

Appendix (A)

Questionnaire of household Survey

Respondent code:

Date: / /2017

Ward No.:

I. Family Background

Owner-occupancy or Home-ownership? •

	1. Own 2.Rent(Hostel) 3.Squatter								
	• Duration of stay in Hlaing Tharya Tsp.?								
		ye	ar/mc	onth ago		~ ~	21		
			5	12	うぼい	\leq \backslash	3		
Si	Relations	Sex	67	Educati	Occupati	Avera	Ethnici	Religi	Do
r	hip to HH	M=	Ag	on	on	ge	ty	on	Househ
	head	1	e	U	They are	Incom	900		old
		F=	2		NZ	e	131		Chores
		2	B		MA	(/mont	5		(Y/N)
			U_{3}	10	66331	h)	÷ //		
1				MA	Trans	FRSI			
2					UNI				
2				<	0		- 7		
3	3	366	ina	ธบหา	วทยา	ลยเข	1638	าบ	
4	(Copy	righ	nt [©] by	Chiang	Mai I	Univer	sity	
5		A È Ì		righ	ts i	eso	erv	e d	
6				0					
7									
8									
9									
1									
0									

*Circle the respondent's serial number. Write the letter "H" to indicate HH Head

*Express all types of income (express if there is any financial support from family members and relatives)

Incidences of Diarrhea

Did any FM get diarrhoea or loose motion at least three times a day during (i) the last two weeks?

If yes,

Age group	Male	Female	
<1			
1-<5		\$ 918	18140 21
5-<18		240	200 2
18-<64	8	1. 5	
>=65	a	De	10/2/2

- (ii) How do you cure diarrhoea?
 - 1. Traditional cure, 2. self-description, 3. Ask pharmacy, 4. See doctor 5.
- What do you think the causes of diarrhoea? (Take the first answer) (iii)

II. Water

Drinking Water) เหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

2a (i). What is the main source? W Chiang Mai University

1. Purified drinking water, 2. Pond, Well, Stream, Piped, 3.Rainfall, 4. Other.....

2a (ii). Is there any second source of water? Yes No

If so, what is it? Pond, Well, Stream, Piped, Rainfall, Other.....

If you use Commercial Purified Drinking Water, 2b

Brand Name	
The price of 20-litre plastic bottle	Ks
No. of bottles used per week for H	H bottles

(Not for commercial drinking water bottle user)

2c (i). Do you make any treatment/**cleansing** method for drinking water? Yes No

2c(ii). If yes, how? Boil, Filter, chlorinate, other.....

• Water for General use

2d. What is the main source?

1. Well 2. Pond, Stream, Piped 3. Rainfall 4. Other.....

Food preparationPurified bottle, well, Pond, stream, piped, rainfall,trolley vendor, other......

The restPurified bottle, well, Pond, stream, piped, rainfall,trolley vendor, other......

If pond, any protection against animals, (fence)?YesNoIs there any Water Scarcity problem?YesNo

- 2e (for squatter and rent)
 Do you have to pay for water access? Yes No

 If so, How much
 Ks

 Price of water per ceramic tank/plastic
 Ks
- 2f (i). Do you make any **treatment/ cleaning** to water for HH use? Yes No

2f (ii). If yes, how Filter, boil, sediment, chlorinate, Other.....

2g Household perception on water quality

	Drinking water	Water for general use
Absolutely clean		
Clean		
Not so clean		
Very unclean		
Don't know (98)		

III. Latrine usage and Environmental sanitation

3a(i) Defecation practice

.

Where do your FM go to defecate?

1. Own2. Other HH's3. Share in Hostel4. Public latrine5.

3a (ii). For those choose No.1 "Own", do any other people share your latrine?YesNo

3a(iii). If Yes, and *those choosing No. 2 and 4*, How many people share it?person/HH

3b. What kind of latrine is used?

Piped sewer, 2.septic tank, 3. ventilated improved pit latrine, *open* pit 4. Pit *with slab*, 5. composting toilet, 6.Bucket,

3c. What facilities used for cleansing?

1. Water, 2. water & soap, 3. paper, 4. water & paper

(3d) HH having no latrines (not for those who live in hostel)

3d. What is the main reason for not building and utilising the latrine?

1.	I'm a squatter		
	>> 3di. If you have space, will you build?	Yes	No
2.	No space to build (squatter)		
	>> 3di. If you have space, will you build?	Yes	No
3.	Can't dig the pit (swamp, daily tide)		

- 4. Can't dig the pit (hardness of earth)
- 5. Neighbors don't approve of
- 6. Can't afford,

>> 3dii. Express max amount you can afford to build the latrine?

.....Ks

7. Other.....

3e. Do you have any plan to build one?YesNo

If yes, When? 1. three months 2. six months 3. One year 4. Two years 5. >3 years

3f. What is the main reason to build a latrine? Or why do you want to build?

(3g) Maintenance of Individual HH latrine

Have latrine got full?

Yes No

If Yes, What do you usually do?

1.Sort yourself, 2.with municipal, 3. private service 4.....

(3h) Child defecation practice

3h (i)Do you have a child of <u>under one year</u>? Yes No

3h (ii)Do you have a child of under two years?YesNoIf yes how does the child mostly defecate?YesYes

1. In dress2.child bucket3. Bathroom4. Toilet5.Compound6. Other6.6.6.6.

3h (iii) How does the caregiver clean the child's bottom?

1. Water, 2. water & soap, 3.cotton pad, 4.cloth,....

3h (iv)Where does caregiver dispose the faeces?

3h (v)Does she wash hands? Yes No

How does she clean hands?

1. With water, 2. with soap and water, 3. other.....

3h (vi)Do you have a child of age **bet two and five?** Yes No

3h (vii)How does the child mostly defecate?

1. In dress2.child bucket3. Bathroom4. Toilet5.Compound6. Other

3h (viii)Who clean the child's bottom?1.Itself2. the caregiverIf cleansing itself, how does it clean?

1.Water, 2.water and soap, 3. cotton pad, 4.cloth,

5.other.....

If the caregiver cleansing, how does the caregiver clean the child's bottom?1.Water,2.water and soap,3.other.....

3h (ix)How does caregiver dispose of the faeces? (not for toilet using)

•••••

3h (x) Does she wash hands? How does she clean hands?

1. With water, 2. with soap and water, 3. other.....

Yes

by Chiang Mai University

No

IV. Hygiene อิปสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Hand Washing

4a. What activities come to your mind **first** when you think of personal hygiene?
Choose. .. 1.facial clean, 2. tooth clean, 3.hand wash, 4.bath,
5.nail cut, 6.shampoo, 7.other.....

4b. When do you wash your hand?

1.	Before eating	Yes	No	
2.	After eating		Yes	No
3.	After defecation		Yes	No
4.	Before preparing food	Yes	No	

5. After dirty work

6.

V. Disposal of waste

5a.Where do you dispose?

1. Private trolley collector, 2. Municipal Dustbin/car 3. back alley,

4. within compound 5. Vacant land

If use Private collector; express the amount Ks / Pac

5b.(Not for squatter households)

Do municipal workers work on your street? Yes No

week/month

VI. Contingent valuation (willingness to pay and ability to pay)

- Water
 - 6a(i) Let's say public **piped** water system will be installed in Hlaing Tharya.

Do you want to access to?1. Strongly agree,2. Agree3. Not agree,4. Strongly not agree

5. DK

 6a(ii) If it makes into the situation where the drinking water can be used by this public piped water system (the water to be disinfected) in Hlaing Tharya.

Do you want to access to?

1. Strongly agree, 2. Agree 3. Not agree, 4. Strongly not agree 5. DK

6a(iii) How much do you want to and able to contribute, via municipal tax payment (per month) for No.6a(i) case?Ks 6a(iv) How much do you want to and able to contribute, via municipal tax payment (per month) for No.6a(ii) case?

.....Ks

6b(i). Why do you think you should pay for piped water?

6b(ii). Why do you think you should pay for piped water?

• Waste management

1. 6c(i) Let's say the waste collection service will be improved to the condition at downtown Yangon.

(show photos of street and back alley) Do you want?

strongly agree,
 Agree,
 Not agree,
 Strongly not agree
 DK

6c(ii) If yes, how much do you want to and able to contribute to public service via municipal tax (per month)?

```
.....Ks
```

6c(iii) Why do you think you should pay for it?

VII. Health Information Source

7a. Where do you mostly get any health info? (Rank Number 1,2,3 for first **three main** sources)

Radio	Television	Internet	Newspapers	Magazines	Family	School/	Friends
	0	0 5	0	~	- S	Uni	
	81	ansi	เหาวิท	IRAPI	RSIA	1411	
	00			0 1001	000		
	Cor	might (C by Chi	ichl par	Liminary	and the second	
	CO	yngni	- by Cill	ang mar	Onive	isity	

All rights reserved

VIII. Mobile phone usage

8a. Does your household have a mobile phone?YesNo

If yes, fill the table.

Put sir no. of	Type of phone	Internet (Y/N)	App he/she uses
HH members	(smart=1, keypad=2,		
	sitting=3)		
		61912	
	0 910	10 10 91	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	200 2	
	5/0	感じく、必	
	13.		



**ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่** Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

# Appendix (B)

#### **Binary Logistic**

Summary of dummy dependent variables used in binary logistic regressions

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Мах
dw	402	.7338308	.4425045	0	1
CW	402	.4353234	.4964171	0	1
apn	402	.8880597	.3156859	0	1
h	402	.6691542	.471104	0	1

Summary of continuous variables in binary logistic regressions

. summarize inc he set

Мах	Min	Std. Dev.	Mean	Obs	Variable
3000000	20000	292331.2	409587.1	402	inc
15	0	2.84208	8.850746	402	he
56	1	9.28701	11.28358	402	set

Logistic regression for dummy of safe drinking water or not . logistic dw he ib(last).lo

Logistic regre Log likelihood	ession 1 = -130.2309			Number LR chi Prob > Pseudo	of obs 2(3) chi2 R2		402 205.38 0.0000 0.4409
dw	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% c	conf.	Interval]
he	1.160739	.0753252	2.30	0.022	1.0221	.07	1.318173
1o 1 2	47.31903 40.41223	20.56148 16.02617	8.88 9.33	0.000	20.19 18.576	)13 508	110.8938 87.91672

. estat gof Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University

Logistic model for dw, goodness-of-fit test

number of observations =	402
number of covariate patterns =	35
Pearson chi2(31) =	41.89
Prob > chi2 =	0.0917





Logistic regression for dummy of purified water for cooking or not

. logistic cw Logistic regre	he ib(last). ession			Number	of obs	=	402
Log likelihood	I = -271.10029			Prob > Pseudo	chi2 R2	=	0.0394 0.0152
CW	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
he	1.074291	.0432578	1.78	0.075	. 9927	668	1.16251
lo 1 2	.4667504 .5009182	.1389831 .1397665	-2.56 -2.48	0.011 0.013	. 2603 . 2899	901 106	.8366522 .8655049

Goodness of Fit test . estat gof	หาวิทย	าลัยเ	ชียงใหม่
Logistic model for cw, goodness-of	<u>-fit test</u>	10101	11.1
number of observations =	402 ha	ng Mai	University
number of covariate patterns = Pearson chi2(31) = Prob > chi2 =	35 33.71 0.3379	res	erved



## Logistic regression for dummy of sharing latrine or not

. logistic h	ib(last).lo inc		Junion Constanting		- 1		
Logistic regro	ession -	E		Number LR chi Prob >	of obs 2(3) chi2		402 109.54 0.0000
Log likelihoo	d = -200.40987		N	Pseudo	R2	4	0.2146
h	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C	onf.	Interval]
lo 1 2	2.309559 .1752009	.8447784	2.29 -5.80	0.022 0.000	1.1276 .09724	68 37	4.730174 .3156539
inc	1.000001	6.54e-07	2.19	0.028	3.	1	1.000003

Goodness of Fit test . estat gof

Logistic model for h, goodness-of-fit test ng Mai University

Prob > chi2 = 0.0003	number of observations = number of covariate patterns = Pearson chi2(149) = Prob > chi2 =	= 402 = 153 = 214.94 = 0.0003	e	S	e	ľ	V	e
----------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

# AUROC curve



Logistic regression for dummy of appropriate waste disposal or not

. logit apn he	set, or	1-15	TTTT BY			
Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2: Iteration 3: Iteration 4:	log likeliho log likeliho log likeliho log likeliho log likeliho	d = -140.92 d = -128.4 d = -126.88 d = -126.87 d = -126.87	225 715 531 227 226	$\sum$	影	
Logistic regre Log likelihood	ssion = -126.87226	1 _G		Number LR chi Prob > Pseudo	r of obs = i2(2) = chi2 = o R2 =	402 28.10 0.0000 0.0997
apn	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	UzI	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
he set	1.245286 1.063425	.070709 .0263732	3.86 2.48	0.000 0.013	1.114132 1.012971	1.391879 1.116393
Goodness of F . estat gof	ït test	t [©] by 0	Chian	าสยเ g Mai	Universi	<b>i</b> ty
Logistic model	for apn, good	ness-of-fit te	st	res	erve	d
number o number of cova Pea	f observations riate patterns rson chi2(149) Prob > chi2	s = 402 s = 152 0 = 152. 2 = 0.	72 4005			



# Appendix (C)

#### **Contingent Valuation**

Summary data of variables in truncated regression for WTP of piped water . summarize wtp lnwtp inc i.lo

Variable	Obs	Mea	an St	d. Dev.	Min	Max
wtp	327	4457.18	87 30	26.245	0	15000
lnwtp	327	7.9366	68 1.	683336	0	9.615872
inc	327	412305	.8 27	0022.7	40000	2500000
lo						
2	327	. 370030	06 .4	1835525	0	1
3	327	.223243	16 .4	170572	200	1
Truncated <b>R</b>	Regression r	esult for V	VTP of	piped v	vater	
. truncreg lnv	vtp ib(last).1	.0, 11(0)		RAS -	> \ ' 3	18 18
(note: 12 obs.	. truncated)	S. /	7	Yes and the second seco		
		1/-	/	AT N	<u> </u>	51
Fitting full m	nodel:		Jun	- LUN	11	- 11
	11		121	2a		
Iteration 0:	log likeliho	pod = -307.	1134	= m		696
Iteration 1:	log likeliho	pod = -307.1	0621	183		5351
Iteration 2:	log likeliho	pod = -307.1	0621	F-SI		· · · · ·
Trupasted read			1	V ¥		A
Truncated regr		1 5		Number	of ohe	0 / 215
TTULL: TOMEL	 . = +inf	$>$ \	[	Wald ch	$i_2(2) =$	22 99
Log likelihoor	d = -307, 10621	Z		Prob >	chi2 =	0.0000
bog iikeiinoot		(Vh)	6	6		0.0000
		CC.		lad	SY/	
lnwtp	Coef.	Std. Err.	ATT	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
10				141		
1	2820711	.0949219	-2.97	0.003	4681146	0960276
2	4633991	.0966577	-4.79	0.000	6528448	2739534
	adar		101	19.19		
_cons	8.524285	.0761281	111.97	0.000	8.375076	8.673493
/sigma	.6414666	.0255566	25.10	0.000	.5913765	.6915567
	AH	r 1 g	ht	S ľ	eser	ved

#### Wald test

```
. test 1.10 2.10 3.10
```

```
( 1) [eq1]1.lo = 0
```

```
( 2) [eq1]2.lo = 0
```

- ( 3) [eq1]3b.lo = 0
  - Constraint 3 dropped

chi2(2) = 22.99 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```
. test 1.lo = 2.lo = 3.lo
( 1) [eq1]1.lo - [eq1]2.lo = 0
( 2) [eq1]1.lo - [eq1]3b.lo = 0
chi2( 2) = 22.99
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

**Regression of squared residuals against explanatory variables** 

. predict sl,	residual					
. gen sls = sl	_^2					
. reg sls i.lo	)	015	18124	8		
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of obs =	327
		NV /	S D.D.A	- F(2,	324) =	0.09
Model	30.3985507	2	15.199275	53 Prob	> F =	0.9100
Residual	52204.2016	324	161.12407	79 R-sq	uared =	0.0006
	1 9 .	1		- Adj	R-squared =	-0.0056
Total	52234.6001	326	160.22883	35 Root	MSE =	12.693
sls	Coef.	Std. Err.		P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
10			TAX	2)		
2	.1381082	1.594698	0.09	0.931	-2.999162	3.275379
3	6516938	1.848956	-0.35	0.725	-4.289169	2.985781
_cons	2.967478	1.100663	2.70	0.007	.8021291	5.132826
		GI	CODO -	- ST	×//	

# Summary data of variables in truncated regression for WTP of disinfection to piped water

# . summarize wtp lnwtp inc i.cw

Variable	Cor Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	5 M Min	Max	y
wtp	298	2169.128	1921.381	res ₀	e r ₁₀₀₀₀ e	d
lnwtp	298	6.468672	2.781293	0	9.210441	
inc	298	418922.8	274904.3	45000	2500000	
1.cw	298	.4395973	.497173	0	1	

#### Truncated Regression result for WTP of disinfection to piped water

. truncreg lnwtp inc i.cw, ll(0)
(note: 44 obs. truncated)

Fitting full model:

Iteration	0:	log	likelihood	=	-274.54335
Iteration	1:	log	likelihood	=	-274.53443
Iteration	2:	log	likelihood	=	-274.53443

Trur	ncated	regre	ess	sion	
Limi	t:	lower	=	0	
		upper	=	+inf	
Log	likel	ihood	=	-274.53443	

lnwtp	Coef.	Std. Err.	91 2 J	P> z	[95% Conf.	. Interval]
inc	4.87e-07	1.57e-07	3.09	0.002	1.78e-07	7.95e-07
1.cw	.2318061	.0903042	2.57	0.010	.0548131	.408799
_cons	7.280143	.0898206	81.05	0.000	7.104098	7.456188
/sigma	.713128	.0316399	22.54	0.000	.6511149	.7751412

MAI

Number of obs = Wald chi2(2) = Prob > chi2 =

254 16.20 0.0003

#### Wald Test

- . test inc 1.cw 0.cw
- ( 1) [eq1]inc = 0
- (2) [eq1]1.cw = 0 (3) [eq1]0b.cw = 0
- Constraint 3 dropped

# Prob > chi2 =

chi2( 2) =

16.20 0.0003

- . test inc 1.cw 0.cw
- ( 1) [eq1]inc = 0
- ( 2) [eq1]1.cw = 0
- (3) [eq1]0b.cw = 0
  - [eq]05.cw = 0 Constraint 3 dropped chi2( 2) = 16.20 Prob > chi2 = 0.0003

rights reserved

**Regression of Squared residuals against explanatory variables** 

. predict sl, residual

. gen sls =  $sl^2$ 

. reg sls inc

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	298
				F(1, 296)	=	1.75
Model	713.020841	1	713.020841	Prob > F	=	0.1867
Residual	120508.438	296	407.123102	R-squared	=	0.0059
				- Adj R-squared	=	0.0025
Total	121221.459	297	408.153061	Root MSE	=	20.177
	I					
sls	Coof					
	COEI.	Sta. Err.	t	P> t  [95% Co	onf.	Interval]
	COEL.	Sta. Eff.	2110	P> t  [95% Co	onf.	Interval]
inc	-5.64e-06	4.26e-06	-1.32	0.18700001	onf. 	1nterval] 2.75e-06
inc _cons	-5.64e-06 11.25138	4.26e-06 2.132947	-1.32 5.28	0.18700001 0.000 7.05371	onf. 14 15	15.44904
inc _cons	-5.64e-06 11.25138	4.26e-06 2.132947	-1.32 5.28	P> t          195% Cd           0.187        00001           0.000         7.05371	onf. 14 15	15.44904
inc _cons	-5.64e-06 11.25138	4.26e-06 2.132947	-1.32 5.28	P> t          195% Cd           0.187        00001           0.000         7.05371	onf. 14 15	Interval] 2.75e-06 15.44904

# Summary data of variables in truncated regression for WTP of disinfection to piped water

11 U

. summarize wtp	inwtp inc i	.10	3	a		130%
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	335
wtp	318	1506.918	1267.433	N o X	6000	14
lnwtp	318	6.371495	2.405266	0 8	.699681	6
inc	318	418660.4	275695.2	20000	2500000	~ //
10		Nº2		66336	1	÷//
2	318	.3930818	.4892045	UNIV	ERP	
3	318	.1981132	.3992061	0	1	
	ຄີບຄື	ສີກຣົ່າ	มหาวิเ	ทยาล	ລັຍເชີ	ียงใหม
	Сор	yright [@]	by C	hiang	Mai l	<b>University</b>

All rights reserved

#### Truncated Regression result for WTP of effective solid waste disposal

```
. truncreg lnwtp ib(last).lo inc, ll(0)
(note: 37 obs. truncated)
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -289.80879
Iteration 1:
            \log likelihood = -289.79443
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -289.79442
Truncated regression
Limit: lower =
                    0
                                        Number of obs
                                                       =
                                                              281
       upper =
                  +inf
                                        Wald chi2(3)
                                                       =
                                                             10.19
Log likelihood = -289.79442
                                        Prob > chi2
                                                            0.0171
                                                       =
                                              [95% Conf. Interval]
     lnwtp
                Coef. Std. Err.
                                 z
                                        P>|z|
        lo
                       .1159409 -2.37 0.018 -.5020089
.1133211 -2.45 0.014 -.4997659
        1
             -.2747688
                                                          -.0475287
                       .1133211 _ -2.45
        2
             -.2776606
                                                          -.0555553
                       1.50e-07
                                 2.13
                                                          6.12e-07
       inc
              3.19e-07
                                        0.033
                                                2.55e-08
                                 69.49
                                        0.000
                                                7.095376
                                                          7.507262
              7.301319
                       .1050751
      _cons
     /sigma
              .6786555
                       .0286274
                                 23.71
                                        0.000
                                                .6225469
                                                           .7347641
Wald Test
. test 1.10 2.10 3.10 inc
 (1) [eq1]1.lo = 0
 (2) [eq1]2.10 = 0
 ( 3) [eq1]3b.lo = 0
 (4) [eq1]inc = 0
       Constraint 3 dropped
           chi2( 3) =
                         10.19
         Prob > chi2 =
                          0.0171
. test 1.10=2.10=3.10 = inc
 (1) [eq1]1.lo - [eq1]2.lo = 0
 (2) [eq1]1.lo - [eq1]3b.lo = 0
                                   by Chiang Mai University
 ( 3) [eq1]1.lo - [eq1]inc = 0
       Constraint 2 dropped
                                                reserved
                                    hts
                         r
              AII
           chi2( 2) = 6.95
         Prob > chi2 = 0.0310
```

#### **Regression of Squared residuals against explanatory variables**

. predict sl, residual

. . gen sls = sl^2

#### . reg sls i.lo

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	s =	318
				F(2, 315)	=	0.94
Model	525.980521	2	262.99026	Prob > F	=	0.3903
Residual	87783.0823	315	278.676452	R-squared	=	0.0060
				Adj R-squared	d =	-0.0004
Total	88309.0629	317	278.577485	Root MSE	=	16.694
sls	Coef.	Std. Err.	3180	?> t  [95% (	Conf.	Interval]
sls	Coef.	Std. Err.	ntle	?> t  [95% (	Conf.	Interval]
sls	Coef.	Std. Err.	91818	2> t  [95% (	Conf.	Interval]
	Coef.	Std. Err. 2.09119	-0.16 0	P> t  [95% (	Conf. 316	Interval] 3.785616
	Coef. 3288504 3.044287	Std. Err. 2.09119 2.562636	-0.16 (0 1.19 (0	P> t  [95% ( 0.875 -4.443: 0.236 -1.997	Conf. 316 759	Interval] 3.785616 8.086332
sls 10 2 3	Coef. 3288504 3.044287	Std. Err. 2.09119 2.562636	-0.16 1.19	2> t  [95% ( 0.875 -4.443) 0.236 -1.997	Conf. 316 759	Interval] 3.785616 8.086332

#### **Mobile Phone**

Summary of variables in truncated regression for Mobile Phone Use . summarize mph inc i.lo

Max	Min	Std. Dev.	Mean	obs	Variable
2000000	20000	.2796113	.5341477	402	mph
300000	20000	292331.2	409307.1	402	1.
$\sqrt{1}$	0	.4855194	.3781095	402	2
> // 1	Ó	.4222168	.2313433	402	3

#### **Regression result for mobile phone use**

. truncreg mph ib(last).lo inc, 11(0) ul(1)
(note: 81 obs. truncated)

Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = 88.03123
Iteration 1: log likelihood = 88.379305
Iteration 2: log likelihood = 88.379319
Iteration 3: log likelihood = 88.379319
Truncated regression
Limit: lower = 0
upper = 1
Log likelihood = 88.379319
Number of obs = 321
wald chi2(3) = 13.27
Prob > chi2 = 0.0041

mph	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
10 1 2	.0818359 .0502246	.0304941 .0306482	2.68 1.64	0.007 0.101	.0220686 0098448	.1416031 .1102939
inc _cons	8.05e-08 .401413	4.24e-08 .0286611	1.90 14.01	0.058 0.000	-2.62e-09 .3452382	1.64e-07 .4575877
/sigma	.193629	.009131	21.21	0.000	.1757325	.2115254

. estat ic

Model	Obs	11(null)	11(model)	df	AIC	BIC
•	321	•	88.37932	5	-166.7586	-147.9014

#### Wald Test

. test 1.lo 2.lo 3.lo inc ( 1) [eall1.lo = 0

(2) (3) (4)	[eq1]2.10 = 0 [eq1]2.10 = 0 [eq1]3b.10 = 0 [eq1]inc = 0 Constraint 3 drop	oped	
	chi2( 3) = Prob > chi2 =	13.27 0.0041	กมยนดิ
. test	1.lo = 2.lo = 3.l	lo = inc	20
(1) (2) (3)	[eq1]1.lo - [eq1] [eq1]1.lo - [eq1] [eq1]1.lo - [eq1] Constraint 2 drop	2.10 = 0 3b.10 = 0 inc = 0 ped	
	chi2( 2) = Prob > chi2 =	7.21 0.0272	
	178	5	

Calculation for R squared of Predicted and Observed outcome variables

. correlate p (obs=402)	mph	The last	14	U	A	
	р	mph		POS	\$\]/	
p mph	1.0000 0.2917	1.0000	I UNI	VER		
. display r(r  .08508748	ho)^2	เธิ์มหา	วิทย	าลัยเ	ชียง	วใหม่
.00500740	Copyrig	ght [©] by	/ Chian	ig Mai	Univ	ersity
	AII	righ	i t s	res	e r	ved

# **CURRICULUM VITAE**

Author's	name		
----------	------	--	--

Mrs. Ei Mon Win

Date/Year of Birth 24 August 1988

**Place of Birth** 

Yangon, Myanmar

Education

Master Degree of Economics, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Bachelor Degree in Pharmacy, University of Pharmacy, Yangon

#### Experience

- Research Assistance and interpreter in "Case study of Aquaculture and land grabbing", by Michigan State University and US aid (May-June 2017)
- Social specialist in "Unlocking the Economic Potential of Residential Buildings in Yangon's Heritage Zone" by Pyoe Pin Program, DFID and Yangon Heritage Trust. (July-Aug 2015)
- Quantitative Data Analyst in "Social Impact Assessment on Energy sector of Myanmar" by EMRef and World Bank (2015)
- Research Team Supervisor and Quantitative Data Analyst in "SWOT analysis of a political party (indescribable) for the coming 2015 election" by a political party (2014)
- Team Supervisor in external evaluation team "Monitoring and Evaluation on Livelihood Projects in Non-Delta regions" of Mercy Corps (2014)
- Assistant Researcher in "Social Impact Assessment on Decentralizing fund for stipends and small grants in Basic Education Schools" by EMRef, World Bank and Ministry of Education (Myanmar) (2014)

 Research team supervisor in Round 3 and 4, Research Assistant in Round 1 and 2 of "Qualitative Social and Economic Monitoring (QSEM) by Livelihood and Food Security (Multi-Donor Trust Fund) and World Bank (2012-2014)

