

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	c
Abstract in Thai	d
Abstract in English	g
List of Tables	m
List of Figures	o
Statement of Originality in Thai	t
Statement of Originality in English	u
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Rationale	1
1.2 Research Objectives	3
Chapter 2 Literature review	4
2.1 Postharvest Quality	4
2.2 Precooling Method	6
2.3 Physico-chemical quality of lettuces	15
2.4 Application of artificial neural networks (ANNs) for fresh produces	18
Chapter 3 Materials and methods	21
3.1 Plant material	21

CONTENTS

	Page
3.2 Research Methods	21
3.2.1 Experiment 1: The study of optimum parameter conditions for baby cos lettuce under vacuum cooling	21
3.2.2 Experiment 2: Prediction of Baby cos lettuce final temperature and weight loss percentage using Artificial Neural Network (ANNs) and Multiple linear regression (MLR)	22
3.2.3 Experiment 3: Effect of vacuum cooling on qualities of baby cos lettuce during storage compare with the forced-air cooling and room cooling	25
3.2.4 Experiment 4: Rapid Determination of Lettuces Antioxidant Capacity by e-Tongue Based on Flow Injection Coulometry	30
Chapter 4 Results and Discussions	33
4.1 Experiment 1: The study of optimum parameter conditions for baby cos lettuce under vacuum cooling	33
4.2 Experiment 2: Prediction of Baby cos lettuce final temperature and weight loss percentage using Artificial Neural Network (ANNs) and Multiple linear regression (MLR)	39
4.3 Experiment 3: Effect of vacuum cooling on qualities of baby cos lettuce during storage compare with the forced-air cooling and room cooling	54
4.4 Experiment 4: Rapid Determination of Lettuces Antioxidant Capacity by e-Tongue based on Flow Injection Coulometry	79

CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter 5 Conclusion	87
References	89
List of publications	101
Appendix	102
Appendix A	103
Appendix B	111
Appendix C	116
Curriculum vitae	117

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLE

	Page
Table 2.1 Heat of respiration of produce at different temperatures	5
Table 2.2 Comparison of estimated cost and expected benefits related to cooling mangoes and maintaining the cold chain during handling, storage, transport and marketing.	6
Table 2.3 Chemicals compound of baby cos lettuce	16
Table 3.1 Rating scale for visual quality	29
Table 4.1 Vacuum cooling parameters for vacuum cooling process of baby cos lettuce	34
Table 4.2 Recommendation parameters setting for vacuum cooling of baby cos lettuce	36
Table 4.3 The optimum neurons in hidden layer for the prediction of final temperature	46
Table 4.4 The optimum neurons in hidden layer for the prediction of weight loss percentage	46
Table 4.5 Statistical characteristics and performance measurement of the developed MLR models for final temperature prediction	48
Table 4.6 Statistical characteristics and performance measurement of the developed MLR models for weight loss percentage prediction	49
Table 4.7 Performance criteria used for predicting final temperature by ANNs and MLR models	50
Table 4.8 Performance criteria used for predicting weight loss percentage by ANNs and MLR models	50
Table 4.9 Cooling parameters of difference precooling methods	56
Table 4.10 Weight loss, texture and overall visual quality of baby cos lettuce during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	61

LIST OF TABLE

	Page
Table 4.11 Chlorophyll content, carotenoid content and total soluble solids of baby cos lettuce during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	77
Table 4.12 Ascorbic acid, antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of baby cos lettuce during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	78
Table 4.13 Antioxidant capacity and sum of 16 peak areas Coularray (μC) of lettuce treated with different precooling methods	85
Table 4.14 Moisture and color parameters of lettuce treated with different precooling methods	85

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Room cooling	7
Figure 2.2 Forced horizontal air flow	9
Figure 2.3 Forced vertical air flow	10
Figure 2.4 Water Vapor Saturation Pressure Curve	12
Figure 2.5 Typical structure and basic principles of artificial neural networks	18
Figure 3.1 Architecture of ANNs selected for prediction of vacuum cooling process	23
Figure 3.2 Different precooling methods for baby cos lettuce	26
Figure 4.1 Relationship of pressure and temperature during vacuum cooling process of baby cos lettuce	38
Figure 4.2 Relationship of pressure and temperature during vacuum cooling process of baby cos lettuce	38
Figure 4.3 Comparison of ANNs performance for final temperature prediction with 5 input parameters and 1-30 neurons in hidden layer	40
Figure 4.4 The optimum number of hidden layer neurons for final temperature prediction with 5 input parameters	40
Figure 4.5 Comparison of ANNs performance for final temperature prediction with 4 input parameters and 1-30 neurons in hidden layer	41
Figure 4.6 The optimum number of hidden layer neurons for final temperature prediction with 4 input parameters	41
Figure 4.7 Comparison of ANNs performance for final temperature prediction with 3 input parameters and 1-30 neurons in hidden layer	42
Figure 4.8 The optimum number of hidden layer neurons for final temperature prediction with 3 input parameters	42

