

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	c
Abstract in Thai	d
Abstract in English	f
List of Tables	k
List of Figures	n
Statements of Originality in Thai	w
Statements of Originality in English	x
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1. Historical Background	1
2. Research Objectives	3
3. Usefulness of the Research	3
Chapter 2 Literature Review	4
2.1 Global View	4
2.2 Forest Status in Thailand	9
2.3 Forest Restoration	11
2.4 The Framework Species Method	15
2.5 Direct seeding	16
2.6 Seed Storage	19
2.7 Hydrogels	20
2.8 Fertilizer Application	22
2.9 Preparing for Automated Restoration	24

CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
Chapter 3 Methodology	26
3.1 The Study Site	26
3.2 Species Selection and Seed Collection	27
3.3 Seed Biology	29
3.4 Seed Storage	30
3.5 Field Trial	31
3.6 Fertilizer Experiment	35
Chapter 4 Results	39
4.1 Seed Biology	39
4.2 Seed Storage	44
4.3 Field Trial	59
4.4 Hydrogel Experiment	107
4.5 Fertilizer Experiment	123
Chapter 5 Discussion and Conclusion	139
5.1 Determining Optimal Seed Storage Condition of Native Tree Species	139
5.2 Comparing Direct Seeding Success Between Seeds Sown at the Seed Collection Time and those Stored until the Optimum Direct Seeding Season	147
5.3 Comparing Direct Seeding with Conventional Tree Planting	151
5.4 Developing Treatments to Improve Direct Seeding	152
5.5 Applications for Automated Forest Restoration	154

CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
Chapter 5 Continued	
5.6 Conclusion	156
5.7 Recommendations	157
References	158
Appendix	169
Appendix A	169
Curriculum Vitae	179



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 2.1	Number of vertebrate species found in Thailand and threaten status	9
Table 2.2	Simplified guide to choosing a restoration strategy	12
Table 2.3	Various reforestation approaches and their merits	14
Table 2.4	Comparison of advantage and disadvantages of two majors types of synthetic fertilizers used in tropical plant nurseries	23
Table 3.1	List of study species	28
Table 4.1	Percent seed germination, median length of dormancy (MLD), initial seed moisture content (MC) and seed mass of 17 native tree species in northern Thailand.	40
Table 4.2	Categories of percent germination and median length of dormancy (MLD) of 17 tree species in the nursery condition.	42
Table 4.3	Effects of drying and freezing on initial germination of 17 tree species, Seed were reduced to different moisture contents.	45
Table 4.4	Effects of drying and freezing on initial median length of dormancy (MLD) of 17 tree species, Seed were reduced into different moisture contents (MC).	46
Table 4.5	Sowing, Median length of dormancy (MLD) and median date of germination of 17 tree species in two sowing condition; sown at collection time (IF) and sown after storage at the beginning of rainy season (SF).	67

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

	Page	
Table 4.6	Comparison of mean seedling survival, over one year, of direct-seeded seedlings of 17 tree species in the field, at two sowing periods, IF = sown at collection time and SF = seeds stored and sown at the beginning of rainy season (N=3).	70
Table 4.7	Seedling yield, over one year, of direct-seeded seedlings of 17 tree species in the field at two sowing periods, IF = sown at collection time and SF = seeds stored and sown at the beginning of rainy season (N=3).	72
Table 4.8	Comparison of mean size variables (height, crown width and root collar diameter) and relative growth rate (RGR) of one year direct-seeded seedlings across 17 species in the field, between two sowing periods.	73
Table 4.9	Relative Species Performance Index (RSPI), calculation of growth index of height of direct-seeded seedlings over one year in the field.	85
Table 4.10	Relative Species Performance Index (RSPI), calculation of growth index of height relative growth rate of direct-seeded seedlings over one year in the field.	86
Table 4.11	Relative Species Performance Index (RSPI), calculation of growth index of relative growth rate (RGR) of direct-seeded seedlings over one year in the field.	87
Table 4.12	Relative Species Performance Index (RSPI) based on calculation of height RGR of nursery-raised seedlings over one year in the field.	98

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

	Page
Table 4.13 Relative Species Performance Index (RSPI) based on calculation of growth index of nursery-raised seedlings over one year in the field.	99
Table 4.14 Comparison of germination and median length of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i> seeds between nursery and field	108
Table 4.15 Comparison of germination and median length of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Choerospondias axillaris</i> seeds between nursery and field	109
Table 4.16 Comparison of germination and median length of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> seeds between nursery and field.	111
Table 4.17 Percent seedling survival in the field over 231 days (09/12/15 to 27/07/16) after passed 1 st dry season of tested species in different amount of hydrogel (H) applied in sowing media, testing in field.	115
Table 4.18 Percent seedling yield in the field over 408 days (15/06/15 to 27/07/16) after passed 1 st dry season of tested species in different amount of hydrogel (H) applied in sowing media.	116
Table 4.19 Relative Species Performance index, calculation of height RGR of tested species in various hydrogel treatments.	122
Table 5.1 Storage techniques recommendation for 18 tree species.	143

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page	
Figure 2.1	Estimate of (A) proportion of total area of land-use change associated with various proximate drivers of deforestation, and (B) Absolute net forest area change associated with proximate drivers of deforestation, by region, 2000-2010.	5
Figure 2.2	Net annual average change in forest and agricultural land by climatic domain 2000-2010.	7
Figure 2.3	Forest Cover in Thailand Year 1973-2015.	10
Figure 2.4	The Miyawaki method summarized as a flow chart.	13
Figure 2.5	Concept of Framework species method.	16
Figure 2.6	Survival/germination according to seed size (mass) in direct seeding experiments.	17
Figure 2.7	Molecular structure of anionic polyacrylamide.	20
Figure 2.8	When hydrogels are applied as root dips, they function like the mucilage that is naturally produced by healthy roots and improve water uptake, by increasing root-to-soil contact and filling in air spaces.	21
Figure 3.1	Average monthly rainfall and temperature at study site, Mon Cham, Mae Rim District, Chiang Mai.	26
Figure 3.2	Study site at Mon Cham, Mae Rim District, Chiang Mai.	27
Figure 3.3	Diagram of seed storage experiment.	31
Figure 3.4	Diagram of direct seeding experiment.	32

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

	Page
Figure 3.5	Diagram of hydrogel experiment. 35
Figure 3.6	Fertilizer experimental design. 37
Figure 3.7	Diagram of fertilizer experiment. 37
Figure 4.1	Relation of mean percent germination and median length of dormancy (MLD) of 17 tree species in the nursery condition. 41
Figure 4.2	Mean percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>A. fraxinifolius</i> . 47
Figure 4.3	Mean percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Adenantha microsperma</i> . 48
Figure 4.4	Mean (\pm) percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Alangium kurzii</i> . 49
Figure 4.5	Mean percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Bauhinia variegata</i> . 50
Figure 4.6	Mean percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Cherospondias axillaris</i> . 51
Figure 4.7	Mean percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Gmelina arborea</i> . 52
Figure 4.8	Mean percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Hovenia dulcis</i> . 53
Figure 4.9	Mean (\pm) percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Manglietia garrettii</i> . 54
Figure 4.10	Mean (\pm) percent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Melia toosendan</i> . 55

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
Figure 4.11	Mean (\pm) per cent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> .	56
Figure 4.12	Mean (\pm) per cent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Prunus cerasoides</i> .	57
Figure 4.13	Mean (\pm) per cent seed germination and median of dormancy (MLD) of <i>Spondias pinnata</i> .	58
Figure 4.14	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) percent seed germination of 17 tree species, seeds sown at collection time, in the field (IF) and in the nursery (IN).	59
Figure 4.15	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) percent seed germination of 13 tree species between two sowing conditions after seed storage, in the field (SF) and in the nursery (SN).	60
Figure 4.16	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) percent seed germination of 13 tree species between two sowing times in the field condition.	61
Figure 4.17	Mean (\pm SE) percent seed germination of the best performance treatment of each tree species in the field. Red bars are treatment of seed sown at collection times and green bars are treatment of seed sown at beginning of rainy season after storage (N=3).	62
Figure 4.18	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) median length of dormancy of 17 tree species, seeds sown at collection time.	63
Figure 4.19	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) median length of dormancy of 13 tree species between two sowing conditions after seed storage, in the field (SF) and in the nursery (SN), (N=3).	64

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

	Page
Figure 4.20	65
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) median length of dormancy of 13 tree species between two sowing times in the field condition, at collection time (IF) and at the beginning of rainy season after storage (SF), (N=3).	
Figure 4.21	66
Sowing date and median length of dormancy (MLD) of 17 tree species in a) nursery and b) field.	
Figure 4.22	68
Relationships between mean percent seed germination and median length of dormancy (MLD) of 17 tree species in the field at two sowing times; a) collection time (N=17) b) at the beginning of rainy season after storage (N=12) c) combining the two periods (N=17).	
Figure 4.23	69
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) seedling survival, over one year, of direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.24	71
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) percent seedling yield over one year of direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.25	74
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) growth variables of 1 year direct-seeded seedlings of 17 tree species in the field between two sowing periods, IF = sown at collection time, SF=Stored and sown at the beginning of rainy season (N=3).	
Figure 4.26	75
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) seedlings performance of 1 year direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.27	77
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) height, crown width and root collar diameter relative growth rate (RGR) of 1 year direct-seeded seedlings in the field by species.	
Figure 4.28	78
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) relative growth rate (RGR) of one year direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

	Page
Figure 4.29	79
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) health score of one year direct-seeded seedlings in the field between two sowing periods.	
Figure 4.30	80
Relationship between dry seed mass (g) and a) percent germination, b) median length of dormancy (days) and c) percent yield.	
Figure 4.31	81
Relationship between dry seed mass (g) and a) height RGR (%/year), b) crown width (%/year) and c) RCD RGR (%/year).	
Figure 4.32	83
Relation of mean height, crown width and root collar diameter of one year direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.33	84
Relation of mean height, crown width and root collar diameter relative growth rate (H RGR, C RGR and R RGR, respectively) of one year direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.34	88
Sturdiness quotient of one year direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.35	89
Comparison of mean (\pm SE) sturdiness quotient of one year direct-seeded seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.36	90
Comparison of percent survival of nursery raised-seedlings over one year in the field.	
Figure 4.37	91
Comparison of seedlings performance of 1-year nursery-raised seedlings in the field, N=3.	
Figure 4.38	93
Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) of nursery raised-seedlings in the field.	
Figure 4.39	94
Health score of nursery raised-seedlings over one year in the field.	

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
Figure 4.40	Sturdiness quotient of one year nursery raised-seedlings in the field.	95
Figure 4.41	Relation of mean height, crown width and root collar diameter of one year nursery raised-seedlings in the field. Plotted from 17 species, three replicates in two sowing treatments (N=17).	96
Figure 4.42	Relation of mean height, crown width and root collar diameter relative growth rate (H RGR, C RGR and R RGR, respectively) of one year nursery raised-seedlings in the field. Plotted from 17 species, three replicates in two sowing treatments (N=17).	97
Figure 4.43	Comparison of mean height (\pm SE) of direct seeded and nursery-raised seedlings of 17 tree species in the field, monitored at 3 periods.	101
Figure 4.44	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) height relative growth rate (RGR) of 11 tree species seedlings, between nursery-raised seedlings (NS) and direct-seeded seedlings (DS).	104
Figure 4.45	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) crown width relative growth rate (RGR) of 11 tree species seedlings, between nursery-raised seedlings (NS) and direct-seeded seedlings (DS).	105
Figure 4.46	Comparison of mean (\pm SE) root collar diameter relative growth rate (RCD RGR) of 11 tree species seedlings, between nursery-raised seedlings (NS) and direct-seeded seedlings (DS).	106
Figure 4.47	Mean (\pm SE) percent germination and MLD of <i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i> .	107

Figure 4.48 Mean (\pm SE) percent germination and MLD of *Choerospondias axillaris*. 109

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

	Page
Figure 4.49 Mean (\pm SE) percent germination and MLD of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> .	110
Figure 4.50 Mean (\pm SE) percent germination and MLD of <i>Artocarpus lacucha</i> .	112
Figure 4.51 Mean (\pm SE) percent germination and MLD of <i>Prunus cerasoides</i> .	113
Figure 4.52 Mean (\pm SE) percent germination and MLD of <i>Gmelina arborea</i> .	114
Figure 4.53 Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) of <i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i> among hydrogel treatments in the field (N=3) monitored at December 2015 and July 2016.	117
Figure 4.54 Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) of <i>Choerospondias axillaris</i> among hydrogel treatments in the field (N=3) monitored at December 2015 and July 2016.	118
Figure 4.55 Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> among hydrogel treatments in the field (N=3) monitored at December 2015 and July 2016.	119
Figure 4.56 Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) of <i>Artocarpus lacucha</i> among hydrogel treatments in the field (N=2) monitored at December 2015 and July 2016.	120
Figure 4.57 Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) of <i>Prunus cerasoides</i> among hydrogel treatments in the field (N=2) monitored at December 2015 and July 2016.	121

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
Figure 4.58	Comparison of relative growth rate (RGR) height, crown width (CW) and root collar diameter (RCD) of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	123
Figure 4.59	Comparison mean height of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	125
Figure 4.60	Comparison mean dry mass of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	128
Figure 4.61	Comparison mean root: shoot ratio of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	130
Figure 4.62	Comparison mean total nitrogen of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	132
Figure 4.63	Comparison mean available Phosphorus of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	134
Figure 4.64	Comparison mean exchangeable Potassium of 8 native tree species between fertilizer treatments.	136
Figure 5.1	Seedlings of <i>D. glandulosa</i> , affected by damping off disease	144
Figure 5.2	Seedling of <i>B. variegata</i> damages by insects	146
Figure 6.1	Seed of <i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i>	169
Figure 6.2	Seed of <i>Adenantha microsperma</i>	170
Figure 6.3	Seed of <i>Alangium kurzii</i>	170
Figure 6.4	Seed of <i>Artocarpus lacucha</i>	171
Figure 6.5	Pod and seed of <i>Bauhinia variegata</i>	171

Figure 6.6	Seed of <i>Castanopsis tribuloides</i>	172
Figure 6.7	Pyrenes of <i>Choerospondias axillaris</i>	172

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
Figure 6.8	Seed of <i>Dimocarpus longan</i> .	173
Figure 6.9	Seed of <i>Diospyros glandulosa</i> .	173
Figure 6.10	Seed of <i>Gmelina arborea</i> .	174
Figure 6.11	Fruit and Seed of <i>Horsfieldia glabra</i> .	174
Figure 6.12	Nut and seed of <i>Hovenia dulcis</i> .	175
Figure 6.13	Seed of <i>Magnolia garrettii</i> .	175
Figure 6.14	Seed of <i>Melia azedarach</i> .	176
Figure 6.15	Seed of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> .	176
Figure 6.16	Pyrene of <i>Prunus cerasoides</i> .	177
Figure 6.17	Fruits and seed of <i>Spondias pinnata</i> .	177
Figure 6.18	Seeds of <i>Syzygium albiflorum</i> .	178

ข้อความแห่งการริเริ่ม

1. คณะผู้นิพนธ์นี้ได้นำเสนอขอมูลแห่งการริเริ่มของการใช้วิธีการหยอดเมล็ดและการเก็บรักษาเมล็ดเพื่อนำไปสู่การฟื้นฟูป่าโดยวิธีทางอากาศโดยการใส่เครื่องบินหรือการใช้อากาศยานไร้คนขับ ทั้งนี้เทคโนโลยีสมัยใหม่มีความจำเป็นอย่างยิ่งสำหรับการขยายพื้นที่การฟื้นฟูป่าตามเป้าหมายสำคัญของโลก อาทิ โครงการ Bonn Challenge และการประกาศปฏิญญาแห่งเมื่องนิวยอร์กด้านป่าไม้ (New York Declaration on forest)
2. ชนิดพันธุ์ไม้ที่ใช้ในการทดลองส่วนใหญ่ยังไม่ได้รับการทดสอบกับวิธีการหยอดเมล็ดและการเก็บรักษาเมล็ดมาก่อน
3. ในการศึกษาครั้งนี้ได้มีการทดสอบประสิทธิภาพของสารปรับปรุงดิน หรือไฮโดรเจลเพื่อเพิ่มประสิทธิภาพให้กับวิธีการหยอดเมล็ดของไม้พื้นเมือง ซึ่งโดยทั่วไปจะใช้วิธีการดังกล่าวเพื่อการเกษตรกรรม
4. ในการศึกษาครั้งนี้ได้มีการทดสอบผลของปุ๋ยละลายช้าที่ได้รับการพัฒนาใหม่จากศูนย์นาโนเทคโนโลยีแห่งชาติ สำนักงานพัฒนาวิทยาศาสตร์และเทคโนโลยีแห่งชาติ ซึ่งยังไม่มีกรรมนำมาใช้ทดสอบกับกล้าไม้พื้นเมืองมาก่อน

STATEMENTS OF ORIGINALITY

1. This project presents original data on direct seeding and seed storage, aimed at paving the way for aerial seeding by conventional aircraft or drones, new technologies that are essential to upscale forest restoration to meet recent ambitious global reforestation targets, set by the Bonn Challenge and the New York Declaration etc.
2. Most of the tree species covered had never been tested before for direct seeding and/or seed storage.
3. Furthermore, this study also tested the efficacy of using hydrogel to increase direct seeding success; a technology that, until now, has mostly been applied to agriculture and horticulture.
4. Lastly, this study tested the effects of a brand-new type of pelleted fertilizer produced by the National Nanotechnology Center (NANOTEC), the National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), that has never been tested before in the context of growing native forest tree species.

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved