

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract in Thai	iv
Abstract in English	vi
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose	3
1.2 Scope of the Study	3
1.3 Location of the Study Area	4
1.4 Study Area	5
1.5 Archaeology of the Kiln Site at Intakhin	8
1.6 Literature Review	9
Chapter 2 Magnetic Surveys	12
2.1 Magnetic Anomalies in Archeology	12
2.2 Magnetic Gradient	13
2.2.1 Magnetic Gradient Measurement	14
2.2.2 Magnetic Gradient Readings in the Field	14
2.3 Magnetic Data Processing	15
2.3.1 Derivatives	16
2.3.2 Analytical Signals	18
2.4 Magnetic Data Acquisition	18
2.4.1 Data Correction	21
2.5 Magnetic Results	23
2.5.1 Magnetic Gradient Data	23

2.5.2 Total Magnetic Intensity Data Filtered by Derivative	27
2.5.3 Magnetic Data of Analytical Signals	32
Chapter 3 Electrical Resistivity Surveys	34
3.1 Resistivity Method	34
3.1.1 Geo-Electrical Resistivity	34
3.1.2 Electrical Properties of Soil Sediments	35
3.1.3 Soil Resistivity	36
3.1.4 Electrical Resistivity Measurement	36
3.1.5 Dipole-Dipole Array	38
3.1.6 3D Resistivity Surveying	39
3.1.7 Theoretical 3D Inversion	40
3.2 Resistivity Data Acquisition	41
3.2.1 Limitations of the 3D Resistivity Cross-Diagonal Method	43
3.2.2 Regularization Choosing Beta	44
3.3 Resistivity Data Processing	46
3.3.1 Inversion Param for 3D Resistivity Processing	47
3.4 Resistivity Data	48
3.4.1 3D Resistivity Block Model	48
3.4.2 Resistivity Depth Slice Results	49
3.4.3 Resistivity Vertical Slice Results	53
Chapter 4 Data Interpretation	59
4.1 Vertical Slices of Resistivity Data	59
4.2 Horizontal Slices of Resistivity Data	61
4.3 Integration of Magnetic Gradient Data and 3D Resistivity Data	62
4.4 3D Visualization of the Areas	65
Chapter 5 Conclusions	67
References	69
Appendix	73

Appendix A	73
Appendix B	83
Curriculum Vitae	105



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1 Values of resistivity different soils.	36
Table 3.2 Inversion setting param used in the RES3DINV software.	48



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1 Trace of ancient kiln in the house of Mr. Duangdee Jaitanong.	1
Figure 1.2 Excavated kilns at Mae Taeng District, Chiang Mai Province.	2
Figure 1.3 The boundaries of the Intakhin museum.	3
Figure 1.4 Location map of the Intakhin kiln site, Mae Taeng District, Chiang Mai Province.	4
Figure 1.5 The Intakhin kiln site (Museum) displaying kilns and debris crockery.	5
Figure 1.6 Study Area 1.	6
Figure 1.7 Study Area 2.	7
Figure 1.8 Drawing illustrating the ceramic kiln skeleton (a) Side section-view of Kiln, (b) Top view of kiln, and (c) Front of view of Kiln.	9
Figure 2.1 Magnetic data collection using dual sensors (a) Vertical gradient (b) Horizontal gradient.	13
Figure 2.2 Gradiometer Measurement Procedures.	15
Figure 2.3 Flow chart of data processing in the frequency and time domain	16
Figure 2.4 Signs at the sensor bottom.	19
Figure 2.5 WCZ-2 Proton Magnetometer (a) 2 sensors, (b) LCD display, (c) GPS positioning module, (d) GPS adapter, (e) Collapsible Aluminum staff, and (f) External battery.	19
Figure 2.6 Magnetic plan survey.	20
Figure 2.7 Flowchart of magnetic data processing.	21
Figure 2.8 Examined spike magnetic gradient values shown in blue circles.	22
Figure 2.9 XYZ format of magnetic data.	22
Figure 2.10 Magnetic horizontal gradient data of Area 1, distance of sensor was 0.5 m.	23
Figure 2.11 Magnetic horizontal gradient data of Area 1, distance of sensor was 1.0 m.	24

Figure 2.12 Magnetic vertical gradient data in Area 1, distance of sensor was 0.5 m.	24
Figure 2.13 Magnetic vertical gradient data in Area 1, distance of sensor was 1.0 m.	25
Figure 2.14 Magnetic horizontal gradient data in Area 2, distance of sensor was 0.5 m.	25
Figure 2.15 Magnetic horizontal gradient data in Area 2, distance of sensor was 1.0 m.	26
Figure 2.16 Magnetic horizontal gradient data of Area 2, distance of sensor are 0.5 m.	26
Figure 2.17 Magnetic vertical gradient data in Area 2, distance of sensor was 1.0 m.	27
Figure 2.18 Derivatives of x-direction of total magnetic intensity in Area 1.	28
Figure 2.19 Derivatives of y-direction of total magnetic intensity in Area 1.	28
Figure 2.20 Derivatives of total horizontal magnetic intensity in Area 1.	29
Figure 2.21 Derivatives of vertical total magnetic intensity in Area 1.	29
Figure 2.22 Derivatives of x-direction of total magnetic intensity in Area 2.	30
Figure 2.23 Derivatives of y-direction of total magnetic intensity in Area 2.	30
Figure 2.24 Derivatives of total horizontal magnetic intensity in Area 2.	31
Figure 2.25 Derivatives of vertical total magnetic intensity in Area 2.	31
Figure 2.26 Analytical signal map of total magnetic intensity in Area 1.	32
Figure 2.27 Analytical signal map of total magnetic intensity in Area 2.	33
Figure 3.1 Arrangements of 4 electrodes spreads show a current flow in resistivity survey.	37
Figure 3.2 Representative electrode arrangements for four-electrode spreads commonly used in resistivity field work.	37
Figure 3.3 Datum point of a dipole-dipole array.	38
Figure 3.4 The arrangement of electrodes for a grid in 3D resistivity survey.	39

Figure 3.5	Two possible measurement sequences for a 3D electrical resistivity survey use potential electrodes corresponding to a single current electrode in (a) a complete data set survey, and (b) a cross-diagonal survey.	40
Figure 3.6	The models used in 3-D inversion (a) Standard model where the widths of the rectangular cells are equal to the unit electrode spacing in the x- and y-directions, (b) A model where the top few layers are divided by half, both vertically and horizontally, to provide better resolution, and (c) A model where the model cells are divided in the horizontal direction, but not in the vertical direction.	41
Figure 3.7	Instruments for resistivity investigation (a) WDA-1 Super Digital DC-Resistivity Meter, (b) multi-core cable and electrode.	42
Figure 3.8	Layout of line survey in Area 1.	42
Figure 3.9	The layout of the 3D resistivity imaging at the Intakhin kiln site.	43
Figure 3.10	Datum points of a dipole-dipole array for 2 grids.	43
Figure 3.11	Example of 3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 1.	44
Figure 3.12	Tikhonov curve.	45
Figure 3.13	The 3D hexahedral elements to discretize the domain.	46
Figure 3.14	Mapping of values of the model cells used by the inversion program.	46
Figure 3.15	3D resistivity block model in Area 1.	48
Figure 3.16	3D resistivity block model in Area 2.	49
Figure 3.17	Resistivity data depth slides (X-Y plane) in Area 1 (a) 0.1, (b) 0.5, (c) 1.0, (d) 1.5, (e) 2.0, (f) 2.5 and (g) 2.9 m.	51
Figure 3.18	Resistivity data depth slides (X-Y plane) in Area 2 (a) 0.1, (b) 0.5, (c) 1.0, (d) 1.5, (e) 2.0, (f) 2.5 and (g) 2.9 m.	52
Figure 3.19	Map showing line sections of Area 1 (Dash lines are study line).	53
Figure 3.20	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 1 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	54
Figure 3.21	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 2 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	54

Figure 3.22	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 3 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	54
Figure 3.23	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 4 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	55
Figure 3.24	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 5 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	55
Figure 3.25	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 1, Section 6 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	55
Figure 3.26	Map showing line sections of Area 2.	56
Figure 3.27	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 2, Section 1 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	56
Figure 3.28	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 2, Section 2 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	57
Figure 3.29	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 2, Section 3 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	57
Figure 3.30	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 2, Section 4 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	57
Figure 3.31	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 2, Section 5 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	58
Figure 3.32	3D Inversion model of vertical slices of Area 2, Section 6 (The black rectangular present high resistivity anomaly zones).	58
Figure 4.1	Vertical slices of resistivity data at various sections of Area 1.	60
Figure 4.2	Vertical slices of resistivity data at various sections of Area 2.	61
Figure 4.3	Horizontal slices of resistivity data at various depths (a) Area 1, and (b) Area 2.	62
Figure 4.4	Comparison data of Area 1.	63
Figure 4.5	Comparison data of Area 2.	64
Figure 4.6	3D resistivity volumetric representation in Area 1.	65
Figure 4.7	3D resistivity volumetric representation in Area 2.	66