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 4.9	Comparison of ANNs performance for weight loss percentage prediction with 5 input parameters and 1-30 neurons in hidden layer	43
Figure 4.10	The optimum number of hidden layer neurons for weight loss percentage prediction with 5 input parameters	43
Figure 4.11	Comparison of ANNs performance for weight loss percentage prediction with 4 input parameters and 1-30 neurons in hidden layer	44
Figure 4.12	The optimum number of hidden layer neurons for weight loss percentage prediction with 4 input parameters	44
Figure 4.13	Comparison of ANNs performance for weight loss percentage prediction with 3 input parameters and 1-30 neurons in hidden layer	45
Figure 4.14	The optimum number of hidden layer neurons for weight loss percentage prediction with 3 input parameters	45
Figure 4.15	Relationship between actual and predicted value of final temperature using the best fit ANNs model	51
Figure 4.16	Relationship between actual and predicted value of weight loss percentage using the best fit ANNs model	51
Figure 4.17	Relationship between actual and predicted value of final temperature using the best fit MLR model	52
Figure 4.18	Relationship between actual and predicted value of weight loss percentage using the best fit MLR model	52
Figure 4.19	Cooling rate of difference precooling treatment of baby cos lettuces	56
Figure 4.20	Changes in visual quality of baby cos lettuce during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH (Dash line represents the limit of marketability)	62
Figure 4.21	L* value of baby cos lettuce leave during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	62

LIST OF FIGURES


	Page
Figure 4.22 Hue angle of baby cos lettuce leave during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	63
Figure 4.23 Chroma of baby cos lettuce leave during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	63
Figure 4.24 L* value of baby cos lettuce cut surface during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	64
Figure 4.25 Hue angle of baby cos lettuce cut surface during storage at 4 °C with 85% RH	64
Figure 4.26 Chroma of baby cos lettuce cut surface during storage at 4 °C with 85 % RH	65
Figure 4.27 Transmission electron microscope images of baby cos lettuce cell in control treatment at day 0 of storage	67
Figure 4.28 Transmission electron microscope images of baby cos lettuce cell after forced-air cooling	67
Figure 4.29 Transmission electron microscope images of baby cos lettuce cell after vacuum cooling treatment	68
Figure 4.30 Transmission electron microscope images of baby cos lettuce cell after oom cooling	68
Figure 4.31 Transmission electron microscope images of baby cos lettuce cell in control treatment after 13 days of storage	69

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page	
Figure 4.32	Transmission electron microscope images with higher magnification view of chloroplast in baby cos lettuce cell at day 0 of storage	69
Figure 4.33	Transmission electron microscope images with higher magnification view of precooled baby cos lettuce cell with forced-air cooling after 13 days of storage	70
Figure 4.34	Transmission electron microscope images of baby cos lettuce cell in control treatment after 13 days of storage	70
Figure 4.35	Transmission electron microscope images of precooled baby cos lettuce cell with forced-air cooling after 13 days of storage	71
Figure 4.36	Transmission electron microscope images of precooled baby cos lettuce cell with forced-air cooling after 13 days of storage	71
Figure 4.37	Transmission electron microscope images of precooled baby cos lettuce cell with room cooling after 13 days of storage	72
Figure 4.38	Transmission electron microscope images of precooled baby cos lettuce cell with vacuum cooling after 13 days of storage	72
Figure 4.39	Transmission electron microscope images of precooled baby cos lettuce with vacuum cooling after 13 days of storage	73
Figure 4.40	Raw data from the lettuce extract injection in 16 channel coulometric array detector poised from 100 to 850 mV, by step of 50 mV	80
Figure 4.41	Comparison of different extraction techniques. Cumulative peak area (μC) of 16 channels poised at 100-850 mV is shown.	81
Figure 4.42	Correlation graph of peak area at +400 mV by Coularray flow injection and antioxidant activity by traditional DPPH scavenging method.	82

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 4.43 Peak area at Coularray channel +400 mV, μC	83
Figure 4.44 Principal Component Analysis of lettuce extracts after various precooling treatments and seven day storage at 5°C (n=6).	86



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved