

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	d
Abstract in Thai	e
Abstract in English	i
List of Tables	k
List of Figures	l
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem statement and Motivation	1
1.2 Research objective	4
1.3 Overview of the study area	5
1.3.1 Geologic background	5
1.3.2 Hydrocarbon accumulations	9
1.4 Data inventory	11
1.4.1 Well Data	11
1.4.2 Seismic Data	15
1.4.3 Horizon Interpretation	15
1.5 Quality control	16
1.5.1 Quality control of well log data	16
1.5.2 Quality control of seismic data	48
Chapter 2 Background Theory	53
2.1 Seismic Inversion	53
2.2 Elastic Impedance	57
2.3 Extended Elastic Impedance	60
Chapter 3 Methods	63
3.1 Workflow	63
3.1.1 Petrophysical reviews	65

3.2 Well-to-seismic ties and new elastic log generation	70
3.2.1 Well-to-seismic ties	72
3.2.2 Elastic log generation	82
3.3 AVO gradient analysis	89
Chapter 4 Extended Elastic Impedance (EEI) Analyses	96
4.1 Chi angle determination	96
4.2 EEI log and reflectivity	105
4.2.1 EEI log	105
4.2.2 EEI reflectivity	112
4.3 EEI inversion	114
4.3.1 Post-stack inversion and analysis	114
4.3.2 EEI inversion	121
Chapter 5 Discussion and Conclusion	147
5.1 Discussion	147
5.2 Conclusions	153
References	154
Appendix A	160
Appendix B	163
Curriculum Vitae	164

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1. The summary of available well log from 4 wells (inside study area) and 1 well (well A7 is outside study area).	13
Table 1.2. General parameters of 3D seismic data.	15
Table 3. The summary of well-to-seismic ties done in this study.	81
Table 4. The summary of AVO classes and definitions.	91
Table 4.5. The chi angle determination results showing individual and optimum chi angles with relevant used-methods and item numbers.	102
Table 4.6. The results of individual and optimum maximum coefficients with relevant used-methods and item numbers.	103
Table 4.7. The comparison among optimum chi angle results, and other researches (Whitcombe et al, 2002, and Shahri A, 2013).	104
Table 4.8. The summary of the computed EEI reflectivity (REEI) volumes.	112

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1. Worldwide 2P reserve profile for reservoir type distribution during 2000-2012 from 120 global giant fields (modified from Bai and Xu, 2014).	1
Figure 1.2. General map of the Arabian Peninsula and adjacent regions (modified from Bailey et al., 2007).	3
Figure 1.3. Map showing major geological elements and fields of Oman, location of Block A and study area (modified from Pollastro, 1999).	6
Figure 1.4. General Oman Stratigraphy (modified from Pollastro, 1999).	7
Figure 1.5. Schematic showing the Natih formation type log and seven litho-stratigraphic units from the Natih-3 well (modified from Borowski, 2016).	8
Figure 1.6. The proven hydrocarbon accumulation in the sample well.	10
Figure 1.7. The base map shows 3D seismic area and well positions of this study. The inline direction (Blue lines) is southwest (SW) – northeast (NE) which is orthogonal to the crossline (Red lines) of northwest (NW) – southeast (SE).	12
Figure 1.8. The summary well logs available in this study area including well A1 (Top left), A2 (Top right), A4 (Bottom left), and A8 (Bottom right).	14
Figure 1.9. The well log overview of well A8 acquired by LWD. Some gaps (Red arrows) and spikes (Black arrows) are highlighted.	17
Figure 1.10 The well log overview of well A7 acquired by LWD.	18
Figure 1.11. Cross-plots of P-wave and S-wave velocities (V_p and V_s) from wells A7 and A8, illustrating the Natih E interval.	19
Figure 1.12. The summary plot showing the three facies groups derived from V_p - V_s relation of well A7 and A8 using whole well interval.	20
Figure 1.13. The schematic showing the V_p (A.) and V_s (B.) of dry and fully water-saturated limestone samples at 50 MPa effective pressure (modified from Assefa et al., 2003).	22
Figure 1.14. The correlation of V_p versus V_s and velocity-derived formula for Arab-D carbonate reservoir (Ameen et al., 2009).	22

Figure 1.15. The cross correlation of Vp versus Vs belonging to the interval of Natih carbonate reservoir (Natih E) and subsequently empirical equation derived from these correlations. (A) and (B) are from well A7 and A8 and (C) is the combination of both wells and practical well-derived equation for Vs estimation.	25
Figure 1.16. (A) The plots of estimated Vs with measured Vp from well log by using five empirical equations and (B) the previous plots added measured Vs from the same well log and derived-equation (Equation 6) for Vs estimation in this Block A.	26
Figure 1.17. The schematic showing the Vs comparison in function of depth at well A8 which are highlighting the degree of matching between Vs log and estimated Vs_Eq6 logs. Errors in matching are pointed by black arrows.	28
Figure 1.18. The schematic showing the Vs comparison in function of depth at well A8 which are highlighting the degree of matching between Vs log and estimated Vs_Eq6 logs. Errors in matching are pointed by black arrows.	29
Figure 1.19. The comparisons between Vs log (pink curve) versus estimated Vs_Eq6 (green curve), Vs log versus Vs_Mud (tan curve), and Vs log versus final Vs log in column no.2-4 from left to right for the upper part of well A8.	30
Figure 1.20. The comparisons between Vs log (pink curve) versus estimated Vs_Eq6 (green curve), Vs log versus Vs_Mud (tan curve), and Vs log versus final Vs log in column no.2-4 from left to right for the lower part of well A8.	31
Figure 1.21. The comparisons among Vs log (pink curve), estimated Vs_Eq6 (green curve), and Vs_Mud (tan curve) for well A7. The best fit of Vs log and Vs_Eq6 log can be seen.	32
Figure 1.22. The good correlation between Vs logs and estimated Vs logs (Vs_Eq6 in well A7 and combined Vs log, Vs_Eq6 and Vs_Mud in well A8).	33
Figure 1.23. The summary of Vp, Vs, and other logs of well A8.	34
Figure 1.24. Schematic showing well logs of well A1.	35
Figure 1.25. Schematic showing well logs of well A2.	36
Figure 1.26. Schematic showing well logs of well A4.	37

- Figure 1.27. Well-log display from well A1 and its quality control analysis in the Vp-Rho domain. On the left, display of well logs and on the right, the crossplot of Vp and Rho with gamma ray (GR) values (A) and with formations (B). 38
- Figure 1.28. Schematic showing quality control analysis in Vp and Rho domain highlighting individual strange points that corresponded to wash-out zones (Pink circle). 39
- Figure 1.29. The crossplots (Top) and well-log display (Bottom) of Vp and Rho showing before and after log conditioning (editing of points in wash-out zones) at well A1. 41
- Figure 1.30. The crossplots of Vp versus Rho showing no conditioning at well A2. 42
- Figure 1.31. The crossplots of Vp versus Rho showing before and after conditioning at well A4. 43
- Figure 1.32. The crossplots of Vp versus Rho showing before and after conditioning at well A8. 44
- Figure 1.33. P-wave velocity (Vp) versus neutron porosity (NPHI) showing the typical bound of velocity-porosity relations and identified reservoir group. 46
- Figure 1.34. Schematic showing crossplot of Density (Rho) versus neutron porosity (NPHI) and resistivity (LLD) versus gamma ray (GR), representing facies group and individual properties. 47
- Figure 1.35. Five PSTM-migrated CMP gathers from inline (IL) 2500 with crosslines 20110-20114. The zone of interest is highlighting by red arrows which are around 1700-2100 ms TWT. [The arrows look more like 1700-1900 ms. Thanks for the cartoon of the polarity convention!] 48
- Figure 1.36. Raw (left) and muted (right) gathers at inline 2500 and crossline 20110-20111. Zone of interest is highlighted by red arrow. Horizontal axis is offset (m) and vertical axis is time (ms). 50
- Figure 1.37. Muted (left) and super (right) gathers at inline 2500 and crossline 20110-20111. Zone of interest is highlighted by red arrow. Horizontal axis is offset (m) and vertical axis is time (ms). 51

