


CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	iv
Abstratc	vi
List of figures	xix
List of Tables	xxv
CHAPTER 1, Introduction	xix
CHAPTER 2, Literature Review	4
2.1. Point Source - Gold Mine.	4
2.2.Mercury	6
2.3.Arsenic	8
2.4.Boi-indicators	10
2.5.Selected Frog Species.	10
2.6.Amphibian Husbandry	13
2.7.Age and Lines of Arrested Growth (LAG).	16
2.8.Mode of Admission	17
2.9.Staining	18
2.10.Frogs as Food	18
2.11.Cytogenetic testing	18
CHAPTER 3, Methodology	20
3.1.Field Study of the Mining Area	21
3.2.Laboratory Experiment.	26
3.3.Preparing the Cells for Observation	26
3.4.Staining of the Cells for Observation	28
CHAPTER 4, Results and Discussion	37
1.1. Field Study of the Mining Area	37

1.2. Laboratory Experiments	50
CHAPTER 5, Conclusion and Recommendations	70
5.1. Conclusion	70
5.2. Recommendations and Limitations	72
REFERENCES	.74
APPENDIX	94
.1 Feild study	94
.2 Acute experiment	108
.3 Chronic experiemnt	111



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 one of the steps of the process of Artisanal mining; the panning, magnetic metal dust removed before the introduction of mercury into the pan.	5
Figure 2 a defrosted specimen of the species <i>Fejervarya limnocharis</i>	11
Figure 3 a defrosted specimen of the species <i>Fejervarya cancrivora</i>	12
Figure 4 a defrosted specimen of the species <i>Hoplobatrachus rugulosus</i> .	12
Figure 5 an example of a closed system enclosure. The system is not plumbed, therefore needs extra attention and care for cleaning	13
Figure 6 an example of a closed system enclosure, with two frogs of the species <i>Sylvirana nigrovittata</i> housed inside as a trail system in the lab.	14
Figure 7 an example of an open system enclosure. The system is plumbed, therefore cleans itself	14
Figure 8 an example of the "red-leg" disease observed in <i>Hoplobatrachus</i> <i>rugulosus</i>	20
Figure 9 the outline of the methodology.	18
Figure 10 the study sites are located in Pichit Province, Thailand.	21
Figure 11 reference site, a farm with no mining activity about 6.5 Km north from the mines.	22

Figure 12 mine 1 (Site 1) fish farming pool.	22
Figure 13 mine 2 (Site2), a farm with different types of crops.	23
Figure 14 a diagrammatic example of sub sampling process according to the Cornell Framework.	24
Figure 15 A diagrammatic example of mixing the sub samples for a representative sample.	25
Figure 16 single chromatid break observed on by Tengjaroenkul et al, (2017).	30
Figure 17 fragments observed by Tengjaroenkul et al, (2017).	32
Figure 18 terminal deletion observed by Suttichaiya et al, (2016)	32
Figure 19 different types of gaps as observed by Tengjaroenkul et al, (2017).	34
Figure 20 ring chromosomes observed by Zhao et al, (2014).	34
Figure 21 clumping as observed by Zhang et al. (2010)	35
Figure 22 minutes observed by Bansal et al.(2015)	35
Figure 23 pulverization as observed by Ikeuchi, Weinfeld, and Sandberg (1972).	36
Figure 24 sediment arsenic concentration from all the study sites.	37
Figure 25: sediment mercury concentration from all the study sites	38
Figure 26 mercury tissue concentration of the <i>Fejervarya limnocharis</i> collected from all the sites	40

Figure 27 arsenic tissue concentration of the <i>Fejervarya limnocharis</i> collected from all the sites.	41
Figure 28 mercury tissue concentration of the <i>Fejervarya cancrivora</i> collected from all the sites	41
Figure 29 arsenic tissue concentration of the <i>Fejervarya cancrivora</i> collected from all the sites	42
Figure 30 the karyotype of <i>Fejervarya limnocharis</i> collected from the reference area where $2n = 26$ chromosomes are arranged according to the centromere arrangement	43
Figure 31 the karyotype of <i>Fejervarya cancrivora</i> collected from the reference area where $2n = 26$ chromosomes are arranged according to the centromere arrangement	43
Figure 32 a metaphase karyotype of <i>Fejervarya limnocharis</i> from site 1 showing centromere break at one of the metacentric 4th pairs and single chromatid break at the q- chromatid on one of the sub metacentric 7th pair.	44
Figure 33 a metaphase karyotype of <i>Fejervarya cancrivora</i> from site 1 showing a centromere break at the sub metacentric 7th pair	44
Figure 34 a metaphase karyotype of <i>Fejervarya limnocharis</i> from site 2 showing a centromere break at one of the 1st pair.	44
Figure 35 a metaphase karyotype of <i>Fejervarya cancrivora</i> from site 2 showing a single chromatid break at the q- chromatid on one of the sub metacentric 8th pair	45

Figure 36 the different types of chromosomal aberrations observed in metaphase spread of both the species from A till F.	45
Figure 37 total number of aberrations per site	46
Figure 38 percentage number of aberrations per site	47
Figure 39 percentage aberrations per species on the reference site.	48
Figure 40 percentage aberrations per species on site 1.	49
Figure 41 percentage aberrations per species on site 2.	49
Figure 42 a karyotype of one of the samples from the control	51
Figure 43 centromere breaks resulting in fragments	51
Figure 44 pulverised chromosomes observed	51
Figure 45 illustrated trend in the averaged total number of aberrations per trial.	53
Figure 46 illustrated percentage of aberrations per trial	54
Figure 47 Sample karyotype of the metaphase of <i>Hoplobatrachus rugulosus</i>	56
Figure 48 fragmented cells observed in the chronic exposure	57
Figure 49 minute chromosome observed in the chronic exposure.	57
Figure 50 ring chromosomes observed in the chronic exposure	57
Figure 51 clumping and pulverised chromosomes observed in chronic exposure.	57

Figure 52 the visualised change in trend of the aberrations for three concentrations of exposure for the 12th day.	62
Figure 53 the visualised change in trend of the aberrations for three concentrations of exposure for the 16th day.	63
Figure 54 the visualised change in trend of the aberrations for three concentrations of exposure for the 20th day.	64
Figure 55 the visualised change in trend of the aberrations over the three days of exposure for control.	65
Figure 56 the visualised trend of the aberrations over the three days of exposure for 1mg/l.	65
Figure 57 the visualised trend of the aberrations over the three days of exposure for 2mg/l.	66
Figure 58 ICP OES report of the sediment samples form the reference site.	96
Figure 59 ICP OES report of the sediment samples form site 1.	97
Figure 60 ICP OES report of the sediment samples form site 2.	98
Figure 61 ICP OES report of the frog tissue samples form the reference site. (FL)	99
Figure 62 ICP OES report of the frog tissue samples form the reference site. (FC)	100
Figure 63 ICP OES report of the frog tissue samples form site 1. (FC)	101
Figure 64 ICP OES report of the frog tissue samples form site 1. (FL)	102
Figure 65 ICP OES report of the frog tissue samples form site 2. (FC)	103



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 summery, total number of aberrations	46
Table 2 summery, percentage number of aberrations per site	47
Table 3 summery, percentage aberrations per species on the reference site.	48
Table 4 summery, percentage aberrations per species site 1.	49
Table 5 summery, percentage aberrations per species site 2.	49
Table 6 summery, the average total and the average percentage number of aberrations per trial of acute exposure	51
Table 7 summery, the total number of aberrations per trial per day.	59
Table 8 percentage aberrations observed in chronic exposure	60
Table 9 statistical analysis of the percentage aberrations against concentration and time.	67
Table 10 the concentration of arsenic and mercury in the sediment collected from the mines and the reference site	94
Table 11 the concentration of mercury and arsenic in the tissue of the frog collected from the mines and the reference site.	94
Table 12 the types of aberrations observed on the specimens in relation to the sites, the total aberrations per 100 cells in each animal, the number of cells with chromosomal aberrations, percentage aberrations, average percentage per species per site and the comparison of each site with the control.	95
Table 13 raw data sheets for the sites and the species for the field study	105

Table 14 average number of the total and the percentage aberrations pre trial	108
Table 15 raw data for the acute experiment.	109
Table 16 observed variations of aberrations from the chronic exposure to arsenic on the 12th day.	111
Table 17 observed variations of aberrations from the chronic exposure to arsenic on the 16th day.	112
Table 18 observed variations of aberrations from the chronic exposure to arsenic on the 20th day.	113
Table 19 raw data for the chronic experiment.	114

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved