

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	d
Abstract in Thai	e
Abstract in English	h
List of Tables	l
List of Figures	o
Statement of Originality	v
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research Objectives	2
Chapter 2 Literature reviews	3
2.1 Taxonomic information	3
2.2 Morphology of adult worm	3
2.3 Morphology of larval stages	5
2.4 Epidemiology	8
2.5 Life history	11
2.6 Clinical symptoms	14
2.7 Diagnosis	14
2.8 Treatments	15
2.9 Prevention and control	15
2.10 Molecular parasitology	16

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
Chapter 3 Materials and Methods	21
3.1 Materials and research instruments	21
3.2 Chemical reagents	22
3.3 Parasitic materials	23
3.4 Morphological study of adult worms	24
3.5 The prevalence of adult worms infection	25
3.6 The prevalence of cercarial infection of snail intermediate hosts	25
3.7 Life history study	30
3.8 Molecular biological study	35
Chapter 4 Results	40
4.1 Morphological description of adult	40
4.2 The prevalence of adult worm infection	42
4.3 The prevalence of cercarial infection of snail intermediate hosts	43
4.4 Life history study	47
4.5 Molecular biological study	68
Chapter 5 Discussion	99
Chapter 6 Conclusion	105
References	107
List of publications	120

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
Appendix	121
Appendix A	121
Appendix B	125
Appendix C	130
Appendix D	134
Appendix E	140
Appendix F	142
Appendix G	144
Curriculum Vitae	146

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1 Nucleotide sequences of each arbitrary primer were used individually for HAT- RAPD PCR	36
Table 4.1 The cercarial types and prevalence of infection found in snails	46
Table 4.2 The worm recovery rates of <i>F. gigantica</i> adult worms in albino mice and dwarf hamsters	63
Table 4.3 Average measurements of young to mature adult of <i>F. gigantica</i> in both experimental hosts; albino mice and dwarf hamsters	65
Table 4.4 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPA-01 primer	69
Table 4.5 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPA-02 primer	70
Table 4.6 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPA-03 primer	71
Table 4.7 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPA-04 primer	72
Table 4.8 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPA-09 primer	73
Table 4.9 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPA-10 primer	74
Table 4.10 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-02 primer	75
Table 4.11 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-03 primer	76
Table 4.12 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-04 primer	77

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

	Page
Table 4.13 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-05 primer	78
Table 4.14 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-06 primer	79
Table 4.15 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-07 primer	80
Table 4.16 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-08 primer	81
Table 4.17 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-09 primer	82
Table 4.18 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPN-10 primer	83
Table 4.19 Number of fragment generated and fragment size ranged of each trematode samples based on HAT-RAPD profiles of OPP-11 primer	84
Table 4.20 Information of primers used and total band generated, number of polymorphic including percent polymorphism of each primer	85
Table 4.21 Specification of <i>F. gigantica</i> specific primers designed by the sequences of RAPD markers of OPN-09 primer	88
Table 4.22 The maximum identities and query coverage of <i>F. gigantica</i>	94
Table 4.23 The variables speciation of genetic diversity of <i>F. gigantica</i> and <i>F. hepatica</i>	98

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Illustration demonstrated the morphological comparison between <i>Fasciola hepatica</i> and <i>F. gigantica</i>	5
Figure 2.2 Illustration demonstrated the larval forms of the closely related-species, <i>Fasciola hepatica</i>	7
Figure 2.3 Illustration demonstrated the generally life cycle of closely related-species, <i>Fasciola hepatica</i>	11
Figure 2.4 Diagram demonstrated the structure of ITS region in ribosomal gene complex	19
Figure 3.1 Map of 7 sampling sites were designed for the snail intermediate hosts collection in Chiang Mai Province	26
Figure 3.2 Sampling site 1: Ping River located at Chiang Dao district of Chiang Mai Province	27
Figure 3.3 Sampling site 2: Mae Ngad River located at Phrao district of Chiang Mai Province	27
Figure 3.4 Sampling site 3: Mae Taeng River located at Mae Taeng district of Chiang Mai Province	28
Figure 3.5 Sampling site 4: Ping River located at Mae Rim district of Chiang Mai Province	28
Figure 3.6 Sampling site 5: Ping River located at Chom Thong district of Chiang Mai Province	29
Figure 3.7 Sampling site 6: Mae Cham River located at Hod district of Chiang Mai Province	29
Figure 3.8 Sampling site 7: Doi Tao Reservoir located at Doi Tao district of Chiang Mai Province	30
Figure 3.9 The experimental snail intermediate host; <i>L.auricularia rubiginosa</i>	32

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

		Page
Figure 3.10	Rice plant pot for used to encystment of metacercaria	33
Figure 3.11	The experimental hosts; <i>Phodopus campbelli</i> and <i>Mus musculus</i>	34
Figure 4.1	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> adults from <i>Bos taurus</i> and <i>Bubalus bubalis</i>	41
Figure 4.2	SEM photographs demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> adults from <i>Bos taurus</i> and <i>Bubalus bubalis</i>	42
Figure 4.3	The prevalence of <i>F. gigantea</i> adults infection recovered in <i>Bubalus bubalis</i> and <i>Bos taurus</i> of Chiang Mai Province	43
Figure 4.4	The total prevalence of cercariae infection of snails found in each sampling sites	44
Figure 4.5	The prevalence of infection in each cercarial type found in snails	45
Figure 4.6	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> eggs	46
Figure 4.7	SEM photographs demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> eggs	47
Figure 4.8	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> miracidium	48
Figure 4.9	SEM photographs demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> miracidium	49
Figure 4.10	Illustration demonstrated morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> young sporocyst	50
Figure 4.11	Illustration demonstrated morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> mature sporocyst	51
Figure 4.12	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> mother redia	52
Figure 4.13	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> daughter redia	53
Figure 4.14	Illustration demonstrated morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> cercaria	54
Figure 4.15	Illustration demonstrated the drawing morphology of <i>F. gigantea</i> cercaria	55
Figure 4.16	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of capsule of metacercaria	56
Figure 4.17	Illustration demonstrated the morphology of metacercaria	57

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

	Page
Figure 4.18 Photographs demonstrated the different stages of eggs during the incubation period	58
Figure 4.19 Illustration demonstrated the development of the larval stages	59
Figure 4.20 Photographs demonstrated the cercariae released outer layer of the cyst and lost its tail	60
Figure 4.21 Photographs demonstrated the capsule of metacercaria	61
Figure 4.22 Infected liver of a dwarf hamster was severely destroyed due to migration and penetration of worms	62
Figure 4.23 The worm recovery rates of <i>F. gigantica</i> adult worms in albino mice and dwarf hamsters	62
Figure 4.24 Immature eggs were discovered in day 42 PI on uterus of dwarf hamsters	64
Figure 4.25 Changes of morphological and average measurements of <i>F. gigantica</i> recovered from dwarf hamsters	66
Figure 4.26 Changes of morphological and average measurements of <i>F. gigantica</i> recovered from albino mice	67
Figure 4.27 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPA-01 primer	69
Figure 4.28 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPA-02 primer	70
Figure 4.29 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPA-03 primer	71
Figure 4.30 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPA-04 primer	72
Figure 4.31 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPA-09 primer	73
Figure 4.32 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPA-10 primer	74
Figure 4.33 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-02 primer	75
Figure 4.34 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-03 primer	76
Figure 4.35 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-04 primer	77
Figure 4.36 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-05 primer	78

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

	Page
Figure 4.37 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-06 primer	79
Figure 4.38 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-07 primer	80
Figure 4.39 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-08 primer	81
Figure 4.40 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-09 primer	82
Figure 4.41 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPN-10 primer	83
Figure 4.42 HAT-RAPD profiles generated by OPP-11 primer	84
Figure 4.43 DNA profiles demonstrated that the expected approximately fragments of 380 base pairs of <i>F.gigantica</i> -specific bands	86
Figure 4.44 Illustration demonstrated nucleotide sequences of <i>F.gigantica</i> -Specific derived from HAT-RAPD markers	87
Figure 4.45 Illustration demonstrated the designed position of the 235 bp nucleotide sequences of <i>F. gigantea</i> specific primer	88
Figure 4.46 Illustration demonstrated specificity test of <i>F. gigantea</i> -specific primers	89
Figure 4.47 Illustration demonstrated the reaction sensibility of <i>F. gigantea</i> -specific primers based on 10 folds serial dilution method	90
Figure 4.48 Illustration demonstrated the reaction specificity of <i>F. gigantea</i> -specific primers	91
Figure 4.49 Illustration demonstrated the specific confirmation of <i>F. gigantea</i>	92
Figure 4.50 DNA profiles of ITS-2 region derived from BD2-R and 3S-F primer	93
Figure 4.51 Illustration demonstrated 533 ITS2 nucleotide sequences data of <i>F. gigantea</i>	93
Figure 4.52 Phylogram derived from maximum likelihood analysis depicting phylogenetic relationships of trematodes	96
Figure 4.53 Phylogram derived from an UPGMA analysis depicting phylogenetic relationships of trematodes	97

ข้อความแห่งการริเริ่ม

- 1) เป็นการศึกษาชีวประวัติของพยาธิใบไม้ *F. gigantica* ที่สมบูรณ์ครั้งแรกในสัตว์ทดลองในประเทศไทย
- 2) ข้อมูลของการติดเชื้อระยะตัวอ่อนในหอยที่เป็นโฮสต์กึ่งกลางของพยาธิใบไม้ *F. gigantica*
- 3) โปรเมอร์แบบจำเพาะของพยาธิใบไม้ *F. gigantica* โดยใช้เทคนิค HAT-RAPD เป็นเครื่องมือใหม่สำหรับตรวจสอบตัวอ่อนของพยาธิใบไม้ *F. gigantica* ในหอยที่เป็นโฮสต์กึ่งกลาง
- 4) การวิเคราะห์ความสัมพันธ์เชิงวิวัฒนาการโดยใช้ลำดับนิวคลีโอไทด์ของส่วน ITS-2 เป็นเครื่องมือที่มีประสิทธิภาพในการระบุชนิดของพยาธิใบไม้ *F. gigantica*

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

- 1) The first investigation of life history completely study of *F. gigantica* in experimental hosts in Thailand.
- 2) Detail of investigation of *F. gigantica* larval stages infections in snail intermediate hosts.
- 3) A specific primer of *F. gigantica* based on HAT-RAPD technique is the new tool for detection of *F. gigantica* larval stages in snail intermediate hosts.
- 4) The phylogenetic analysis based on ITS-2 sequence has been effective diagnostic tool for the identification of *F. gigantica*.