CONTENTS

Page

Acknowledgements c

Abstract in Thai d

Abstract in English f

List of Tables k

List of Figures n

List of Abbreviations r

List of Symbols s

Chapter 1 Introduction 1

1.1 Ping river 1

1.1.1 General background 1

1.1.2 Water quality parameters for the Ping river 2

1.2 Sequential injection analysis (SIA) 4

1.3 SIA colorimetric analysis 6

1.4 Iron 7

1.4.1 General background 7

1.4.2 Sequential injection analysis methods for iron determination 8

1.5 Manganese 11

1.5.1 General background 11

1.5.2 Sequential injection analysis methods for manganese determination 11
1.6 Phosphate 13
1.6.1 General background 13
1.6.2 Sequential injection analysis methods for phosphate determination 14
1.7 Ammonium 16
1.7.1 General background 16
1.7.2 Sequential injection analysis methods for ammonium determination 16
1.8 Aims of these studies 18

Chapter 2 Experimental 19

2.1 Apparatus and components 19
2.2 Chemicals 19
2.3 Preparation of standard solutions and reagents 20
2.4 Sampling sites 23
2.5 Instrument setups 25
2.5.1 SI-colorimetric system for iron determination 25
2.5.2 SI-colorimetric system for manganese determination 27
2.5.3 SI-colorimetric system for phosphate determination 28
2.5.4 SI-colorimetric system for ammonium determination 30
2.6 Linearity of calibration graph 33
2.7 Limits of detection 33
2.8 Recoveries 33
2.9 Precision 33
2.10 Interferences 33
2.11 Analysis of real water samples 34

Chapter 3 Results and Discussion 35

3.1 Sequential injection analysis (SIA) system for iron, manganese, phosphate and ammonium determination 35

3.1.1 Optimization 35

3.1.2 Calibration graphs and analytical features 49

3.1.3 Recoveries and comparison to standard method 52

3.1.4 Precisions 55

3.2 Effect of interferences 55

3.3 Application to water samples from the Ping river 58

Chapter 4 Conclusion 66

References 68

Appendix 76

The relevance of the research work to Thailand 90

Curriculum Vitae 91

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Classification of water quality parameters	3
Table 1.2	Some researches on sequential injection analysis systems for determination of iron	9
Table 1.3	Some researches on sequential injection analysis systems for determination of manganese	12
Table 1.4	Some researches on sequential injection analysis systems for determination of phosphate	15
Table 1.5	Some researches on sequential injection analysis systems for determination of ammonium	17
Table 2.1	Details of various sampling points as shown in Figure 2.1	24
Table 2.2	The conditions for study effect of flow rate for iron determination	26
Table 2.3	The conditions for study effect of concentration of 1,10-phenanthroline for iron determination	26
Table 2.4	The conditions for study effect of sample volume for iron determination	26
Table 2.5	The conditions for study effect of flow rate for manganese determination	27
Table 2.6	The conditions for study effect of concentration of formaldoxime for manganese determination	28

Table 2.7	The conditions for study effect of sample volume for manganese determination	28
Table 2.8	The conditions for study effect of flow rate for phosphate Determination	29
Table 2.9	The conditions for study effect of concentration of ammonium molybdate for phosphate determination	29
Table 2.10	The conditions for study effect of concentration of ascorbic acid for phosphate determination	30
Table 2.11	The conditions for study effect of sample volume for phosphate Determination	30
Table 2.12	The conditions for study effect of flow rate for ammonium Determination	31
Table 2.13	The conditions for study effect of concentration of sodium hypochlorite for ammonium determination	31
Table 2.14	The conditions for study effect of concentration of sodium salicylate for ammonium determination	32
Table 2.15	The conditions for study effect of concentration of sodium nitroprusside for ammonium determination	32
Table 2.16	The conditions for study effect of sample volume for ammonium Determination	32
Table 3.1	Recoveries of each parameter in water samples determined by the proposed system	53
Table 3.2	Comparison of SI-colorimetric method and spectrometric method for determination of iron, manganese, phosphate and ammonium	54

Table 3.3	Effect of some interferences for determination of iron, manganese, phosphate and ammonium by the proposed system	56
Table 3.4	Concentrations of iron in water samples determined by the proposed system	58
Table 3.5	Concentrations of manganese in water samples determined by the proposed system	60
Table 3.6	Concentrations of phosphate in water samples determined by the proposed system	62
Table 3.7	Concentrations of ammonium in water samples determined by the proposed system	64
Table 5.1	Some chemistry parameters of water samples in February 2015	87
Table 5.2	Some chemistry parameters of water samples in May 2015	88
Table 5.3	Some chemistry parameters of water samples in August 2015	88
Table 5.4	Some chemistry parameters of water samples in November 2015	89

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	The map of the Ping river	3
Figure 1.2	Schematic of simple SIA system. HC = holding, RC = reaction coil, SV = selection valve	5
Figure 1.3	The flow characteristics sample and reagent in the SIA system, P: product, R: reagent, S: sample	5
Figure 2.1	The map of the study area showing sampling points	24
Figure 2.2	Sequential injection (SI) colorimetric system diagram for iron determination. SP = 2.5 mL barrel syringe pump, HC = holding coil, R1 = 5% hydroxylamine, R2 = 0.5% w/v 1,10-phenanthroline	25
Figure 2.3	Sequential injection (SI) colorimetric system diagram for manganese determination. SP = 2.5 mL barrel syringe pump, HC = holding coil, R1 = 5% hydroxylamine, R3 = 0.6 mol L^{-1} Formaldoxime	27
Figure 2.4	Sequential injection (SI) colorimetric system diagram for phosphate determination. SP = 2.5 mL barrel syringe pump, HC = holding coil, R4 = 10 g L^{-1} ammonium molybdate, R5 = 2.5% w/v ascorbic acid	29
Figure 2.5	Sequential injection (SI) colorimetric system diagram for ammonium determination. SP = 2.5 mL barrel syringe pump, HC = holding coil, R6 = 0.5% w/v sodium hypochlorite and R7 = 0.4 mol L^{-1} sodium salicylate	31

Figure 3.1	Effect of flow rate on sensitivity for the determination of iron	36
Figure 3.2	Effect of 1,10-phenthroline concentration on sensitivity for the determination of iron	37
Figure 3.3	Effect of sample volume on sensitivity for determination of iron	38
Figure 3.4	Effect of flow rate on sensitivity for determination of manganese	39
Figure 3.5	Effect of formaldoxime concentration on sensitivity for determination of manganese	39
Figure 3.6	Effect of sample volume on sensitivity for determination of manganese	40
Figure 3.7	Effect of flow rate on sensitivity for determination of phosphate	41
Figure 3.8	Effect of ammonium molybdate concentration on sensitivity for determination of phosphate	42
Figure 3.9	Effect of ascorbic acid concentration on sensitivity for determination of phosphate	43
Figure 3.10	Effect of sample volume on sensitivity for determination of phosphate	44
Figure 3.11	Effect of flow rate on sensitivity for determination of ammonium	45
Figure 3.12	Effect of sodium hypochlorite concentration on sensitivity for determination of ammonium	46
Figure 3.13	Effect of sodium salicylate concentration on sensitivity for determination of ammonium	47
Figure 3.14	Effect of sodium nitroprusside concentration on sensitivity for determination of ammonium	48

Figure 3.15	Effect of sample volume on sensitivity for determination of ammonium	49
Figure 3.16	The calibration graph of iron determination in a linear range of 0.2 – 10.0 mg L^{-1}	50
Figure 3.17	The calibration graph of manganese determination in a linear range of 0.2 – 10.0 mg L^{-1}	50
Figure 3.18	The calibration graph of phosphate determination in a linear range of 0.2 – 5.0 mg L^{-1}	51
Figure 3.19	The calibration graph of ammonium determination in a linear range of 0.2 – 10 mg L^{-1}	52
Figure 3.20	Concentrations of iron in water samples at various sampling points	59
Figure 3.21	Concentrations of manganese in water samples at various sampling points	61
Figure 3.22	Concentrations of phosphate in water samples at various sampling points	63
Figure 3.23	Concentrations of ammonium in water samples at various sampling points	65
Figure 5.1	SIAgram of iron(II) standard solution (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 and 10.0 mg L^{-1})	79
Figure 5.2	SIAgram of manganese(II) standard solution (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 and 10.0 mg L^{-1})	80
Figure 5.3	SIAgram of phosphate standard solution (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 3.0 and 5.0 mg L^{-1})	80

Figure 5.4	SIAgram of ammonium standard solution (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 and 10.0 mg L^{-1})	81
Figure 5.5	Ping Kong sampling point	82
Figure 5.6	Intaram temple sampling point	83
Figure 5.7	Kaeng Pan Tao sampling point	83
Figure 5.8	Thap Dua temple sampling point	84
Figure 5.9	Mae Taeng sampling point	84
Figure 5.10	Nawamin bridge sampling point	85
Figure 5.11	Nawarat bridge sampling point	85
Figure 5.12	Mae Kha canal sampling point	86
Figure 5.13	Mae Kha canal sampling point	86

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CCD	Charged coupled device
FIA	Flow injection analysis
LDR	Light dependent resistor
LED	Light emitting diode
LOD	Limit of detection
RSD	Relative standard deviation
SD	Standard deviation
SI	Sequential injection
SIA	Sequential injection analysis
USA	United States of America
WQI	Water quality index

LIST OF SYMBOLS

mg L^{-1}	Milligrams per liter
mL min^{-1}	Milliliter per minute
g L^{-1}	Gram per liter
µmol L^{-1}	Micromole per liter
µg L^{-1}	Microgram per liter
µL	Microliter
mL	Milliliter
% w/v	Weight/volume percentage
R^2	Square of correlation coefficient
^oC	Degree Celsius
h^{-1}	Per hour
l	Light transmitted
I_0	Incident light