# CHAPTER 2

# **Preliminaries**

In this chapter some of the basic of semigroup theory and graph theory needed to understand the results of the thesis will be presented.

# 2.1 Semigroups

A set S together with a binary operation  $\cdot$  is a groupoid. A groupoid  $(S, \cdot)$  satisfying the associative law

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$

for all  $a, b, c \in S$  is a *semigroup*. A semigroup having only one element is *trivial*. It is customary to omit the symbol for multiplication by writing simply S for  $(S, \cdot)$  and denote the product of a and b by juxtaposition ab rather than  $a \cdot b$ .

An element e of S is the *identity* of S if s = es = se for all  $s \in S$ . A semigroup with an identity is a *monoid*.

One can always adjoin the identity to S by taking  $e \notin S$  and declaring a multiplication on  $S \cup \{e\}$  such that es = se = s for all  $s \in S \cup \{e\}$ . We write  $S = S^1$  if S is a monoid; otherwise,  $S^1$  stands for the semigroup S with the identity adjoined. The usual notation for the identity is 1. A group is a semigroup with the identity (denoted by 1 or e as convenient) and in which for every element a there axists an element  $a^{-1}$  with  $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1$ .

An element z of S is a left (respectively, right) zero of S if zs = z (respectively, sz = z) for all  $s \in S$ . If z is both a left and right zero then we call the zero of S. The usual symbol for the zero is 0. A semigroup can have at most one zero element. A semigroup all of whose elements are left (respectively, right) zeros is a left (respectively, right) zero semigroup.

The zero element can be adjoined to any semigroup in the same way as the identity. For any semigroup S

$$S^{0} = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } S \text{ has a zero element,} \\ S \cup \{0\} & \text{otherwise }, \end{cases}$$

where the multiplication in S is extended to  $S^0$  by defining, if necessary, s0 = 0s = 0 for all  $s \in S^0$ . Then  $S^0$  is a semigroup with a zero.

Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be nonempty subsets of a semigroup S. Then  $A_1A_2 \cdots A_n = \{a_1a_2 \cdots a_n | a_i \in A_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . If  $A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_n = A$ , we write  $A^n = A_1A_2 \cdots A_n$ . If  $A_i = \{a_i\}$ , we write  $a_i$  in stead of  $\{a_i\}$  in A. So for example,  $S^1a = Sa \cup a$ . A nonempty subset T of S is called a *subsemigroup* if it is closed under the multiplication of S. If T is a group under the multiplication of S, then it is a *subgroup* of S.

For any nonempty subset A of a semigroup S, the intersection of all subsemigroups of S containing A is the subsemigroup  $\langle A \rangle$  of S generated by A. If  $\langle A \rangle = S$ , then S is generated by A and A is a set of generators of S. The subsemigroup of S generated by a singleton  $\{s\}$  is the cyclic semigroup generated by s and denoted by  $\langle s \rangle$ . The cardinality of a set X is denoted by |X|. The order of S (respectively, s) is |S| (respectively,  $|\langle s \rangle|$ ). An element s of S has finite order if  $\langle s \rangle$  is finite, otherwise s has infinite order. If every element of S has finite order, then S is periodic. For any subgroup K of G, G/K denotes the set of all distinct left cosets of K in G.

An element  $e \in S$  is called *idempotent* if it satisfies  $e^2 = e$ . We use E(S) to denote the set of all idempotents of S. A *band* is a semigroup such that every element is idempotent. Let J be a nonempty set. The *direct product* of a family of semigroup  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in J}$  is the semigroup defined on the cartesian product  $\prod_{\alpha \in J} S_{\alpha}$  with coordinatewise multiplication. We denote by  $p_{\alpha}$  the projection of the direct product  $\prod_{\alpha \in J} S_{\alpha}$  onto the  $\alpha$ -component  $S_{\alpha}$ . Then for any right group  $S = G \times R_n$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the natural projections of S onto G and onto  $R_n$ , respectively.

A binary relation  $\leq$  on a set X is called a *partial order* on X if

- (1)  $(x, x) \in \leq$  for all x in X (that is,  $\leq$  is *reflexive*);
- (2)  $\forall x, y \in X$ , if  $(x, y) \in \leq$  and  $(y, x) \in \leq$  then x = y (that is,  $\leq$  is *antisymmetric*);
- (3)  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ , if  $(x, y) \in \leq$  and  $(y, z) \in \leq$  then  $(x, z) \in \leq$  (that is,  $\leq$  is transitive).

#### 2.1.1 Homomorphisms and Ideals

Let S and T be semigroups. A mapping  $\phi : S \to T$  is called a (*semigroup*) homomorphism if, for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

$$(xy)\phi = x\phi y\phi.$$

A homomorphism that is injective will be called a *monomorphism*. And if it is surjective, it will be called an *epimorphism*. A homomorphism is called an *isomorphism*  if it is bijective. If there exists an epimorphism from S onto T, we say that T is a homomorphic image of S. If there is an isomorphism  $\phi : S \to T$ , we say that S and T are isomorphic and write  $S \cong T$ . A homomorphism from S to itself is called an endomorphism and an isomorphism from S to itself is called an automorphism. The set of all endomorphisms of S, under composition of mappings, forms a monoid. We call this monoid the endomorphism monoid of S and denote its by End(S). Similarly, the set of all automorphisms forms a group that is denoted by Aut(S) and is called the automorphism group of S.

A nonempty subset I of a semigroup S is called a *right ideal* of S if  $ba \in I$ for all  $a \in S, b \in I$ . Dually, if  $ab \in I$ , then I is called a *left ideal* and I is called *(two-sided) ideal* if it is both a left and a right ideal. An (right, left) ideal I of S is called *proper* if  $I \neq S$ .

## 2.1.2 Green's Relations and Regular Semigroups

Green's relations were first introduced by J.A. Green in [6]. He describes the ideal structure of a semigroup. We now define Green's relations  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

Let S be a semigroup and let  $s \in S$ . The principal right, left and twosided ideals generated by s are the sets  $sS^1 = sS \cup \{s\}, S^1s = Ss \cup \{s\}$  and  $S^1sS^1 = sS \cup Ss \cup SsS \cup \{s\}$ , respectively. For  $s, t \in S$ , we say that s and t are  $\mathcal{R}$ -related, writing  $s\mathcal{R}t$ , if s and t generate the same principal right ideal (i.e.  $sS^1 = tS^1$ ). We say they are  $\mathcal{L}$ -related if they generate the same principal left ideal (i.e.  $S^1s = S^1t$ ), in this case we write  $s\mathcal{L}t$ . Also, we say s and t are  $\mathcal{J}$ -related, writing  $s\mathcal{J}t$ , if they generate the same principal two-sided ideal (i.e.  $S^1sS^1 = S^1tS^1$ ). We define  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ : the composition of the binary relations  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ . The relations  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are equivalence relations and called Green's equivalence relations on a semigroup. We called the corresponding equivalence classes the  $\mathcal{R}$ -classes,  $\mathcal{L}$ -classes,  $\mathcal{H}$ -classes and  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes, respectively of S.

**Proposition 2.1.1.** [10] Let S be a semigroup and  $a, b \in S$ .

- (1)  $a\mathcal{L}b \Leftrightarrow there \ exist \ x, y \in S^1 \ with \ a = xb, b = ya.$
- (2)  $a\mathcal{R}b \Leftrightarrow there \ exist \ x, y \in S^1 \ with \ a = bx, b = ay.$
- (3)  $a\mathcal{J}b \Leftrightarrow there \ exist \ x, y, u, v \in S^1 \ with \ a = xby, b = uav.$

#### An element $a \in S$ is called *regular* if there exists $x \in S$ such that axa = a.

The semigroup S is said to be *regular* if all of its elements are regular. If  $a \in S$ , then we say that a' is an *inverse* of a if

$$aa'a = a$$
 and  $a'aa' = a'$ .

An element has an inverse if and only if that element is regular. A semigroup in which every element has a unique inverse is an *inverse semigroup*.

#### 2.2 Completely Regular Semigroups

Let G be a group,  $R_n$  an n-element right zero semigroup and  $S = G \times R_n$ . As usual the multiplication on S is defined componentwise by (g,r)(g',r') = (gg',r') for  $g,g' \in G, r, r' \in R_n$ . We call the semigroup S a right zero union of the groups (RZUG) over G.

Correspondingly, let  $L_m$  be an *m*-element left zero semigroup, we set  $S = G \times L_m$ and define the multiplication on *S* componentwise by (g,l)(g',l') = (gg',l) for  $g,g' \in G, l, l' \in L_m$ . We call this semigroup a *left zero union of the groups* (*LZUG*) over *G*.

A semigroup S is said to be left(right) cancellative if xy = xz(yx = zx) implies y = z, for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .

## 2.2.1 Completely (0-)Simple Semigroups

A semigroup S is called *(right, left) simple* if it has no proper (right, left) ideals. A semigroup S with zero element 0 is called *(right 0-simple, left 0-simple) 0-simple* if (i)  $S^2 \neq 0$ , and (ii) 0 is only proper (right, left) ideal of S. A semigroup S is called a *right group* if it is right simple and left cancellative. This is equivalent to saying that, for any element a and b of S, there exists one and only one element x of S such that ax = b. *Left group* is defined dually.

**Theorem 2.2.1.** [5] The following assertions concerning a semigroup S are equivalent :

- (1) S is a right group.
- (2) S is a right simple, and contains an idempotent.
- (3) S is the direct product  $G \times R$  of a group G and a right zero semigroup R.

From now on, the direct product of a group and a right zero semigroup, will represent the right group. The following lemma (Lemma 2.6 in [23]) will be used in the proof of theorem in chapter 4. **Lemma 2.2.2.** [23] Let  $S = G \times R_n$  be a right group, where G is a group,  $R_n = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n\}$  a right zero semigroup, and let A be a nonempty subset of S. Then  $\langle A \rangle = \langle p_1(A) \rangle \times p_2(A).$ 

A direct product of a left zero and a right zero semigroup is called a *rectan*gular band. A *rectangular group* is a direct product of a group and a rectangular band.

Let E(S) be the set of all idempotents of a semigroup S. If  $e, f \in E(S)$ , we define  $e \leq f$  if and only if ef = fe = e. Clearly,  $\leq$  is a partial order on E(S). If Scontains a zero element 0, then  $0 \leq e$  for every  $e \in E(S)$ . An idempotent f of S is called *primitive* if  $f \neq 0$  and if  $e \leq f$  implies e = 0 or e = f.

A semigroup S is called a *completely simple semigroup* if S is a simple semigroup and containing a primitive idempotent. And a semigroup S is called a *completely 0-simple semigroup* if S is a 0-simple semigroup and containing a primitive idempotent.



Figure 2.1: Completely simple semigroups.

Note that left(right) zero semigroups, left(right) groups and rectangular groups are just special kinds of a completely simple semigroup. Figure 2.1 shows the relations between completely simple semigroups, rectangular groups, left(right) groups, left(right) zero semigroups, and groups. An arrow  $A \rightarrow B$  means "A implies B".

### 2.2.2 Rees Matrix Semigroups and Brandt Semigroups

Suppose that G is a group, I and  $\Lambda$  are nonempty sets, and P is a  $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over a group G. The *Rees matrix semigroup*  $\mathcal{M}(G, I, \Lambda, P)$  with sandwich matrix P consists of all triples  $(g, i, \lambda)$ , where  $i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , and  $g \in G$  with multiplication defined by the rule  $(g_1, i_1, \lambda_1)(g_2, i_2, \lambda_2) = (g_1 p_{\lambda_1 i_2} g_2, i_1, \lambda_2).$ 

**Theorem 2.2.3.** [19] A semigroup S is completely simple if and only if S is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup.

We denoted the Rees matrix semigroup over the group with zero  $G^0$  with sandwich matrix P by  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I, \Lambda, P)$ .  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, I, \Lambda, P)$  is the  $(G \times I \times \Lambda) \cup \{0\}$  with multiplication defined by

$$(g, i, \lambda)(h, j, \mu) = \begin{cases} (gp_{\lambda j}h, i, \mu) & \text{if } p_{\lambda j} \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(g, i, \lambda)0 = 0(g, i, \lambda) = 00 = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.2.4.** [19] A semigroup S is completely 0-simple if and only if S is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup over the group with zero.

In the sequel we will mainly use the term Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}(G, I, \Lambda, P)$ and the term Rees matrix semigroup over the group with zero  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I, \Lambda, P)$  instead of a completely simple semigroup and a completely 0-simple semigroup, respectively.

The following lemma (Lemma 3.2 in [15]) is one of many of the basic facts which Kelarev and Praeger have collected.

**Lemma 2.2.5.** [15] Let  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, I, \Lambda, P)$  be a completely simple semigroup. Then S is a right group if and only if |I| = 1.

For any semigroup S and a nonempty set I, we let

$$B(S,I) = I \times S \times I \cup \{0\}$$

where  $0 \notin I \times S \times I$ , with the multiplication

$$(i,g,l)(l,h,j) = (i,gh,j)$$

and all other products are equal to 0. Note that when S is a group, B(S, I) is a special case of a Rees matrix semigroup over the group with zero and called a *Brandt semigroup* where P is the identity matrix. Since this semigroup depends only upon the group G and the set I, we denote this semigroup by B(G, I). Note that, a completely 0-simple inverse semigroup is a Brandt semigroup. For a Brandt semigroup S = B(G, I), we put the subsets of S

$$\begin{split} S_{-j} &= \ \{(i,g,j) \mid i \in I, g \in G\}, \\ S_{i_{-}} &= \ \{(i,g,j) \mid j \in I, g \in G\}, \\ S_{ij} &= \ \{(i,g,j) \mid g \in G\}. \end{split}$$

Note that, the  $S_{-j}, S_{i-}$  and  $S_{ij}$  are the  $\mathcal{L}$ -class,  $\mathcal{R}$ -class and  $\mathcal{H}$ -class of S, respectively.



Figure 2.2: Completely Regular Semigroups.

In Figure 2.2. shows the relations between completely simple semigroups, rectangular groups, Brandt semigroups, left groups, right groups and groups. A simple arrow  $A \rightarrow B$  means "A implies B".

# 2.3 Digraphs

In this section we will present basic definitions of digraphs, which include the isomorphism and basic operations on digraphs.

### 2.3.1 Basic Definitions of Digraphs

A directed graph or digraph D is a pair (V(D), E(D)), where V(D) is a nonempty set whose elements are called the *vertices* and E(D) is the subset of the set of ordered pairs of elements of V(D). The elements of E(D) are called the *arcs* of D. We call V(D) the *vertex set* and E(D) the *arc set* of D. The *order (size)* of D is the number of vertices (arcs) in D.

**Example 2.3.1.** The digraph *D* in Figure 2.3 is of order 4 and size 6 where  $V(D) = \{w, x, y, z\}$  and  $E(D) = \{(x, z), (x, y), (y, z), (z, w), (w, w)\}.$ 



Figure 2.3: A digraph D.

For  $u, v \in V(D)$ , an arc  $a = (u, v) \in E(D)$  is denoted by uv and implies that a is directed from u to v. Here u is the *initial* vertex (*tail*) and v is the *terminal* vertex (*head*). An arc from a vertex to itself such as (u, u), is called a *loop*. Arcs with the same tail and the same head are called *multiple arcs*. Digraphs considered in this thesis are digraphs without multiple arcs. The head and tail of an arc are its *end-vertices*, we say that the end-vertices are *adjacent*. Also we say that a joins u to v, a is *incident* with u and v, a is incident from u and a is incident to v. For any  $v \in V(D)$ , the number of arcs incident to v is the *indegree* of v and is denoted by  $\overrightarrow{d}(v)$ . The *total degree* (or simply degree) of v is  $d(v) = \overrightarrow{d}(v) + \overleftarrow{d}(v)$ . If  $\overrightarrow{d}(v) = \overleftarrow{d}(v) = k$  for every  $v \in V(D)$ , then D is said to be a k-regular digraph.

Since the number of arcs in a digraph equals the number of their tails and the number of their heads, we obtain the following very basic result.

**Proposition 2.3.2.** [2] For any digraph D,

$$\sum_{x \in V(D)} \overleftarrow{d}(x) = |E(D)| = \sum_{x \in V(D)} \overrightarrow{d}(x)$$

A digraph D' is a subdigraph of a digraph D if  $V(D') \subseteq V(D), E(D') \subseteq E(D)$ . If V(D') = V(D), we say that D' is a spanning subdigraph of D. In Figure 2.3, the digraph H with vertex set  $\{w, x, y, z\}$  and arc set  $\{(w, x), (w, y), (z, y), (x, z)\}$  is a spanning subdigraph of the digraph D. If every arc of E(D) with both end-vertices in V(D') is in E(D'), we say that D' is induced by V(D') and call D' an induced (or a strong) subdigraph of D. **Example 2.3.3.** The digraph L with vertex set  $\{x, y, z\}$  and arc set  $\{(x, z), (x, y), (y, z)\}$  in Figure 2.4 is a subdigraph of the digraph D in Figure 2.3; L is neither a spanning subdigraph nor an induced subdigraph of the digraph D in Figure 2.3. The digraph M along with the arc yx in Figure 2.4 is an induced subdigraph of the digraph D in Figure 2.3.



Figure 2.4: Induced subdigraphs.

A walk in D is an alternating sequence  $W = x_1a_1x_2a_2x_3...x_{k-1}a_{k-1}x_k$  of vertices  $x_i$  and arcs  $a_j$  from D such that the tail of  $a_i$  is  $x_i$  and the head of  $a_i$  is  $x_{i+1}$  for every i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1. A walk W is closed if  $x_1 = x_k$ , and open otherwise. The set of vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$  is denoted by V(W), the set of arcs  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k-1}\}$  is denoted by E(W). We say that W is a walk from  $x_1$  to  $x_k$  or an  $(x_1, x_k)$ -walk. If W is open, then we say that the vertex  $x_1$  is the *initial vertex* of W, the vertex  $x_k$  is the *terminal vertex* of W, and  $x_1$  and  $x_k$  are *end-vertices* of W. The *length* of a walk is the number of its arcs. Hence the walk W above has length k - 1. The arcs of W are defined from the context or simply unimportant, we will denote W by  $x_1x_2...x_k$ .

A trail is a walk in which all arcs are distinct. If the vertices of W are distinct, W is a path. If the vertices  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k-1}$  are distinct,  $k \ge 3$  and  $x_1 = x_k$ , W is a cycle. Since paths and cycles are special cases of walks, the length of a path and a cycle are already defined. The same remark is valid for other parameters and notions, e.g. an (x, y)-path.

If W is a cycle and x is a vertex of W, we say that W is a cycle through x. A loop is also considered a cycle (of length one). A k-cycle is a cycle of length k.

A semi-walk W' is an alternating sequence  $W' = x_1 a_1 x_2 a_2 x_3 \dots x_{k-1} a_{k-1} x_k$ of vertices  $x_i$  and arcs  $a_j$  such that the tail of  $a_i$  is  $x_i$  or  $x_{i+1}$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ . A semi-trail, a semi-path and a semi-cycle are defined similarly.

**Proposition 2.3.4.** [2] Let D be a digraph and let x, y be a pair of distinct vertices in D. If D has an (x, y)-walk W, then D contains an (x, y)-path P such that  $E(P) \subseteq E(W)$ . If D has a closed (x, x)-walk W, then D contains a cycle C through x such that  $E(C) \subseteq E(W)$ .

**Remark 2.3.5.** [2] Let D be a digraph in which every vertex  $v \in D$  has  $\overleftarrow{d}(v) \ge 1$ . Then D contains a cycle.

A digraph H = (V, E) is called an *undirected digraph* if and only if, for every  $(u, v) \in E$ ,  $(v, u) \in E$ . We called H is *connected* digraph if there is a semipath between any two vertices. A *complete digraph* on n vertices, denoted by  $K_n$ , is a digraph with n vertices in which each vertex is an arc to each of the others. An *n*-partite digraph is a digraph such that the vertices are partitioned into n disjoint subsets  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n$  and there is no arc between two vertices in one subset. An *n*-partite digraph is called *complete* n-partite, if there is an arc between all pairs of vertices from different subsets.

## 2.3.2 Isomorphism and Basic Operations on Digraphs

Let  $(V_1, E_1)$  and  $(V_2, E_2)$  be digraphs. A mapping  $\phi : V_1 \to V_2$  is called a digraph homomorphism if  $(u, v) \in E_1$  implies  $(\phi(u), \phi(v)) \in E_2$ , i.e.  $\phi$  preserves arcs. We write  $\phi : (V_1, E_1) \to (V_2, E_2)$ . A digraph homomorphism  $\phi : (V, E) \to (V, E)$  is called a digraph endomorphism. If  $\phi : (V_1, E_1) \to (V_2, E_2)$  is a bijective digraph homomorphism and  $\phi^{-1}$  is also a digraph homomorphism, then  $\phi$  is called a digraph isomorphism. In this case  $(V_1, E_1)$  and  $(V_2, E_2)$  are said to be isomorphic and we write  $(V_1, E_1) \cong (V_2, E_2)$ . For example, the digraphs in Figure 2.5 are isomorphic under the bijection  $\phi : V(G) \to$ V(H) is given by  $\phi(w) = a, \phi(x) = b, \phi(y) = c$ , and  $\phi(z) = d$ . A digraph isomorphism  $\phi : (V, E) \to (V, E)$  is called a digraph automorphism.



Figure 2.5: Isomorphic digraphs.

For any family of nonempty set  $\{X_i | i \in I\}$ , let  $\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$  denote the *disjoint* union of  $X_i, i \in I$ . Let  $(V_1, E_1), (V_2, E_2), \dots, (V_n, E_n)$  be digraphs. The union of  $(V_1, E_1)$ ,

 $(V_2, E_2), \ldots, (V_n, E_n)$  is defined as  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_i, E_i) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i, \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i)$ . An example is shown in Figure 2.6. If  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_i, E_i)$  is called the *disjoint union* of  $(V_1, E_1), (V_2, E_2), \ldots, (V_n, E_n)$  denoted by  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_i, E_i)$ . If  $V_i = V_j = V$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then the *edge sum* of  $(V_1, E_1), (V_2, E_2), \ldots, (V_n, E_n)$  is defined as  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (V_i, E_i) = (V, \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i)$ . An example is shown in Figure 2.7.



Figure 2.7: The edge sum of digraphs.

# 2.4 Cayley Digraphs

In this section, we give definitions and results about Cayley digraphs that will be used in the later chapters.

Let S be a semigroup (group) and  $A \subseteq S$ . We define the Cayley digraph D = Cay(S, A) as follows: V(D) = S is the vertex set and  $E(D) = \{(u, ua) | u \in S, a \in A\}$  is the set of arc in Cay(S, A). The set A is called the connection set of Cay(S, A). We will say that Cay(S, A) is the Cayley digraph of S relative to A. Certainly, if A is an empty set, then Cay(S, A) is an empty digraph, i.e.  $E(Cay(S, A)) = \emptyset$ .

**Example 2.4.1.** We consider  $\mathbb{Z}_4 = (\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}, +)$  we have  $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_4, \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\})$  and  $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_4, \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\})$  in Figure 2.8.



Figure 2.8: Cayley digraphs  $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_4, \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\})$  and  $Cay(\mathbb{Z}_4, \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\})$ .

A digraph (V, E) is called a *semigroup* (group) digraph or digraph of a semigroup (group) if there exists a semigroup (group) S and a connection set  $A \subseteq S$  such that (V, E)is isomorphic to the Cayley digraph Cay(S, A).

**Theorem 2.4.2.** [3] A finite digraph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a Cayley digraph of a group G if and only if automorphism group of  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup  $\Delta$  isomorphic to G such that for every two vertices  $u, v \in V$  there exists a unique  $\sigma \in \Delta$  such that  $\sigma(u) = v$ .

Sr. Arworn, U. Knauer and N. Na Chiangmai [1], they characterized Cayley digraphs of right zero unions of groups as following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.3.** [1] Let (V, E) be a digraph. If it is the vertex disjoint union of k strong G-group subdigraphs  $(V_1, E_1), (V_2, E_2), \ldots, (V_k, E_k)$  for some group  $G, V = \bigcup_{i=1}^k Vi, k \ge 2$ , with digraph isomorphisms  $\varphi_i : Cay(G, A_i) \to (V_i, E_i)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}, A_i \subseteq G$ and if  $\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}[(u_i, v_i) \in E_i \leftrightarrow (u_j, v_i) \in E]$  then (V, E) is an RZUG digraph of  $G \times R_k$ .

To provide a criterion for Cayley digraphs of rectangular groups S. Panma [22] presented the characterization of Cayley digraphs of rectangular groups as following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.4.** [22] A digraph (V, E) is a Cayley digraph of a rectangular group if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (1) (V, E) is the disjoint union of n isomorphic subdigraphs  $(V_1, E_1), (V_2, E_2), \dots, (V_n, E_n)$ for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (2) there exists a group G and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,  $(V_i, E_i)$ contains m disjoint strong subdigraphs  $(V_{i1}, E_{i1}), (V_{i2}, E_{i2}), ..., (V_{im}, E_{im})$  which are Cayley digraphs of G, and  $V_i = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^m V_{i\alpha}$ ,

- (3) for each  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , there exists a digraph isomorphism  $\varphi_{i\alpha} : (V_{i\alpha}) \rightarrow Cay(G, A_{i\alpha})$  for some  $A_{i\alpha} \subseteq G$ , such that  $A_{j\alpha} = A_{k\alpha}$  for all  $j, k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,
- (4) for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , and for each  $u \in V_{i\alpha}, v \in V_{i\beta}, (u, v) \in E$  if and only if  $\varphi_{i\beta}(v) = \varphi_{i\alpha}(u)a$  for some  $a \in A_{i\beta}$ .

Y. Hao, X. Gao and Y. Luo [7], they gave the basic results about Cayley digraphs of Brandt semigroups as the two following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4.5.** [7] Let S = B(G, I) be a Brandt semigroup and A a nonempty subset of S. Then  $((i_1, g_1, j_1), (i_2, g_2, j_2))$  is an arc in Cay(S, A) if and only if  $i_1 = i_2$  and  $(j_1, g_1^{-1}g_2, j_2) \in A$ .

Let  $R_A = \{i \in I | (i, g, j) \in A \text{ for some } g \in G, j \in I\}$ . The next lemma shows that when there is an arc between a nonzero vertex and the zero vertex in Cay(S, A).

**Lemma 2.4.6.** [7] Let S = B(G, I) be a Brandt semigroup and A a nonempty subset of S. Then

- (1) If  $|R_A| \ge 2$ , then there is an arc from each nonzero vertex to the vertex 0 in Cay(S, A).
- (2) If  $R_A = \{i_0\}$  for some  $i_0 \in I$ , then for all  $(i, g, j) \in S \setminus \{0\}$ , ((i, g, j), 0) is an arc in Cay(S, A) if and only if  $j \neq i_0$ .

ลิ<mark>ขสิทธิ์บหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่</mark> Copyright<sup>©</sup> by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved