

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	d
Abstract in Thai	f
Abstract in English	h
Contents	j
List of Tables	k
List of Figures	o
Statement of Originality in Thai	t
Statement of Originality in English	u
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Literature Review	3
Chapter 3 Materials and Methods	26
Chapter 4 Results	33
Chapter 5 Discussion	271
Chapter 6 Factors determining homegardens woody diversity: a case study in Thai Yuan homegardens	305
Chapter 7 Conclusion	322
References	324
Appendix	339
Curriculum Vitae	378

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 4.1	Physical data and the number of plants in each of the 15 studied village	35
Table 4.2	The two Hmong villages where the homegardens were examined for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	39
Table 4.3	Species found in homegardens in the Hmong villages	42
Table 4.4	Diversity indices of woody plants in two Hmong homegardens	63
Table 4.5	The most dominant woody species in two Hmong villages	64
Table 4.6	The most common (in all homegardens studied) woody species in two Hmong villages	65
Table 4.7	The most common non-woody species in homegardens of two Hmong villages	70
Table 4.8	Karen villages where the homegardens were examined for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	73
Table 4.9	Species found in homegardens in three Karen villages and their use categories with indication of village	76
Table 4.10	Diversity indices of woody plants in the the 75 Karen homegardens in northern Thailand presented individually for each village	100
Table 4.11	The most dominant woody species in homegardens in three Karen villages	101
Table 4.12	The most common (total number of homegardens found) woody species in homegardens of three Karen villages, arranged in order of commonness.	101
Table 4.13	The most common non-woody species in homegardens of three Karen villages (arranged in order of commonness).	107

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

	Page
Table 4.14 Two Lahu villages where the homegardens were examined for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	109
Table 4.15 Species found in homegardens in two Lahu villages and their use and use categories with indication of village	112
Table 4.16 Diversity indices of woody plants in two Lahu homegardens	124
Table 4.17 The most dominant woody species in homegardens of two Lahu villages	125
Table 4.18 The most common (total number of homegardens found) woody species in homegardens in two Lahu villages	126
Table 4.19 The most common non-woody species in homegardens of two Lahu villages	131
Table 4.20 Two Lawa villages where the homegardens were examined for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	133
Table 4.21 Species found in homegardens in two Lawa villages and their use categories with indication of village	136
Table 4.22 Diversity indices of woody plants in the Lawa homegardens	160
Table 4.23 Most dominant woody species in the homegardens of two Lawa villages	161
Table 4.24 The most common (total number of homegardens found) woody species in the homegardens of two Lawa villages	162
Table 4.25 The most common non-woody species in homegardens of two Lawa villages	166
Table 4.26 Information for the Lisu villages where homegardens were studied for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	169
Table 4.27 Species found in homegardens in two Lisu villages and their use categories with indication of village	172

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

		Page
Table 4.28	Diversity indices of woody plants in the Lisu homegardens	185
Table 4.29	The most dominant woody species in two Lisu villages	186
Table 4.30	The most common (total number of homegardens found) woody species in two Lisu villages	186
Table 4.31	The most common non-woody species in homegardens of two Lisu villages	191
Table 4.32	Two Thai Yuan villages where the homegardens were examined for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	194
Table 4.33	Species found in homegardens in two Thai Yuan villages and their use categories with indication of village	196
Table 4.34	Diversity indices of woody plants in the Thai Yuan homegardens	237
Table 4.35	The most dominant woody species in two Thai Yuan villages	239
Table 4.36	The most common (total number of homegardens found) woody species in two Thai Yuan villages	240
Table 4.37	The most common non-woody species in homegardens of two Thai Yuan villages	244
Table 4.38	Two Yunnan Chinese villages where homegardens were examined for plant species diversity, zonification, and use categories	247
Table 4.39	Species found in homegardens in two Yunnan Chinese villages and their use categories with indication of village	250
Table 4.40	Diversity indices of woody plants in the Yunnan Chinese homegardens	263
Table 4.41	The most dominant woody species in Yunnan Chinese	264

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

		Page
Table 4.42	The most common (total number of homegardens found) woody species in Yunnan Chinese villages	265
Table 4.43	The most common non-woody species in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	270
Table 5.1	Comparing for each village, the species richness and diversity in all studied ethnic groups	285
Table 5.2	The correlation between some factors and number of species found in homegardens	287
Table 5.3	The correlation between factors and the diversity	288
Table 5.4	the correlation between the total number of species found and number of species in each use category	293
Table 5.5	Jaccard's similarity score for each village	297
Table 6.1	Variables collected from 50 randomly selected households in Takrai community. The unit described as used for NLPCA analysis	310
Table 6.2	The number of plant species and individuals found in 50 urban homegardens in northern Thailand.	314
Table 6.3	Correlation between diversity indices	316
Table 6.4	Results of a non-linear principal component analysis on 13 variables collected from 50 urban homegardens in Takrai village in northern Thailand	317
Table 6.5	Correlation between diversity indices and NLPCA	318

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Fig. 3.1	The location of 15 villages in Chiang Mai province in Thailand in which homegardens were studied	28
Fig. 4.1	Proportion of 552 plant species in each category recorded from 673 homegardens	34
Fig. 4.2	Diagram of Hmong homegarden	37
Fig. 4.3	Percentage cover of each zonation in homegardens in the two studied Hmong village.	40
Fig. 4.4	The percentage of woody plant individuals in each use category of woody species in two Hmong homegardens.	66
Fig. 4.5	The percentage of species in each use category of woody species in homegardens of two Hmong	67
Fig. 4.6	The percentage of woody plant individuals in five horizontal zones in homegardens in two Hmong village	67
Fig. 4.7	The percentage of woody species in five horizontal zones of homegardens in two Hmong villages	68
Fig. 4.8	The percentage of non-woody species divided among eight use categories in homegardens in two Hmong villages	68
Fig. 4.9	The percentage of non-woody species found in five horizontal zones in homegardens in two Hmong villages	69
Fig. 4.10	The diagram of Karen homegarden	71
Fig. 4.12	Percentage of zonation presented in three Karen homegardens	75
Fig. 4.13	Percentage of woody plant species found in each use category in homegardens in three Karen villages	103
Fig. 4.14	Percentage of woody plant individuals in five horizontal zones	104
Fig. 4.15	Percentage of woody species in four horizontal zones in	105

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
	homegardens of three Karen villages	
Fig. 4.16	Percentage of non-woody species in eight use categories in homegardens of three Karen villages	105
Fig. 4.17	Percentage of non-woody species in five horizontal zones in homegardens in three Karen villages	106
Fig. 4.18	The diagram of Lahu homegarden	108
Fig.4.19	Percentage of zonation presented in homegardens of two Lahu villages	111
Fig. 4.20	Percentage of woody plant individuals in five use categories of woody species in homegardens of two Lahu villages	127
Fig. 4.21	Percentage of species in five use categories of woody species in homegardens in two Lahu villages	127
Fig. 4.22	Percentage of woody plant individuals in five horizontal zones in homegardens in two Lahu villages	128
Fig. 4.23	Percentage of woody species in three horizontal zones	128
Fig. 4.24	Percentage of non-woody species found in seven use categories in homegardens in two Lahu villages	130
Fig. 4.25	Percentage of non-woody species found in four horizontal zones	130
Fig. 4.26	Diagram of a Lawa homegarden	132
Fig. 4.27	The percentage of zonation present in two Lawa homegardens	135
Fig. 4.28	Percentage of woody plant individuals in each use category in homegardens of two Lawa villages	162
Fig. 4.29	Percentage of species in eight use categories for woody species in homegardens in two Lawa villages	163
Fig. 4.30	Percentage of woody plant individuals in five horizontal	163

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
	zones in homegardens in two Lawa villages	
Fig. 4.31	Percentage of woody species in five horizontal zones in homegardens of two Lawa villages	164
Fig. 4.32	Percentage of non-woody species in seven use categories in homegardens in two Lawa villages	165
Fig. 4.33	Percentage of non-woody species found in five horizontal zones in homegardens in two Lawa villages	165
Fig. 4.34	The diagram of Lisu homegarden	167
Fig. 4.35	Percentage of zonation presented in two Lisu homegardens	171
Fig. 4.36	Percentage of woody plant individuals in five use category of woody species in homegardens of two Lisu villages	187
Fig. 4.37	Percentage of species in five use category of woody species in homegardens in two Lisu villages.	187
Fig. 4.38	Percentage of woody plant individuals in four horizontal zones	188
Fig. 4.39	Percentage of woody species in four horizontal zones	188
Fig. 4.40	Percentage of non-woody species found in seven use categories in homegardens in two Lisu village	190
Fig. 4.41	Percentage of non-woody species found in five horizontal zones	190
Fig. 4.42	The diagram of Thai Yuan homegarden	192
Fig. 4.43	Percentage of zonation in homegardens of two Thai Yuan villages	195
Fig. 4.44	The percentage of woody plant individuals in Thai Yuan homegardens	241
Fig. 4.45	The percentage of species in each use category of woody	242

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

		Page
	species in Thai Yuan homegardens in northern Thailand that were studied for species richness, zonification and use categories	
Fig. 4.46	Percentage of woody plant individuals in five horizontal zones in homegardens of two Thai Yuan villages	242
Fig. 4.47	Percentage of woody species in five horizontal zones in homegardens of two Thai Yuan villages	243
Fig. 4.48	Percentage of non-woody species found in seven use categories in homegardens in two Thai Yuan villages	243
Fig. 4.49	Percentage of non-woody species found in five horizontal zones in homegardens of two Thai Yuan villages	244
Fig. 4.50	The diagram of Yunnan Chinese homegarden	245
Fig. 4.51	Percentage of zonation present in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	249
Fig. 4.52	Percentage of woody plant individuals in three use category of woody species in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	265
Fig. 4.53	Percentage of species in two use category of woody species in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	266
Fig. 4.54	Percentage of woody plant individuals in four horizontal zones in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	266
Fig. 4.55	Percentage of woody species in four horizontal zones in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	267
Fig. 4.56	The percentage of non-woody species found in six use categories in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	268
Fig. 4.57	Percentage of non-woody species found in four horizontal	269

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

	Page
zones in homegardens of two Yunnan Chinese villages	
Fig. 5.1 the scatter plot between the elevation and Shannon's index	289
Fig 5.2 House style of Yunnan Chinese, Lisu, and Hmong which shared many common characteristics influenced by Chinese house style.	292
Fig. 5.3 Cluster analysis of the villages according to plants found in their homegardens	296
Fig. 5.4 The proportion of each homegarden zonation found in each village	298
Fig. 5.5 Cluster analysis of the studied village according to the proportion of homegarden components found in each village	301
Fig. 5.6 The percentage of plant groups, according to their functions, in term of number of species found in homegarden of each village	304
Figure 6.1. Location of the Mae Rim district, Chiang Mai Province	308

ข้อความแห่งการริเริ่ม

- 1) สวนครัวเป็นพื้นที่สำคัญที่ช่วยอนุรักษ์ความรู้ทางพฤกษศาสตร์พื้นบ้าน ฟื้นฟูและรวมถึงวัฒนธรรมท้องถิ่น
- 2) ชาติพันธุ์แต่ละชาติพันธุ์มีความรู้ด้านการใช้ประโยชน์จากพืชที่ต่างกัน ส่วนหนึ่งของความแตกต่างนี้แสดงออกที่ความแตกต่างของโครงสร้างสวนครัว



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

- 1) Homegardens is very important place for conserving ethnobotanical knowledge, rare species, and local culture
- 2) Difference ethnic groups own difference knowledge of ethnobotanical knowledge which also present in the difference characteristics of homegardens



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved