## **CONTENTS**

F	Page
Acknowledgements	c
Abstract in Thai	e
Abstract in English	g
List of Tables	k
List of Figure	1
List of Abbreviations	p
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Historical background	1
1.2 Hypothesis	3
1.3 Research objectives	4
1.4 Usefulness of researches	4
Chapter 2 Literature review	5
2.1 Forest issue	5
2.2 Forest Restoration	8
2.3 Direct seeding Chiang Mai University	12
2.4 Limitations/failures of direct seeding	16
2.5 Seed removal and predation	16
2.6 Seedling predation (Herbivory)	17
2.7 Methods use for studying of predators	18
Chapter 3 Methods	21
3.1 Study site	21
3.2 Tree species studied	25

3.3 Predator exclusion experiments	27
3.4 Data collection and analysis	32
Chapter 4 Results	37
4.1 Seed removal	37
4.2 Seed germination	40
4.3 Cotyledonous-seedling and leafy-seedling mortality	44
4.4 Seedling survival	48
4.5 Growth and performance index	50
4.6 Potential seed predators	53
4.7 Variation of animal visits and seed-seedling transitional stage	59
Chapter 5 Discussions	61
5.1 Seed removal	61
5.2 Seed germination	63
5.3 Cotyledonous-seedling and leafy-seedling mortality	65
5.4 Seedling survival after the exclusion experiments were terminated	68
5.5 Relative growth rate (RGR) and species performance	69
5.6 Potential seed predators	70
5.7 Variation of animal visits and seed-seedling transitional stage	73
Chapter 6 Conclusion and Recommendations	74
6.1 Conclusion	72
6.2 Recommendations	75
References Copyright by Chiang Mai University  A ll rights reserved	77
Appendices	90
Appendix A: Plant species descriptions	91
Appendix B: Vertebrate and Invertebrate species	98
Appendix C: Statistic test	111
Appendix D: Insecticide applications	119
Curriculum Vitae	120

## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Global forest cover 1990 to 2010.	5
Table 3.1	Information about the five native tree species were used in this study.	26
Table 3.2	Species performance index (SI) by seed removal and seedling traits.	35
Table 4.1	Mean growth measurements - height, crown width (CW) and root collar diameter (RCD) - and relative growth rate (RGR: percent per year) of the growth measurements.	51
Table 4.2	Summary of tree species performance score and classification based on direct seeding field.	52
Table 4.3	Relative species occurrence in each month shown by the number of photographs per total effort 100 trap days (R) and the	54
Table 4.4	percentage of total.  Number of insect individuals on August 2015, October 2015 and April 2016.	56
Table 4.5	Sorensen's Coefficient similarity matrix (data log (e) transformed) showed the number of correspondences among insect community in tree month (calculated by number of individual in families).	58
Table 7.1	Probability of seed removal predicted by GLM.	112
Table 7.2	Percent probability of seed germination predicted from GLM model.	114
Table 7.3	Probability of Cotyledonous-seedling mortality from GLM prediction model.	115
Table 7.4	Probability of leafy-seedling mortality from GLM prediction model.	116
Table 7.5	Percent probability of seedling survival predicted from GLM model.	118

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Category of forest area in Asia-Pacific sub region during 1990s to 2010s.	6
Figure 2.2	Annual change of forest area in ten largest forest area countries in Asia-Pecific.	7
Figure 2.3	The selection process for tropical forest restoration techniques.	9
Figure 2.4	Climate-smart reforestation idea for forest restoration management.	10
Figure 2.5	The dehydrin expression and maturation drying—an adjustment to the chain of seed behavior events.	14
Figure 3.1	The location of study site (black circle) Mon-Cham degraded area in Ban Nong Hoi, Chiang Mai, Thailand.	22
Figure 3.2	Climatic data of Ban Nong Hoi (Mon Cham) from January 2015 to December 2016.	23
Figure 3.3	Study site at Mon Cham, Mae Rim District, Chiang Mai province.	23
Figure 3.4	The FORRU's nursery in Doi Suthep-Pui National Park.	24
Figure 3.5	Propagules of five tree species from smallest to the largest.	25
Figure 3.6	The exclusion experiments were established in the study site.	27
Figure 3.7	Arrangement of predator exclusion experiments in one replicate of 10 x 15 m2 plot.	28
Figure 3.8	Germination tray with seeds in nursery experiment.	29
Figure 3.9	Camera trap installed at the field experimental site.	31
Figure 3.10	Pit-fall trap (A) and the sticky trap (B) in the study site.	31
Figure 3.11	Young seedlings of P. cerasoides and A. kurzii with cotyledons,	33
	but no true leaf. The small seedlings with both cotyledons and	
	true leaves of <i>H. dulcis</i> and <i>C. axillaris</i> .	

Figure 4.1	Actual percent seed removal from the field data.	38
Figure 4.2	Effect plots represent the proportion of seed removal predicted	39
	from the generalized linear model (GLM).	
Figure 4.3	Relationship between the percent seed removal and dry seed mass	39
Figure 4.4	Actual percent seed germination (±1SE) from the field data	41
	averaged from all treatments of the five studied species.	
Figure 4.5	Effect plots represent the proportion of seed germination	41
	predicted the GLM.	
Figure 4.6	Survival plot showed probability of not germinating of seeds in	42
	two treatments.	
Figure 4.7	Survival plot showed probability of not germinating of seeds in	43
	two conditions	
Figure 4.8	Actual percent cotyledonous-seedling mortality (±1SE) from the	45
	field data of four tree species calculated from total germination.	
Figure 4.9	Effect plot represent the proportion of cotyledonous-seedling	46
	mortality predicted by GLM.	
Figure 4.10	Actual mean percent leafy-seedling mortality (±1SE) of four	46
	tree species average from five treatments calculated from total	
	germination.	
Figure 4.11	Effect plot represent the proportion of leafy-seedling mortality	47
	predicted by GLM.	
Figure 4.12	Comparing of percent mortality per day between cotyledonous-	47
g	seedling and leafy-seedling stages.	
	Three categories of physical appearance and percent of	48
	seedlings found.	
Figure 4.14	Observed mean percent seedling survival of four tree species.	49
Figure 4.15	Effect plot represents the proportion of seedling survival	49
	(±1SE) predicted by the generalized linear model (GLM).	
Figure 4.16	Proportion of insect families classified by mouthparts	59
Figure 4.17	The correlation between number of small mammal and birds	60
	and number of insect in related to each seed-to-seedling stage	
	from August 2015 – February 2016.	

Figure 5.1	Evidence of seed removal and/or seed predation – a whole seed	62
	were removed from the bamboo tube, a seed was bitten by an	
	animal, seeds were cracked and removed from the bamboo	
	tube, and a seed was damaged by insects.	
Figure 5.2	Evidence of seedling damage.	67
Figure 5.3	Ground herbaceous plants in the treatments contributed to	67
	interspecific competition.	
Figure 7.1	Bark, leaves, fruits and small seedling of <i>Hovenia dulcis</i> species.	92
Figure 7.2	Bark, leaves, fruits and small seedling of Alangium kurzii species.	93
Figure 7.3	Bark, leaves, fruits and small seedling of Prunus cerasoides	94
	species.	
Figure 7.4	Bark, leaves, fruits and small seedling of Choerospondias	95
	axillaris species.	
Figure 7.5	Bark, leaves, fruits and small seedling of Horsfieldia glabra	96
	species.	
Figure 7.6	Rattus sp. (Rat).	98
Figure 7.7	Turnix suscitator (Barred buttonquail).	99
Figure 7.8	Tupaia belangeri (Northern tree shrew).	100
Figure 7.9	Canis aureus cruesemanni (Siamese jackal).	100
Figure 7.10	Prionailurus bengalensis (Leopard cat).	100
Figure 7.11	Herpestes javanicus (Small asian mongoose).	101
Figure 7.12	Arctonyx collaris (Hog badger).	101
Figure 7.13	Viverra zibetha (Large indian civet).	101
Figure 7.14	Anthus cervinus (Red-throated Pipit).	102
Figure 7.15	Centropus sinensis (Greater coucal).	102
Figure 7.16	Lanius schach (Long-tailed Shrike).	102
Figure 7.17	Lonchura punctulata (Scaly-breasted Munia).	103
Figure 7.18	Phylloscopus trochiloides (Greenish Warbler).	103
Figure 7.19	Pycnonotus aurigaster (Sooty-headed bulbul).	103
Figure 7.20	Saxicola caprata (Pied Bushchat).	103
Figure 7.21	Example of insect in Order Hymenoptera.	104
Figure 7.22	Example of insect in Order Orthoptera.	105

Figure 7.23	Example of insect in Order Diptera.	105
Figure 7.24	Example of insect in Order Mantodea.	106
Figure 7.25	Example of insect in Order Phasmida.	106
Figure 7.26	Example of insect in Order Homoptera.	106
Figure 7.27	Example of insect in Order Lepidoptera.	107
Figure 7.28	Example of insect in Order Hemiptera.	107
Figure 7.29	Example of insect in Order Coleoptera.	108
Figure 7.30	Example of insect in order Blattodea.	108
Figure 7.31	Example of insect in Order Dermaptera.	108
Figure 7.32	Example of insect in Order Isoptera.	109
Figure 7.33	Example of insect in Order.	109
Figure 7.34	Example of insect in Order Collembola.	109
Figure 7.35	Example of insect in Order Araneae.	110
Figure 7.36	Example of insect in Order Gastropoda.	110
Figure 7.37	Coefficient plot of seed removal model from GLM.	112
Figure 7.38	Coefficient plot of seed germination model from GLM.	113
Figure 7.39	Coefficient plot of Cotyledonous-seedling mortality model	115
	from GLM.	
Figure 7.40	Coefficient plot of leafy-seedling mortality model from GLM.	116
Figure 7.41	Coefficient plot of seedling survival model from GLM	118

# ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright<sup>©</sup> by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA Analysis of variance

 $\chi^2$  Chi-Square

CA Wire cage experiment

cm Centimeter

CO Control without protection

CW Crown width

df Degree of freedom

e.g. Example

FORRU-CMU Chiang Mai University's Forest Restoration Research Unit

g Gramm

GLM Generalized linear model

H Height

IC Wire cage plus insecticide experiment

IN Insecticide experiment

m Meter

ml Milliliter

MLD Median length of dormancy time

mm Millimeter

OC Open cage experiment

°C Degree Celsius

R Number of photographs per total effort 100 trap days

RCD Root collar diameter

RGR Relative Growth Rate

SE Standard error

SI Species performance index

T Treatment