## **CONTENTS**

F	Page
Acknowledgement	d
Abstract in Thai	e
Abstract in English	g
List of tables	k
List of figures	m
Chapter 1 Introduction  1.1 Principles and rationales	1
1.1 Principles and rationales	1
1.2 Purposes of the study	3
1.3 Research hypothesis	3
1.4 Research designs and procedures	3
1.5 Expecting benefit and goal	3
Chapter 2 Literature review	4
2.1 Anterior open bite	4
2.2 Posterior tooth intrusion	7
2.3 Miniscrew implant placement sites, suitable for maxillary posterior	8
Tooth intrusion  2.4 Quantitative and qualitative evaluations of palate for palatal miniscrew implant stability	9
2.5 Palatal bone thickness in different skeletal patterns	13
2.6 Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT)	14
Chapter 3 Materials and methods	16
Chapter 4 Results	25
4.1 Palatal bone thickness	25
4.2 Palatal cortical bone thickness	33

	Page
Chapter 5 Discussion	42
Chapter 6 Conclusions	52
References	53
Appendix	61
Appendix A Information of subjects	62
Appendix B Certificate of ethical clearance	64
Appendix C Informed consent	66
Appendix B Certificate of ethical clearance Appendix C Informed consent  Curriculum Vitae	70
ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright <sup>©</sup> by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved	

## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 3.1	Age (years) distribution by gender and number of subjects (N)	16
Table 3.2	General information on subjects	18
Table 3.3	Cephalometric measurements in each group	19
Table 4.1	Palatal bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP) site along	26
	The mediolateral 0 (ML0) section in the Class I normal bite and Class	
	I open bite groups	
Table 4.2	Palatal bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP) site along	27
	The mediolateral 3 (ML3) section in the Class I normal bite and Class	
	I open bite groups	
Table 4.3	Palatal bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP) site along	28
	The mediolateral 6 (ML6) section in the Class I normal bite and Class	
	I open bite groups	
Table 4.4	Palatal bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP) site along	29
	The mediolateral 9 (ML9) section in the Class I normal bite and Class	
	I open bite groups	
Table 4.5	Palatal bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP) site along	30
	The mediolateral 12 (ML12) section in the Class I normal bite and	
	Class I open bite groups	
Table 4.6	Palatal cortical bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP)	34
	site along the mediolateral 0 (ML0) section in the Class I normal	
	bite and Class I open bite groups	
Table 4.7	Palatal cortical bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP)	35
	site along the mediolateral 3 (ML3) section in the Class I normal	
	bite and Class I open bite groups	

		Page
Table 4.8	Palatal cortical bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP)	36
	site along the mediolateral 6 (ML6) section in the Class I normal	
	bite and Class I open bite groups	
Table 4.9	Palatal cortical bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP)	38
	site along the mediolateral 9 (ML9) section in the Class I normal	
	bite and Class I open bite groups	
Table 4.10	Palatal cortical bone thicknesses (mm) at each anteroposterior (AP)	39
	site along the mediolateral 12 (ML12) section in the Class	
	I normal bite and Class I open bite groups	
	ลิสสิทธิ์แหาวิทยาลัยเชียลใหม่	