Figure 1.38. Super gather at inline 2500 displaying the range of incidence angle which used the corrected P-wave velocity of well A8 as velocity function. Top of target is about 1800 ms TWT. Horizontal axis is offset (m) and vertical axis is time (ms TWT).	52
Figure 2.1 The concept of seismic trace inversion converted to impedance (modified from Simm and Bacon, 2014).	54
Figure 2.2. Schematic showing the expression of critical angle from the P-wave energy model at a single shale/limestone interface (modified from Simm and Bacon, 2014).	55
Figure 2.3. Schematic showing the procedure of model-based inversion method (modified from Simm and Bacon, 2014).	56
Figure 2.4. Schematic showing the elastic impedance inversion method (modified from Veekan and Silva, 2004).	58
Figure 2.5. An example plot between the average value for the EI logs from one well in the west of Shetland and the range of incidence angle (modified from Whitcombe, 2002).	60
Figure 2.6. The limitation of angle for EI and EEI (modified from Hampson and Russell, 2015).	61
Figure 2.7. The general plot showing conventional and EEI ranges (modified from Hampson and Russell, 2015).	62
Figure 3.1. Simplified workflow describing briefly procedures used in this study.	64
Figure 3.2. The log display for well A1 showing (from left to right) P-wave and S-wave velocities (V_p & V_s), Neutron porosity and density (NPHI & Rho), deep resistivity, and water saturation, GR, and volume of clay (S_w , GR, & VCL) respectively. The green box represents the oil zones.	66
Figure 3.3. The log display for well A2 showing (from left to right) P-wave and S-wave velocities (V_p & V_s), Neutron porosity and density (NPHI & Rho), deep resistivity, and water saturation, GR, and volume of clay (S_w , GR, & VCL) respectively. The green box represents the oil zones.	67

- Figure 3.4. The log display for well A4 showing (from left to right) P-wave and S-wave velocities (V_p & V_s), Neutron porosity and density (NPHI & Rho), deep resistivity, and water saturation, GR, and volume of clay (Sw , GR, & VCL) respectively. The green box represents the oil zones. 68
- Figure 3.5. The log display for well A8 showing (from left to right) P-wave and S-wave velocities (V_p & V_s), Neutron porosity and density (NPHI & Rho), deep resistivity, and water saturation, GR, and volume of clay (Sw , GR, & VCL) respectively. This well is water bearing reservoirs. 69
- Figure 3.6. Schematic showing the meters true vertical depth (mTVD) measurements in general at which the mTVDSF (meters true vertical depth below surface) would be used in this study (modified from Forsey, C., 2016) 71
- Figure 3.7. The schematic showing check shot calibration at well A1, A2, A4 and A8 (from left to right). 72
- Figure 3.8. The schematic shows the comparison among three seismic correlation panels from three wavelets, which are statistical CMP gathers (pink box), statistical PSTM (light green box), and Ricker 40 Hz (Blue box). The synthetics are in blue traces and the extracted composite are in red traces, and correlation window is from 1725 to 2000 ms TWT. 74
- Figure 3.9. The schematic shows the seismic correlation (Left) and its correlation coefficient (Right). The -38 degree of wavelet phase rotation is required at well A8. 75
- Figure 3.10. The schematic shows the seismic correlation applied new rotated-phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient of 0.623 (Right). 76
- Figure 3.11. The schematic shows the seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient of 0.643 (Right) at well A1. 77
- Figure 3.12. The schematic shows the seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient of 0.611 (Right) at well A2. 77
- Figure 3.13. The schematic shows the seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient of 0.715 (Right) at well A4. 78
- Figure 3.14. The schematic shows re-do seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient (Right) at well A1. 79

- Figure 3.15. The schematic shows re-do seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient (Right) at well A2. 79
- Figure 3.16. The schematic shows re-do seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient (Right) at well A4. 80
- Figure 3.17. The schematic shows re-do seismic correlation applied new rotated phase wavelet (Left) and its correlation coefficient (Right) at well A8. 80
- Figure 3.18. The display of well A1 showing the zones of oil-filled reservoirs highlighted by green boxes, which characterized by low GR (32 API), low PR (0.29), low bulk modulus (34 GPa), low shear modulus (17 GPa), low $\lambda\rho$ (57 GPa.g/cm³), and low $\mu\rho$ (42 GPa.g/cm³) indicating by pink arrows. The blue arrows highlighted non-hydrocarbon zone. 85
- Figure 3.19. The display of well A2 showing the zones of oil-filled reservoirs highlighted by green boxes, which characterized by low GR (26 API), low PR (0.29), low bulk modulus (27 GPa), low shear modulus (13 GPa), low $\lambda\rho$ (45 GPa.g/cm³), and low $\mu\rho$ (32 GPa.g/cm³) indicating by pink arrows. The blue arrows highlighted non-hydrocarbon zone. 86
- Figure 3.20. The display of well A4 showing the zones of oil-filled reservoirs highlighted by green boxes, which characterized by low GR (19 API), low PR (0.29), low bulk modulus (30 GPa), low shear modulus (15 GPa), low $\lambda\rho$ (49 GPa.g/cm³), and low $\mu\rho$ (35 GPa.g/cm³) indicating by pink arrows. The blue arrows highlighted non-hydrocarbon zone. 87
- Figure 3.21. The log display of well A8 showing gamma ray and a number of new elastic parameter logs in which the blue arrows indicated curve values of water-bearing reservoir in the Natih formation. 88
- Figure 3.22. The basic concept of AVO includes: on the left, the schematic of source-receiver offsets (modified from Hampson and Russell, 2015) and on the right, AVO intercept-gradient analysis. 90
- Figure 3.23. (A.), the terminology of AVO and (B.), the AVO classifications (modified from Simm and Bacon, 2014). 91
- Figure 3.24. The AVO plot of well A8 shows very high amplitude of intercept (A), negative AVO and gradient. It was interpreted as Class I (Class 1). 92

Figure 3.25. The AVO plot of well A1 shows relatively high amplitude of intercept (A), and two sets of AVO responses and gradients. It was interpreted as Class I (Class 1).	92
Figure 3.26. The AVO plot of well A2 shows relatively high amplitude of intercept (A), negative AVO and gradient. It was interpreted as Class I (Class 1).	93
Figure 3.27. The AVO plot of well A4 shows relatively high amplitude of intercept (A), and two sets of AVO responses and gradients. It was interpreted as Class I.	93
Figure 4.1. The EEI correlation result and the example of mean, median, and mode methods to derive the optimum chi angle for the water saturation (Sw) log.	97
Figure 4.2. The schematic of EEI analysis shows (A) correlation between EEI and Sw logs and (B) EEI and Vp/Vs ratio logs. The optimum chi angles are indicated by yellow rectangular callouts.	98
Figure 4.3. The schematic of EEI analysis shows (C) correlation between EEI and Poisson's ratio logs and (B) EEI and Vp/Vs logs. The same optimum chi angle (38 deg) is obtained which indicated by yellow rectangular callouts.	98
Figure 4.4. The schematic of EEI analysis shows (D) correlation between EEI and Bulk modulus logs and (E) EEI and Shear modulus logs. The optimum chi angles are indicated by yellow rectangular callouts.	100
Figure 4.5. The schematic of EEI analysis shows (F) correlation between EEI and Lambda-Rho logs and (G) EEI and Mu-Rho logs. The optimum chi angles are indicated by yellow rectangular callouts.	100
Figure 4.6. The schematic of EEI analysis shows (H) correlation between EEI and $(V_s/V_p)^2$ or $\langle K \rangle$ logs and (I) EEI and Zs logs. The optimum chi angles are indicated by yellow rectangular callouts.	101
Figure 4.7. The schematic of EEI analysis shows (J) correlation between EEI and VCL logs and (K) EEI and NPHI logs. The optimum chi angles are indicated by yellow rectangular callouts.	101

Figure 4.8. The schematic of calculated EEI logs (red curves) overlaid on original target parameter logs (blue curves) for well A1 which included GR, Vp/Vs, Poisson's ratio (PR), bulk modulus, shear modulus, lambda-rho ($\lambda\rho$), mu-rho ($\mu\rho$), S-wave impedance (Zs), volume of clay (VCL), and neutron porosity (NPHI). Two logs tracked very well together highlighting by black rectangles. 106

Figure 4.9. The schematic of calculated EEI logs (red curves) overlaid on original target parameter logs (blue curves) for well A2 which included GR, Vp/Vs, Poisson's ratio (PR), bulk modulus, shear modulus, lambda-rho ($\lambda\rho$), mu-rho ($\mu\rho$), S-wave impedance (Zs), volume of clay (VCL), and neutron porosity (NPHI). Two logs tracked very well together highlighting by black rectangles. 107

Figure 4.10. The schematic of calculated EEI logs (red curves) overlaid on original target parameter logs (blue curves) for well A4 which included GR, Vp/Vs, Poisson's ratio (PR), bulk modulus, shear modulus, lambda-rho ($\lambda\rho$), mu-rho ($\mu\rho$), S-wave impedance (Zs), volume of clay (VCL), and neutron porosity (NPHI). Two logs tracked very well together highlighting by black rectangles. 108

Figure 4.11. The schematic of calculated EEI logs (red curves) overlaid on original target parameter logs (blue curves) for well A8 with same scale. Two logs tracked very well together highlighting by black rectangles. 109

Figure 4.12. The schematic of calculated EEI logs (red curves) overlaid on original target parameter logs (blue curves) for well A8 with different scale of EEI logs. Two logs tracked very well together highlighting by black rectangles. 110

Figure 4.13. The computed EEI reflectivity (REEI) sections at inline 2618 overlaid by well A1's P-wave curve, which includes (A) the REEI bulk modulus, and (B) the REEI Poisson's ratio. 113

Figure 4.14. The computed EEI reflectivity (REEI) sections at inline 2618 overlaid by well A1's P-wave curve, which includes (C) the REEI lambda-rho, and (D) the REEI mu-rho. 113

- Figure 4.15. The profile-plots comparing three types of applied wavelet in the post-stack inversion analysis which consists of (A) wavelet use tied-wells from original seismic volume, (B) wavelet use tied-wells from REEI.16 volume, and (C) statistical wavelet with -57° from REEI.16 volume. 115
- Figure 4.16. The comparison among different wavelets used in the post-stack inversion analysis. 116
- Figure 4.17. The post-stack inversion analysis plot at well A1 includes (from left to right) the tracks of tops, Z_p comparison, used-wavelet, synthetic, seismic trace, and error. The synthetic correlation is 0.999 with 0.046 of error and RMS Z_p error is 165.276 m/s*g/cm³. 117
- Figure 4.18. The post-stack inversion analysis plot at well A2 includes (from left to right) the tracks of tops, Z_p comparison, used-wavelet, synthetic, seismic trace, and error. The synthetic correlation is 0.998 with 0.063 of error and RMS Z_p error is 210.014 m/s*g/cm³. 118
- Figure 4.19. The post-stack inversion analysis plot at well A4 includes (from left to right) the tracks of tops, Z_p comparison, used-wavelet, synthetic, seismic trace, and error. The synthetic correlation is 0.999 with 0.05 of error and RMS Z_p error is 246.309 m/s*g/cm³. 119
- Figure 4.20. The cross-plot of inverted Z_p log versus original Z_p log calculated from all wells, at which the linear regression and its error were depicted. 120
- Figure 4.21. The method of determining scaling factor to apply on the desired inverted-EEI volumes, this example is for equivalent V_p/V_s . 121
- Figure 4.22. The section view of inverted-EEI bulk modulus volume ($\chi=16^\circ$) shows at well A1 with bulk modulus curve. The fluid (oil versus water) and lithology (limestones versus thick-shales interbedded thin-limestone) are well defined. 123
- Figure 4.23. The expand view of Figure 4.22 showing the section of inverted-EEI bulk modulus ($\chi=16^\circ$) was well defined the fluid. 123
- Figure 4.24. The section view of inverted-EEI bulk modulus volume ($\chi=16^\circ$) shows at well A2 with bulk modulus curve. The fluid (oil versus water) and lithology (limestones versus thick-shales interbedded thin-limestone) are well defined. 124

- Figure 4.25. The expand view of Figure 4.24 showing the section of inverted-EEI bulk modulus ($\chi=16^\circ$) was well defined the fluid. 124
- Figure 4.26. The section view of inverted-EEI bulk modulus volume ($\chi=16^\circ$) shows at well A4 with bulk modulus curve. The fluid (oil versus water) and lithology (limestones versus thick-shales interbedded thin-limestone) are well defined. 125
- Figure 4.27. The expand view of Figure 4.26 showing the section of inverted-EEI bulk modulus ($\chi=16^\circ$) was well defined the fluid. 125
- Figure 4.28. The schematic of arbitrary view across well A1, well A4, and well A2 (from left to right) shows the inverted-EEI bulk modulus volume ($\chi=16^\circ$) with bulk modulus logs. The differences in fluid and lithology can be well defined. 126
- Figure 4.29. The section view of inverted-EEI lambda-rho volume ($\chi=25^\circ$) shows at well A4 with lambda-rho curve. The fluid (oil versus water) is well defined as highlighted. 128
- Figure 4.30. The crossline section across well A2 and well A4 shows the identified characteristics and the extents of oil-bearing reservoirs in the Natih formation. 128
- Figure 4.31. The section view of inverted-EEI mu-rho volume ($\chi= -13^\circ$) shows at well A1 with lambda-rho curve. The lithology in the formations of Natih, Nahr Umr, and Shuaiba are well defined, but not for fluid. 129
- Figure 4.32. The section view of inverted-EEI mu-rho volume ($\chi= -13^\circ$) shows at well A4 with lambda-rho curve. The fluid (oil versus water) is well defined as highlighted. 130
- Figure 4.33. The section view of inverted-EEI Vp/Vs volume ($\chi=38^\circ$) shows at well A4 with equivalent curve. The lithology is well defined, but the fluid is partly well defined. The extent of the uppermost oil-bearing reservoir was indicated. 131
- Figure 4.34. Cross-plot between inverted-EEI lambda-rho and inverted-EEI Vp/Vs calculated at the arbitrary line across well A1, A2, and A4 at horizon of Near Natih E with 500 ms of window. The polygon 1 (green polygon) highlighted oil-bearing reservoirs in the Natih Fm. 133

- Figure 4.35. The cross-section showing the highlighted-green layers correspond to the polygon 1, here which there is the good fit layer of oil-bearing reservoirs at well A4.reservoirs in the Natih Fm. 134
- Figure 4.36. Cross-plot between inverted-EEI lambda-rho and inverted-EEI Vp/Vs calculated at the arbitrary line across well A1, A2, and A4 at horizon of Near Natih E with 500 ms of window. The polygon 2 (green polygon) highlighted oil-bearing reservoirs in the Natih Fm. 135
- Figure 4.37. The cross-section showing the highlighted-green layers correspond to the polygon 2, here which there is the layers of oil-bearing reservoirs at well A4 and the above existed-well logs and the extents of oil-zones highlighted by pink circles. 136
- Figure 4.38. Two section views compared the highlighted-green layers of (A) and the EEI lambda-rho characteristics of (B), at which the same oil-bearing extents to both sides can be seen highlighting by pink circles in (A) and by black circles in (B). 137
- Figure 4.39. Horizon slice of shifted 10 ms up of Near Base Fiqa extracted from EEI lambda-rho volume with centered 50 ms window length. The wells in this study were depicted. 138
- Figure 4.40. The zoom view of EEI lambda-rho horizon slice along horizon of near base Fiqa depicted the X-X' line, the highlighted-extent of anomaly spotted at well A4, good matching at blind well and some inconclusive interpretations. 140
- Figure 4.41. The scaled-EEI lambda-rho section of X-X' line across the highlighted-extent of anomaly spotted at well A4 shows the corresponding that anomaly in which the black-double arrows indicated the window extraction of that horizon slice. 141
- Figure 4.42. Horizon slice of Near Natih E extracted from volume difference between EEI lambda-rho and EEI Vp/Vs with 100 ms window length. The sweet spots at wells, blind well and surroundings were depicted. 142
- Figure 4.43. Horizon slice of shifted 10 ms up of Near Base Fiqa extracted from EEI bulk modulus volume with centered 50 ms window length. 143

- Figure 4.44. Horizon slice of shifted 10 ms up of Near Base Fiqra extracted from EEI shear modulus volume with centered 50 ms window length. The black dotted-ovals highlighted the sweet spots. 144
- Figure 4.45. Horizon slice of shifted 10 ms up of Near Base Fiqra extracted from EEI Mu-Rho volume with centered 50 ms window length. 145
- Figure 4.46. Horizon slice of shifted 10 ms up of Near Base Fiqra extracted from EEI Vp/Vs volume with centered 50 ms window length. 146
- Figure 5.1. The section view shows the EEI lambda-rho characteristics with the oil-bearing extents to both sides of wells, highlighting by black circles. Anomaly 1 and anomaly 2 were indicated consequently to be the new development candidates. 149
- Figure 5.2. The expand view of EEI lambda-rho horizon slice showing the identified prospective areas which are N1-LR, N2-LR, and N3-LR. 151
- Figure 5.3. The expand view of EEI mu-rho horizon slice showing the identified prospective area which is N1-MR. 152
- Figure 5.4. The expand view of EEI shear modulus horizon slice showing the identified prospective areas which are N1-Mu and N2-Mu. 152