## **CONTENTS**

	Page
Acknowledgement	c
Abstract in Thai	d
Abstract in English	f
Abstract in English  List of Tables	j
List of Figures	k
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1. Historical Background	1
1.2. Purpose of study	3
1.3. Research Scope	4
1.4. Education Advantages	4
Chapter 2 Literature Reviews and Relative Theories	6
2.1. Literature Reviews	6
2.2. Relative Theory and Hypotheses of the study	13
Chapter 3 Research Methodology	19
3.1. Research Methodology	19
3.2. Scaffold fabrication	20
3.3 Porosity	21
3.4. Swelling ratio	22
3.5. Degradation rate test	23
3.6. Fibroblast culture	23
3.7. Characterization of scaffold morphology	24

3.8. In vitro relative cell viability test	24
3.9. Gene expression rate	25
Chapter 4 Results and Discussion	27
4.1. Results	27
4.1.1. Characterization of scaffolds	27
4.1.2. Porosity measurement	28
4.1.3. Swelling ratio mesurements	29
4.1.4. In vitro degradation test	30
4.1.5. Cell culture	30
<ul><li>4.1.4. In vitro degradation test</li><li>4.1.5. Cell culture</li><li>4.1.6. In vitro relative cell viability study</li></ul>	32
4.1.7. Cell relative gene expression studies	34
4.2 Discussion	35
Chapter 5 Conclusion and Suggestion	37
5.1. Conclusion	37
5.2. Suggestion	37
Additional Work/Extension Work	37
References	38
Appendices	44
Appendix A	44
Appendix B	45
Appendix C	46
Curriculum Vitae	48

## LISTS OF TABLES

Page
26
30
3

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	The differences between the histostructure of human and mouse	15
	skin at telogen and an agent VI phases of the hair cycle	
Figure 2.2	The strategy for bioengineered skin constructs which is a	16
	promising strategy for a complete restoration of functional skin	
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research methodology	19
Figure 4.1	Dried gelatin scaffolds and dried blended scaffolds	27
Figure 4.2	Young's moduli of gelatin scaffolds and blended scaffolds.	28
Figure 4.3	Porosity of gelatin scaffolds and blended scaffolds.	29
Figure 4.4	Degradation rate of gelatin scaffolds and blended scaffolds from	30
	0 day to 10 days.	
Figure 4.5	Morphology of NIH/3T3 fibroblasts cultured on gelatin scaffolds	31
	and blended scaffoldsfor 24 h.	
Figure 4.6	SEM imaging of gelatin scaffolds and blended scaffolds seeded	32
d	with NIH/3T3 fibroblasts.	
Figure 4.7	Relative cell viability of NIH/3T3 fibroblasts on gelatin scaffolds	33
Α	was lower than that of blended scaffolds.	

Figure 4.8	The purple color of formazan product on tissue culture plates,	33
	gelatin scaffolds and blended scaffolds.	
Figure 4.9	The relative expression of collagen type4 at 10 days culture.	34

