

CARRIE McDOUGALL

CAMBRIDGE  
STUDIES IN  
INTERNATIONAL  
AND  
COMPARATIVE  
LAW

# The Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

SECOND EDITION



CAMBRIDGE

สำนักหอสมุด มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

616587030

012538826

122569029

# The Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

---

Carrie McDougall  
*The University of Melbourne*



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

2425 19.0 '1

# Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	page xi
<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	xiii
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	xvii
<i>The Crime of Aggression Amendments</i>	xix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxvii
1 The Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: an Introduction	1
A Crime of Aggression at Last	1
The Early History of the Crime of Aggression	2
The Lead-Up to Rome	6
The Rome Conference	9
The Special Working Group on the Crime of Aggression	13
The Review Conference of the Rome Statute	24
The Activation of the ICC's Jurisdiction over the Crime of Aggression	32
The Approach of This Book	40
2 Criminalising Aggression	43
Misplaced Misgivings	43
A Prohibition of at Least Certain Forms of Inter-State Armed Violence	43
Desirability versus Possibility	49
Beyond an End to Impunity: the Significance of the Crime	69
International Politics and the Crime of Aggression	69
The Crime of Aggression and the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	75
Conflict over Aggression?	77

	A Chilling Effect	81
	Conclusion	83
3	An Act of Aggression: by Any Other Name	85
	The Distinction between Acts and Crimes	85
	Resolution 3314: a Poor Precedent	85
	New Nomenclature: Inter-State Armed Violence under the UN Charter	86
	The 3314 Definition: a Compromised Text	94
	Acts of Aggression and the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	106
	Security Council Practice	106
	General Assembly Practice	111
	Decisions of the International Court of Justice	113
	Conclusion: the Definition of an Act of Aggression for the Purposes of the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	119
	Article 8bis (2)	121
	Picking and Choosing	122
	Open or Closed	128
	In and Out	131
	Non-State Actors	131
	Cyber Operations and Emerging Weapons Technologies	138
	Understanding the Understandings	142
	The Status of the Understandings	142
	Understanding an 'Act of Aggression'	149
	Conclusion: Act of Aggression under Article 8bis (2)	152
4	The Elevation of Acts of Aggression to the State Act Element of the Crime of Aggression	154
	An Act of Aggression that by its Character, Gravity and Scale Constitutes a Manifest Violation of the Charter of the United Nations	154
	<i>Nullum crimen sine lege</i> and the Prohibition of Analogy	160
	The Most Serious Crimes of Concern to the International Community as a Whole	163
	Inconsistency with Customary International Law	168
	Making the ICC an Arbiter of the Grey Areas in the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	200

Article 31: Grounds for Excluding Criminal Responsibility	210
Conclusion	211
5 The Individual Conduct Elements of the Crime Effectively Exercising Control Over or Directing the Political or Military Action of a State	213
The 'Leadership' Requirement	214
The Relevance of Article 28	233
The Perpetrator's Contribution	234
The Mental Elements	239
Article 32: Mistake of Fact or Mistake of Law	246
The Relevance of Article 33	248
Modes of Participation	249
Third State Officials	250
Can You Attempt to Commit a Crime of Aggression?	252
Conclusion	256
6 The Court's Jurisdiction Over the Crime of Aggression	258
A Regime Consistent with International Law	259
Does the UN Charter Give the Security Council a Prerogative to Identify Acts of Aggression?	262
The Council's Pre-emptive Right to Identify Acts of Aggression	263
The Council's Right to Identify Acts of Aggression is a Primary One	266
Omitting a Role for the Council Would Raise a Conflict under Article 103	267
The Council's Prerogative Does Not Extend to International Criminal Law	268
Other Entities Have the Ability to Identify Acts of Aggression	273
Article 103 is No Barrier to Independent ICC Identification of Acts of Aggression	283
Policy Arguments Against a Role for the Council in State Referrals or <i>proprio motu</i> Investigations	283
Conclusion	287
The Jurisdictional Regime of the ICC	288
Entry into Force: the Slow Burn Issue	291
The Dilemma: Article 121(4) or Article 121(5)?	291
The Second Sentence of Article 121(5)	297
The Negative Interpretation and Non-States Parties	301

The Exclusions under Article 15 <i>bis</i>	302
The Article 5(2) Reference	305
The Article 12 Reference	306
The Rationale of the Opt-Out Declaration	307
A Comparison with Article 15 <i>bis</i> (5)	310
The Absence of an Understanding	311
A Comparison with the Assembly's Approach to Article 121(5) in the Context of Other Amendments	312
Article 15 <i>bis</i> : an Interpretation	313
The Effect of the Activation Resolution	323
The Applicability of Article 12(3)	335
The When and What of Art. 15 <i>bis</i> (4) Declarations	337
Security Council Determinations	339
What Amounts to a Security Council Determination?	340
Does a 'Negative Determination' Have Any Effect?	342
The Pre-Trial Division Filter	343
What is the Relationship between Article 15 <i>bis</i> and Article 15?	345
Article 16	347
Security Council Referrals	348
Conclusion	351
7 Prosecuting Crimes of Aggression	353
Prosecuting a Crime of Aggression before the ICC	353
Judicial Elections and Staffing the Court	354
Victims of Crimes of Aggression	357
Cooperation in the Investigation and Prosecution of Crimes of Aggression	369
Domestic Prosecutions	374
Domestic Prosecutions under the Aggression Amendments	374
Bases of Domestic Jurisdiction	378
Immunities	385
Other Potential Barriers to Domestic Prosecutions of Crimes of Aggression	389
Conclusion	392
Review of the Amendments	393
Conclusion: a Crime in Name Only?	398
<i>References</i>	403
<i>Index</i>	453