TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | 3 \ iii |
| ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH | vi |
| ABSTRACT IN THAI | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS | xiv |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES | |
| 1.1 Background and rationale | |
| 1.2. Objectives of the study | 4 |
| CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1 Human foodborne disease situation | 5 |
| 2.2 Classification of slaughterhouses in the Philippines | 7 |
| 2.3 Pig slaughter lines in the Philippines | 8 |
| 2.4 Hygiene indicator microorganisms | 10 |
| 2.4.1 Total Viable Count (TVC) | 10 |
| 2.4.2 Enterobacteriaceae | 11 |
| 2.4.3 Coliforms and E. coli | 12 |
| 2.5 Foodborne pathogenic bacteria | 13 |
| 2.5.1 Pathogenic E. coli | 13 |
| 2.5.2 Salmonella | 14 |
| 2.6 Contamination during slaughter | 26 20 16 |
| 2.6.1 Contamination in lairage | |
| 2.6.2 Contamination in the slaughter process | 18 |
| 2.7 Slaughter of swine and cleaning and disinfection | University |
| | |

| CHAPTER III MATERIALS AND METHODS | 21 |
|--|----|
| 3.1 Study scope | 21 |
| 3.2 Sampling | 21 |
| 3.2.1 Sample collection | 21 |
| 3.2.2 Sample size and sampling strategy | 22 |
| 3.2.3 Sampling techniques | 22 |
| 3.2.4 Sampling sites | 23 |
| 3.3 Microbiological procedures | 24 |
| 3.3.1 Decimal dilution of test samples | 24 |
| 3.3.2 Total Viable Count | 25 |
| 3.3.3 Isolation and enumeration of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> | 25 |
| 3.3.4 Detection of <i>E. coli</i> and coliforms | 27 |
| 3.3.5 Detection of Salmonella | 28 |
| 3.4 Calculation of TVC and Enterobacteriaceae counts | 30 |
| CHAPTER IV RESULTS | 31 |
| 4.1 Total Viable Count (TVC) and Enterobacteriaceae count | 31 |
| 4.2 Water samples: Most Probable Number (MPN) of E. coli and coliforms | 35 |
| 4.3 Detection of Salmonella | 37 |
| 4.4 Profile of slaughterhouses involved in the study | 43 |
| CHAPTER V DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS | 46 |
| 5.1 Discussion of microbiological test results | 46 |
| 5.1.1 TVC and Enterobacteriaceae counts | 46 |
| 5.1.2 Detection of <i>E. coli</i> and coliforms in water samples | 48 |
| 5.1.3 Detection of Salmonella | 49 |
| 5.2 Conclusions of the study | 53 |
| REFERENCES | 54 |
| APPENDIX | 63 |
| DECLARATION | 67 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE | 68 |
| | |
| | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Classification of slaughterhouses by the National Meat Inspection | |
| | Service (NMIS), Philippines | 8 |
| 2 | Present number of serovars in each Salmonella species and | |
| | subspecies | 14 |
| 3 | Sample size and sampling strategy | 22 |
| 4 | Total Viable Counts and Enterobacteriaceae counts in | |
| | environmental and carcass swabs | 31 |
| 5 | Mean values for TVC and Enterobacteriaceae count in | |
| | environmental sites and carcasses from both unaccredited and | |
| | accredited slaughterhouses | 32 |
| 6 | Mean values for TVC in environmental sites and carcasses | |
| | sampled in unaccredited and accredited slaughterhouses | 33 |
| 7 | Mean values for Enterobacteriaceae counts in environmental sites | |
| | and carcasses sampled in unaccredited and accredited | |
| | slaughterhouses | 34 |
| 8 | Salmonella in environmental and carcass samples | 37 |
| 9 | Salmonella in environmental and carcass samples in unaccredited | |
| | and accredited slaughterhouses | 38 |
| 10 | Occurrence of Salmonella in unaccredited and accredited | |
| | slaughterhouses | 41 |
| 11 | Comparison of accredited and unaccredited slaughterhouse | |
| | facilities and operations | 43 |
| | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | Medium-scale pig slaughtering on a tiered floor | 9 |
| 2 | Most Probable Number (MPN) of coliforms and E. coli detected | |
| | in water samples from an unaccredited slaughterhouse in NCR | 35 |
| 3 | Most Probable Number (MPN) of coliforms and E. coli detected | |
| | in water samples from an accredited slaughterhouse in NCR | 36 |
| 4 | Salmonella positive environmental sites by percentage | 39 |
| 5 5 | Percentage of Salmonella positive environmental samples in | |
| | accredited slaughterhouses | 40 |
| 6 | Percentage of Salmonella positive environmental samples in | |
| | unaccredited slaughterhouses | 40 |
| 7 | Salmonella serotypes of isolates from environmental and carcass | |
| | samples in percent | 42 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

APHCA Animal Production and Health Commission for Asia and the Pacific

ASEAN Association of South East Asian Nations

BAS Bureau of Agricultural Statistics (Philippines)

BAI Bureau of Animal Industry (Philippines)

BGA Brilliant Green Agar

BPW Buffered Peptone Water

cfu Colony Forming Units

CI Confidence Interval

DA Department of Agriculture (Philippines)

DOH Department of Health (Philippines)

D-LSB Double-strength Lauryl Sulfate Broth

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization

GMP Good Manufacturing Practices

HACCP Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points

ISO International Organization for Standardization

KAP Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices

LGU Local Government Unit

MIL Motility Indole Lysine

MKTTn Mueller-Kauffmann Tetrathionate/Novobiocin

MPN Most Probable Number

MRD Maximum Recovery Diluent

NA Nutrient Agar

NCR National Capital Region (Philippines)

NMIS National Meat Inspection Service (Philippines)

PCA Plate Count Agar

RV Rappaport-Valliadis

SD Standard Deviation

S-LSB Single-strength Lauryl Sulfate Broth

SSOP Standard Sanitary Operating Procedures

TSI Triple Sugar Iron

TVC Total Viable Count

TW Tryptone Water

WHO World Health Organization

WHO-Salm WHO Collaborating Center for Reference and Research on Salmonella

VRBD Violet Red Bile Dextrose

XLT-4 Xylose Lysine Tergitol-4

