CONTENTS

Page

Acknowledgement iii

Abstract in Thai iv

Abstract in English v

List of Tables x

List of Figures xi

Chapter 1 Introduction 1

Chapter 2 Theory and Principle 6

- 2.1 Electron Source of the PBP-CMU Linac System 6
- 2.2 Travelling-wave Linear Accelerator 11
- 2.3 Charged Particle Motion in Electromagnetic Fields 12
  - 2.3.1 Steering Magnet 15
  - 2.3.2 Quadrupole Magnet 16
  - 2.3.3 Alpha Magnet 17
- 2.4 Electron Beam Parameters 19
  - 2.4.1 Time Structure 19
  - 2.4.2 Beam Currents 19
  - 2.4.3 Electron Beam Energy and Energy Spread 20
  - 2.4.4 Transverse Beam Emittance 20
- 2.5 Space Charge Effects 22
- 2.6 Beam Dynamic Simulation 22
  - 2.6.1 PARMELA 23
  - 2.6.2 ELEGANT 24
- 2.7 Undulator Magnet and Undulator Radiation 25
  - 2.7.1 Electron Motion in Undulator Magnetic Field 25

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

2.7.2 Undulator Radiation 28
2.7.3 Angular Flux Distribution of Undulator Radiation 29
2.7.4 Spectral Power in Central Cone 32
2.7.5 Coherent Radiation 34
2.7.6 Radiation Brightness 37
2.8 Transition Radiation 38

Chapter 3 Start-to-End Beam Dynamics Simulation 40

3.1 Electron Beam Dynamics Simulation inside the RF-gun 42
3.2 Beam Dynamics Simulation from RF-gun Exit to Alpha Magnet Entrance (GTA) 46
3.3 Beam Dynamics Simulation from Alpha Magnet to Experimental Station (ATE) 53
3.3.1 Beam Dynamics Simulation in Alpha Magnet 53
3.3.2 Optimization of Longitudinal Mesh for Simulation in ATE Section 56
3.3.3 Optimization of Alpha Magnet Gradient 57
3.3.4 Optimization of Linac RF Phase 64
3.3.5 Optimization of Energy Filtering 69
3.4 Comparison of Measured Electron Beam Properties and Simulation Results 76

Chapter 4 Study on Undulator Radiation 81

4.1 Undulator Magnet 81
4.1.1 Choice of Undulator Period Length and Undulator Parameter 81
4.1.2 Prototype of Electromagnetic Undulator Magnet 83
4.1.3 Undulator Magnet with 30 Periods 86
4.2 Undulator Radiation 88
4.2.1 Radiation Wavelength 88
4.2.2 Radiation Average Power vs. Electron Beam Energy 90
4.2.3 Radiation Average Power vs. Electron Bunch Length 92
4.2.4 Radiation Average Power vs. Electron Bunch Charge 94

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

4.2.5 Comparison between Measurement and Simulation Results 96
4.2.6 Comparison between Undulator Radiation and Transition Radiation 98

Chapter 5 Conclusion 101

5.1 Beam Dynamics Simulation 101
5.2 Undulator Magnet 103
5.3 Undulator Radiation 104

References 106

Appendix 110

Curriculum Vitae 111

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1.1 Measured beam parameters of the PBP-CMU Linac in 2011. 3

Table 3.1 The electric field parameters of the PBP-CMU gun obtained from the results of the 3D MWS model. 43

Table 3.2 Comparison of particle distribution formats for programs PARMELA and ELEGANT. 54

Table 3.3 Operating parameters for 3 considered cases. 76

Table 3.4 Measured properties of electron beam after accelerating in the linac. 77

Table 3.5 Operating parameters and simulated electron beam properties at the experimental station for simulation of Case 2 and Case 3. 80

Table 4.1 Specifications of the electromagnetic undulator for generation of THz radiation at the PBP-CMU Linac Laboratory. 87

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1.1 Electromagnetic spectrum diagram. 1
Figure 1.2 Schematic drawing showing the components of the PBP-CMU Linac system. 2
Figure 2.1 Outside and inside structures of the three-dimensional model of the PBP-CMU RF-gun. 6
Figure 2.2 Electromagnetic field directions in the TM010-mode pillbox cavity. 7
Figure 2.3 Longitudinal electric field (E_z) inside the TM010-mode pillbox cavity as a function of the radius (r) and the longitudinal distance (z). 8
Figure 2.4 Disk-loaded traveling-wave structure with waveguide input port. 11
Figure 2.5 Schematic picture shows the $2\pi/3$ operating mode of traveling-wave linac acceleration. The electric field has a phase shift of $2\pi/3$ per cavity. 11
Figure 2.6 Actual path of a positive charge e with respect to the reference trajectory. 13
Figure 2.7 Picture of the steering magnet at the PBP-CMU Linac Laboratory (left) and a schematic structure of the steering magnet illustrating the magnetic field lines, which can be employed as a vertical bending magnet (right). 15
Figure 2.8 Cross sectional structure of a quadrupole magnet. 16
Figure 2.9 Electron trajectories in the alpha magnet with energy slits. 18
Figure 2.10 Time structure of the electron beam modified from the reference. 19
Figure 2.11 Phase space ellipse with betatron function of an electron bunch. 21
Figure 2.12 Elliptical model of macro-particles in an electron bunch (left) and the rectangular cross-section of the elliptical model (right). 24

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 2.13 Schematic picture illustrates the sinusoidal trajectory of the electron along the undulator axis with the emitted radiation. 26

Figure 2.14 Electron moving path in the undulator magnetic field with its radiation cone. 27

Figure 2.15 The interference effect of the emitted radiation produced from an electron moving in the undulator magnetic field modified from the reference. 28

Figure 2.16 Configuration of the undulator radiation with the observation point. 30

Figure 2.17 Characteristics of the lineshape function $L_n(\lambda_r)$ for the first harmonic. 31

Figure 2.18 The radiation pattern of odd harmonics in the moving frame (left) and the laboratory frame (right). 32

Figure 2.19 The radiation pattern of even harmonics in the moving frame (left) and the laboratory frame (right). 33

Figure 2.20 Transition radiation of an electron travelling through boundary between vacuum and a perfect conductor. 39

Figure 3.1 Flowchart of simulation algorithms of beam dynamics simulation. 41

Figure 3.2 Electric field vectors inside the RF-gun for the horizontal plane (left) and for the vertical plane (right). 43

Figure 3.3 Dependency of average and maximum energy (vertical left axis) and energy spread (vertical right axis) of electron beam at the gun exit as a function of average electric field at the center of the full cell. 44

Figure 3.4 RMS transverse beam size and divergence as a function of the average electric field at the full-cell center (left). The rms emittance and the micro-bunch charge of electron beam exiting the RF-gun as a function of the average electric field at the full-cell (right). 44

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 3.5 Contour plots of transverse beam distribution (x-y), longitudinal phase space distribution (E_k-t) with histogram of the number of macro-particles at the RF-gun exit and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′). 45

Figure 3.6 Transverse distribution (x-y), energy spectrum and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) at the RF-gun exit. Different colors in the plots represent different particle energies. 46

Figure 3.7 Three-dimensional electron beam distribution at the RF-gun exit. 46

Figure 3.8 RMS transverse beam size along the GTA section for different simulation conditions. The data points in the graphs represent the positions of the RF-gun exit, ST1, Q1, Q2, Q3, ST2, and the alpha magnet entrance, respectively. 48

Figure 3.9 Divergence of electron beam along the GTA beamline for different simulation conditions. 48

Figure 3.10 RMS transverse emittance along the GTA section for 3 conditions of beam dynamic simulation. 49

Figure 3.11 Average kinetic energy and energy spread along the GTA section for 3 cases of beam dynamic simulations. 50

Figure 3.12 Electron bunch charge at the positions of the elements along the GTA part for different conditions of beam dynamic simulation. 50

Figure 3.13 Transverse beam distributions (x-y), the energy-time phase space (E_k-t) with histogram and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) of electron bunch at the alpha magnet entrance. Different colors in the plots represent different particle intensities in arbitrary unit. 51

Figure 3.14 Transverse distribution (x-y), energy spectrum and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) with energy classification at the alpha magnet entrance. Different colors in the plots represent the particles with different energies. 52

Figure 3.15 Three-dimensional electron beam distribution with energy classification at the alpha magnet entrance. 52

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 3.16 Contour plots of transverse beam distribution (x-y), the energy-time phase space (E_k-t) with histogram and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) of electron beam at the alpha magnet exit for the magnetic field gradient of 328 G/cm. Different colors in the plots represent different particle intensities in arbitrary unit. 55

Figure 3.17 Transverse distribution (x-y), energy spectrum and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) with energy classification at the alpha magnet exit for the magnetic field gradient of 328 G/cm. Different colors in the plots represent the particles with different energies. 55

Figure 3.18 Three-dimensional electron beam distribution with energy classification at the alpha magnet exit for the magnetic field gradient of 328 G/cm. Different colors in the plots represent the particles with different energies. 56

Figure 3.19 Bunch length, rms transverse emittance and energy spread of electron beam at the experimental station for different numbers of longitudinal mesh interval. 57

Figure 3.20 Energy-time distributions at the alpha magnet exit for magnetic field gradients of 100 - 700 G/cm. 58

Figure 3.21 Histograms of the electron bunches at the alpha magnet exit for alpha magnet gradients of 100, 300, 500, 700 G/cm. 58

Figure 3.22 RMS transverse beam size, divergence and rms transverse emittance at the alpha magnet exit as a function of the alpha magnet gradient. 59

Figure 3.23 Transverse distribution (x-y) and transverse phase space (x-x′ and y-y′) of electron bunches exiting from the alpha magnet for the alpha magnet gradients of 100, 200, 300, and 400 G/cm. 60

Figure 3.24 Longitudinal phase space distributions of electron bunches at the linac entrance for alpha magnet gradients of 100 - 700 G/cm. 60

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 3.25 Longitudinal phase space distributions of electron bunches arriving at the experimental station for alpha magnet gradients of 100 - 700 G/cm. 61

Figure 3.26 Energy-time distributions and histograms of electron bunches at the experimental station for alpha magnet gradients of 100 - 600 G/cm. 62

Figure 3.27 RMS and Gaussian fitting bunch lengths at the experimental station for alpha magnet gradients of 100 - 400 G/cm. The right vertical axis shows the R-squared value of the Gaussian fitting for each gradient. 63

Figure 3.28 FWHM bunch length (left vertical axis) and micro-bunch charge (right vertical axis) at the experimental station for alpha magnet gradients of 100 - 400 G/cm. 63

Figure 3.29 3D particle distribution (top left), transverse beam distribution (top right), energy spectrum (bottom left) and energy-time phase space with histogram (bottom right) at the linac entrance for alpha magnet gradient of 300 G/cm without energy filter. 64

Figure 3.30 Average and maximum kinetic energies (left) and rms transverse emittance (right) of electron bunches, which are accelerated along the linac with a given RF phase of 90° for alpha magnet gradients of 200 G/cm and 400 G/cm. 65

Figure 3.31 Average beam energy and energy spread at the experimental station as a function of alpha magnet gradient for the linac phases of 70°, 80°, 90°, 100°, and 110°. 66

Figure 3.32 RMS bunch length, bunch length from Gaussian fitting (left) and R-squared value of the Gaussian fitting (right) at the experimental station as a function of the alpha magnet gradient for the linac RF phases of 70° - 110°. 67

Figure 3.33 FWHM bunch length and electron bunch charge at the experimental station as a function of the alpha magnet gradient for the linac phases of 70° - 110°. 67

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 3.34 RMS, Gaussian fitting and FWHM bunch lengths of electron beams at experimental station versus linac RF phase in the range of 80° - 100° (left) and the relation between the optimal gradient of the alpha magnet and the linac RF phase (right). 68

Figure 3.35 Transverse beam distributions (x-y), the energy-time phase space (E_k-t) with histogram and transverse phase space (x-x′ and y-y′) at the alpha magnet exit for the linac RF phase of 87° and the alpha magnet gradient of 209 G/cm without energy filter. 70

Figure 3.36 3D electron beam distribution, energy spectrum and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) at the alpha magnet exit for the linac RF phase of 87° and the alpha magnet gradient of 209 G/cm without energy filter. 70

Figure 3.37 RMS transverse beam emittance, micro-bunch charge, average kinetic energy and energy spread of electron beam at the alpha magnet exit as a function of the minimum energy cut by using the energy slit inside the alpha magnet. 71

Figure 3.38 Transverse beam distributions at the linac exit for energy filter with different minimum energies. 72

Figure 3.39 Energy-time phase spaces and histograms of macro-particles at the experimental station for energy filters in the range of 2.0 to 2.4 MeV compared to the case of without energy filter. 73

Figure 3.40 Longitudinal distributions and particle histograms of electrons concentrating in the head of the bunch at the experimental station for the energy filter with minimum energies of 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 MeV. 74

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 3.41 Transverse distribution, longitudinal phase space distribution with histogram of macro-particle number and transverse phase spaces of electron bunch at the experimental station for optimal operating parameters, which the linac RF phase is 87°, the alpha magnet gradient is 209 G/cm and the minimum energy filter is 2.1 MeV. Different colors in the plots represent different particle intensities in arbitrary unit. 75

Figure 3.42 Transverse distribution, energy spectrum and transverse phase space distributions at the experimental station for optimal operating conditions, which the linac RF phase is 87°, the alpha magnet gradient is 209 G/cm and the minimum energy filter is 2.1 MeV. Different colors in the plots represent the particles with different energies. 75

Figure 3.43 Three-dimensional electron beam distribution at the experimental station for optimal operating parameters, which the linac RF phase is 87°, the alpha magnet gradient is 209 G/cm and the minimum energy filter is 2.1 MeV. 76

Figure 3.44 Measured kinetic energy and bunch charge of the electron beam after accelerating in the linac by using a dipole magnet and a Faraday cup. 77

Figure 3.45 Measured electron bunch length at the experimental station as a function of the alpha magnet gradient. 77

Figure 3.46 Contour plots of transverse beam distribution (x-y), longitudinal phase space (E_k-t) with histogram of the number of macro-particles and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) at the experimental station for simulated beam of Case 2. 78

Figure 3.47 Transverse distribution (x-y), energy spectrum and transverse phase space distributions (x-x′ and y-y′) at the experimental station for simulated beam of Case 2. Different colors in the plots represent the particles with different energies. 79

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 3.48 Three-dimensional electron beam distribution at the experimental station for simulated beam of Case 2. Different colors in the plots represent the particles with different energies. 79

Figure 4.1 Schematic layout of the future accelerator system at the PBP-CMU Linac Laboratory. Dimensions of all components are not scaled. 81

Figure 4.2 Undulator period length as a function of undulator parameter for electron energies of 10, 12, 15 and 20 MeV. 82

Figure 4.3 3D RADIA model of undulator prototype with 6 periods (left) and the actual undulator prototype (right). 83

Figure 4.4 Ideal and measured magnetic field distributions on the mid plane along the undulator axis at an excitation current of 1 A. 84

Figure 4.5 Angular displacement or the deflecting angle of 10 MeV electron along the undulator axis for ideal periodic and measured magnetic fields. 84

Figure 4.6 Horizontal displacement of 10 MeV electron beam along the undulator axis for ideal periodic and measured magnetic fields. 85

Figure 4.7 Calculated angular flux density as a function of photon energy with electron energy of 10 MeV for ideal periodic and measured magnetic fields. 86

Figure 4.8 3D RADIA model of the electromagnetic undulator with 30 periods. 86

Figure 4.9 Ideal magnetic field distribution along the longitudinal distance of the undulator magnet with 30 periods. 87

Figure 4.10 Electron displacement of 10 MeV electron beam traveling through an ideal periodic magnetic field of the 30 period undulator. 87

Figure 4.11 Angular flux density as a function of photon energy with electron energy of 10 MeV for ideal periodic magnetic field of 30 period undulator. 88

Figure 4.12 Undulator radiation wavelength as a function of undulator parameter for electron energies of 5, 7, 10, 15 and 20 MeV. 89

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 4.13 Electron bunch length versus the bunch form factor for radiation wavelength of 100, 500, and 1000 μm. 90

Figure 4.14 Radiated average power of the first three harmonics of undulator radiation as a function of radiation wavelength for the electron beam with measured properties shown in Table 3.4. 91

Figure 4.15 Spectral average power at fundamental harmonic of the undulator radiation from 9.81 MeV electron beam with the undulator parameter from K = 0.3 to K = 1. 91

Figure 4.16 Spectral average power of undulator radiation at fundamental harmonic for electron beam energies of 8, 10 and 12 MeV without limitation of undulator parameter (left) and with the undulator parameter range of K = 0.3 to K = 1 (right). 92

Figure 4.17 Spectral power of undulator radiation at fundamental harmonic for electron beam with the bunch lengths of 45, 65, 85, 105, and 200 μm. 93

Figure 4.18 Radiated spectral power at fundamental harmonic for the electron beams with different bunch lengths for undulator parameters of K = 0.3 to K = 1. 94

Figure 4.19 Radiated power for the first three harmonics of undulator radiation produced from electron bunch charges of 20, 60, 100 pC with the undulator parameter in the range of K = 0.3 to K = 1. The radiation with wavelengths longer than 90 μm is the coherent radiation, while the radiation with wavelengths shorter than 90 μm has only the incoherent contribution. 95

Figure 4.20 Spectral power for the undulator radiation at the fundamental harmonic for different electron bunch charges with undulator parameters in the range of K = 0.3 to K = 1. The radiation with wavelengths longer than 90 μm is the coherent radiation, while the radiation with wavelengths shorter than 90 μm has only the incoherent contribution. 96

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 4.21 Radiated power of the undulator radiation at fundamental harmonic for electron beam properties in cases of measurement, simulation with measurement conditions, and simulation with optimal operating parameters. 97

Figure 4.22 Radiated power of the undulator radiation at the first three harmonics with undulator parameters in the range of K = 0.3 to K = 1 for three considered cases. 98

Figure 4.23 Comparison of radiation average powers of the undulator radiation (UR) and the transition radiation (TR) for electron beams with the properties obtained from the measurement (Table 3.4). 99

Figure 4.24 Average powers of undulator radiation (UR) and transition radiation (TR) for electron beams with the properties obtained from the simulation for the measurement conditions. 99

Figure 4.25 Average powers of undulator radiation (UR) and transition radiation (TR) for electron beams with the properties obtained from the simulation for the optimal operating parameters. 100

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved