $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $\text{CO}$ ); and environmentally hazardous gas ( $\text{NO}_2$ ).

#### 4.1 Nanoparticles synthesized by FSP

Unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  (0.25–1.0 wt.% Pt loading) nanoparticles were successfully produced by FSP and structurally characterized by XRD, SEM and HRTEM. The BET surface area ( $SSA_{BET}$ ) of the nanoparticles was measured by nitrogen adsorption micromeristic technique. XRD patterns revealed that Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  nanoparticles and their corresponding sensing films were crystalline with a monoclinic phase of  $\text{WO}_3$ . From BET measurement, it was found that the calculated particle sizes of all samples were in the same range of 9–11 nm. From SEM data, the nanoparticles were spherical like, and well dispersed without evident aggregation.

The average particles size ranges from 10 to 20 nm. From this observation, it was found that the rough morphology and the rough particle sizes were not changed with the increasing Pt loading levels. HRTEM characterization, showed the nanoparticles

having clear spherical morphology. The crystallite sizes of spherical unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  were found to be ranging of 5–20 nm. For Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  powder, very small spherical Pt nanoparticles with diameter of  $\sim 1$  nm were found to disperse over the surface of  $\text{WO}_3$  matrix and the presence of Pt element was confirmed by EDS analysis.

#### 4.2 Nanoparticles synthesized by the hydrothermal method

Unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  nanoparticles were synthesized by the hydrothermal method and then impregnated with different Pt contents (0.25–1.0 wt.% Pt loading).

The nanoparticles were characterized by XRD, BET, SEM, EDS and HRTEM.

The XRD characterizations showed that all samples were highly crystalline and all peaks can match to the monoclinic structure of  $\text{WO}_3$  (JCPDS No. 04–006–7123).

Pt peaks were not found in these patterns. It can be assumed that the size of Pt particles were very small. From BET measurement, it was found that the calculated particle sizes of all samples were in the same range of 50–80 nm. From SEM characterization, it can be seen that the powders were seen as loose agglomerations with a plate size ranging from roughly 40 to 500 nm in width and 20–40 nm in thickness. Moreover, the presence of Pt element was confirmed by EDS analysis.

From HRTEM data, it was observed that platelet particle having the average size of  $80 \pm 10$  nm in length and  $50 \pm 5$  nm in thickness. HRTEM show that very small Pt nanoparticles were uniformly dispersed on the surface of larger  $\text{WO}_3$  particles. The size of Pt nanoparticles was smaller than 1 nm for 1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$ .

### 4.3 Comparison of characteristics of unloaded $\text{WO}_3$ and Pt-loaded $\text{WO}_3$ nanoparticles synthesized by FSP and the hydrothermal method

Table 4.1 shows the summary of characteristics of unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  nanoparticles.

**Table 4.1** Summary of characteristics of unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  nanoparticles.

Material characterization method	Unloaded $\text{WO}_3$ and Pt-loaded $\text{WO}_3$ nanoparticles	
	FSP	Hydrothermal
XRD	Monoclinic structure (JCPDS No.83-0950)	Monoclinic structure (JCPDS No.04-006-7123)
BET	Size : 9-10 nm	Size : 50-80 nm
SEM	Size : 10-20 nm (nanoparticles)	Size : 40-500 nm in width and 20-40 nm in thickness (nanoplates)
TEM	Size : 5-20 nm	Size : $80 \pm 10$ nm in length and $50 \pm 5$ nm in thickness

#### 4.4 Gas sensing properties

##### 4.4.1 Comparison of the performance of the unloaded $\text{WO}_3$ sensor

Unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  film on alumina substrates interdigitated with gold electrodes were prepared by spin-coating technique and tested for gas sensing towards oxidizing gas such as  $\text{NO}_2$  and different reducing gases including  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$  and  $\text{CO}$ . For the reducing gases, the unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by the hydrothermal method was the most selective to  $\text{H}_2$  with relatively high response ( $S = \sim 102.44$  at 1 vol.%  $\text{H}_2$ ) when compared with unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by FSP. On the other hand, oxidizing gas like  $\text{NO}_2$ , unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by FSP exhibited excellent  $\text{NO}_2$  sensing performances with a very high response ( $S = \sim 326$  at 20 ppm  $\text{NO}_2$ ), low operating temperature and shorter response time than unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by the hydrothermal method. Therefore, it was important to note that the sensor response of  $\text{WO}_3$  depended significantly on the preparation method.

##### 4.4.2 Influence of Pt nanoparticles

Unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  sensor showed highly response to oxidizing gas,  $\text{NO}_2$ , but very weak response to reducing gas. It is well known that the sensor properties can be boosted by activation with some metals such as Au, Pd and Pt. Among various metal tested, Pt is the most effective catalyst that can greatly promote sensing of reducing gas including hydrogen, carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon by chemical sensitization via 'spillover' effect. It can be effectively used to increase response and selectivity as well as to reduce response and recovery times.

For the gas response behavior of Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  sensing films several gases have been tested namely  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ , and  $\text{CO}$ . The results showed that

the gas sensing properties of the Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  sensors were superior to those of the unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$ . Especially, both of 1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by FSP and the hydrothermal method showed higher response, better selectivity, faster response/recovery and better longer term stability usage to especially  $\text{H}_2$  than the other gases. In addition, 1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by FSP exhibits the extremely high response of  $\sim 1.34 \times 10^5$  at 1 vol.%  $\text{H}_2$ , which much higher than 1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  synthesized by the hydrothermal method ( $S = \sim 2.16 \times 10^4$  at 1 vol.%  $\text{H}_2$  (Temp. =  $250^\circ\text{C}$ )) and with low working temperature ( $150^\circ\text{C}$ ). In relation to this study the gas sensing properties of materials were related to the surface states and morphology of the material. The gas response could be increased by decreasing the grain size due to high surface/volume ratio.

#### 4.5 Comparison of gas sensing response of unloaded WO<sub>3</sub> and Pt-loaded WO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles synthesized by FSP and the hydrothermal method

Table 4.2 shows the summary of gas sensing performances of unloaded WO<sub>3</sub> sensor.

**Table 4.2** Summary of gas sensing performances of unloaded WO<sub>3</sub> sensor.

Methods	Materials	Gas concentration	Response ( $S=R_a/R_g$ or $R_g/R_a$ )
FSP	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> (0.01–1 vol.%)	No response
Hydrothermal	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>		~102.44 to 1 vol.% at 250°C
FSP	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH (0.005–0.1 vol.%)	~4.48 to 0.1 vol.% at 200°C
Hydrothermal	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>		~4.53 to 0.1 vol.% at 250°C
FSP	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>	CO (0.005–0.2 vol.%)	No response
Hydrothermal	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>		No response
FSP	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (0.005–0.1 vol.%)	~1.12 to 0.1 vol.% at 150°C
Hydrothermal	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>		~7.28 to 0.1 vol.% at 350°C
FSP	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> (1–50 ppm)	~326 to 20 ppm at 150°C
Hydrothermal	Unloaded WO <sub>3</sub>		~15.38 to 50 ppm at 250°C

Table 4.3 show the summary of gas sensing performances of 0.25–1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO<sub>3</sub> sensors.

**Table 4.3** Summary of gas sensing performances of 0.25–1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO<sub>3</sub> sensors.

Methods	Materials	Gas concentration	Response ( $S=R_a/R_g$ or $R_g/R_a$ )
FSP	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> (0.01–1 vol.%)	$\sim 1.34 \times 10^5$ to 1 vol.% at 150°C
Hydrothermal	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>		$\sim 2.16 \times 10^4$ to 1 vol.% at 250°C
FSP	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH (0.005–0.1 vol.%)	$\sim 2.4 \times 10^3$ to 0.1 vol.% at 200°C
Hydrothermal	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>		$\sim 1.4 \times 10^3$ to 0.1 vol.% at 350°C
FSP	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>	CO (0.005–0.2 vol.%)	$\sim 1.2 \times 10^2$ to 0.05 vol.% at 200°C
Hydrothermal	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>		$\sim 469$ to 0.2 vol.% at 250°C
FSP	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (0.005–0.1 vol.%)	$\sim 9.9$ to 0.1 vol.% at 150°C
Hydrothermal	1.0 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>		$\sim 388$ to 0.1 vol.% at 350°C
FSP	0.25 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> (1–50 ppm)	$\sim 954$ to 20 ppm at 150°C
Hydrothermal	0.25 wt.% Pt-loaded WO <sub>3</sub>		$\sim 8.21$ to 50 ppm at 250°C

#### 4.6 Suggestions for future work

- 4.6.1 The gas sensing properties of the unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  sensors for other gases (such as  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$ ) will be further investigated.
- 4.6.2 Unloaded  $\text{WO}_3$  and Pt-loaded  $\text{WO}_3$  nanoparticles will be investigated for catalysts, electrochromic devices, and possibility for use as photocatalytic catalysts.
- 4.6.3 The sensor may be prepared by several methods such as screen-printing, sputtering and chemical vapor deposition.