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 3.1	CBCT images were oriented, using the Romexis viewer program.	20
Figure 3.2	The three-dimensional image shows that a line joining the middle	20
	of the distal bony margin of the incisive foramen and PNS	
	is oriented to the midsagittal plane.	
Figure 3.3	In the axial view, a line joining the middle of the distal bony margin	21
	of the incisive foramen and PNS is oriented to the midsagittal plane.	
Figure 3.4	In the midsagittal view, the horizontal reference plane is oriented to	21
	pass through the middle of those distal bony margins of the incisive	
	foramen and PNS.	
Figure 3.5	In each sagittal view, the total palatal bone and cortical bone	22
	thicknesses were measured perpendicular to the horizontal	
	reference plane at 3.0-mm intervals posteriorly from the	
	middle of the distal bony margin of the incisive foramen to PNS.	
Figure 3.6	In each frontal view, the total palatal bone and cortical bone	23
	thicknesses were measured at 3.0-mm intervals laterally from the	
	midsagittal reference plane on both right and left sides.	
Figure 3.7	Measurement sites in a grid pattern at 3.0-mm anteroposterior	23
ลิ	intervals posteriorly from the middle of the distal bony margin	
C	of the incisive foramen (AP 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24 mm-sections),	
^	and at 3.0-mm mediolateral intervals laterally from the midsagittal	
/\	plane on both right and left sides (ML 0, 3, 6, 9, and 12 mm-sections	s).
	The measurement site marked "x" was named Left AP6/ML3.	
Figure 3.8	Paracoronal image showing measurement of the total palatal bone	24
	thickness (yellow line) and the palatal cortical bone thickness	
	(green line)	

	r	age
Figure 4.1	Combined plots of average palatal bone thickness at various sites	32
	in the Class I normal bite group. The white, translucent plane is	
	inserted at the 5.0 mm level of palatal bone thickness, revealing	
	the sites where the average palatal bone thickness was equal to	
	or greater than 5.0 mm.	
Figure 4.2	Combined plots of average palatal bone thickness at various sites	33
	in the Class I open bite group. The white, translucent plane is	
	inserted at the 5.0 mm level of palatal bone thickness, revealing	
	the sites where the average palatal bone thickness was equal to	
	or greater than 5.0 mm.	
Figure 4.3	Combined plots of average palatal cortical bone thickness at various	40
	sites in the Class I normal bite group. The red dash lines are inserted	
	at the 1.0 mm level of palatal cortical bone thickness, revealing the	
	sites where the average palatal cortical bone thickness was equal to or	
	greater than 1.0 mm.	
Figure 4.4	Combined plots of average palatal cortical bone thickness at	41
	various sites in the Class I open bite group. The red dash lines are	
	inserted at the 1.0 mm level of palatal cortical bone thickness,	
	revealing the sites where the average palatal cortical bone	
	thickness was equal to or greater than 1.0 mm.	
Figure 5.1	The palatal map shows the pattern of the bone thickness of	45
d	the palate at various sites in the Class I normal bite and the Class	
C	I open bite groups. The heads of the arrows represent the direction	
A	of the increase in bone thickness. The green arrows show the	
	pattern of the palatal bone thickness along the ML0, ML3, AP3	
	and AP6 sections. The orange arrows show the pattern of the	
	palatal bone thickness in the other remaining areas.	

	P	age
Figure 5.2	The palatal map shows the pattern of the cortical bone thickness	46
	of the palate at various sites in the Class I normal bite and the	
	Class I open bite groups. The heads of the arrows represent	
	the direction of the increase in bone thickness. The green	
	arrows show the pattern of the palatal bone thickness along	
	the ML0, ML3, AP3 and AP6 sections. The orange arrows	
	show the pattern of the palatal bone thickness in the other	
	remaining areas.	
Figure 5.3	The palatal map shows the average palatal bone thickness	49
	measurements at various sites in the Class I normal bite	
	(Left side) and the Class I open bite groups (Right side).	
	The green dots indicate the sites where the average palatal	
	bone thicknesses were equal to or greater than 8 mm. The	
	yellow dots indicate the sites where the average palatal bone	
	thicknesses were equal to or greater than 5 mm. The red dots	
	indicate the sites where the average palatal bone thicknesses	
	were less than 5 mm.	
Figure 5.4	The palatal map shows the average palatal cortical bone thickness	50
	measurement at various sites in the Class I normal bite (Left side)	
	and the Class I open bite groups (Right side). The green dots indicate	
8	the sites where the average cortical bone thicknesses were equal to or	
q	greater than 8 mm. The yellow dots indicate the sites where the	
C	average palatal cortical bone thicknesses were equal to or greater	
A	than 5 mm. The red dots indicate the sites where the average palatal	

cortical bone thicknesses were less than 5 mm.