

Andrew Novak

Transnational Human Rights Litigation

Challenging the Death Penalty and
Criminalization of Homosexuality in the
Commonwealth



Springer

pb4
2880-

สำนักหอสมุดมหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

6/6476992
Q1242425
1 22385412

Andrew Novak

Transnational Human Rights Litigation

Challenging the Death Penalty
and Criminalization of Homosexuality
in the Commonwealth



Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Putting Lawyers into the Picture	1
1.2	Commonwealth Laws, Links, and Networks	3
1.3	The Organization of the Book	7
	References	9
2	Applying the Lens of Transnational Advocacy Networks to Human Rights Litigation	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Litigation, Norms, and Networks	12
2.3	Litigation as Norm Diffusion	18
2.4	Cause Lawyers as Norm Entrepreneurs	25
2.5	Conclusion	31
	References	32
3	Transnational Legal Citation as Method of Norm Diffusion	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Global Judicial Dialogue as Method of Norm Diffusion	40
3.3	Legal Advocates as Instrumental Actors in Transnational Legal Citation	47
3.4	A Role for the Global South	53
3.5	Conclusion	60
	References	61
4	Litigation and the Abolition of the Mandatory Death Penalty	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Abolition of the Death Penalty as Emerging International Norm	70
4.3	Commonwealth Death Penalty Litigation as Norm Diffusion	72
4.4	The Impact of Litigation on Death Penalty Norms	76
4.5	Diffusion of the Anti-mandatory Death Penalty Norm Through Litigation	83

4.6	The Harmonizing Effects of Transnational Death Penalty Jurisprudence	94
4.6.1	Kenya Supreme Court: <i>Muruatetu v. Attorney General</i> . . .	95
4.6.2	Caribbean Court of Justice: <i>Nervais and Severin v. Queen</i>	102
4.7	Conclusion	107
	References	109
5	Litigation and the Decriminalization of Homosexuality	115
5.1	Introduction	115
5.2	LGBT Rights as Norms in Diffusion	120
5.3	Toward a Commonwealth Strategy to Abolish Anti-sodomy Laws	126
5.4	The Transnational Judicial Dialogue and Anti-sodomy Laws	135
5.5	A Shift Toward the Global South?	146
5.5.1	Belize Supreme Court: <i>Orozco v. Attorney General</i>	149
5.5.2	India Supreme Court: From <i>Naz Foundation</i> to <i>Johar v. Union of India</i>	154
5.5.3	The Kenya and Botswana High Courts: <i>Gitari and Motshidiemang</i>	162
5.6	Conclusion	167
	References	168
6	Conclusion	171
6.1	The Case for Comparative Law	171
6.2	A Commonwealth Human Rights Strategy	173
	References	175
	Index	177