

# Contents

Contributors	xiii
About the authors	xvii
Acknowledgment	xxix
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Corinne Mulley and John D. Nelson</i>	
1.1 Urban form and accessibility	1
1.2 The book's chapters	2
1.3 The influence of COVID-19	7
1.4 Future research	9
1.5 Concluding remarks	10
References	11
<b>2 Cities, their form, and accessibility</b>	<b>13</b>
<i>Mark W. Horner</i>	
2.1 Why cities?	13
2.2 Travel modes and cities	14
2.3 What is accessibility?	14
2.4 Accessibility, land use, and transportation connections	16
2.5 Urban sprawl: The challenges of suburbs	18
2.6 What is transit-oriented development?	19
2.7 Future research and challenges in accessibility: Autonomous vehicles	20
2.8 Accessibility going forward	21
References	22
<b>3 Sustainable transport planning and residential segregation at the city scale</b>	<b>27</b>
<i>Duncan A. Smith and Joana Barros</i>	
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Compact cities, gentrification, and residential segregation	28
3.3 Densification and sustainable travel in London	29
3.4 London's housing affordability crisis and residential segregation	33
3.4.1 Housing tenure and occupational class	38

3.5	<b>Planning policy interventions for a more equitable compact city</b>	39
3.5.1	Public transport affordability measures	39
3.5.2	Affordable housing delivery	40
3.5.3	Orbital public transport development	40
3.6	<b>Conclusions</b>	41
	<b>References</b>	43
4	<b>Governance, mobility, and the urban form</b>	45
	<i>Wijnand Veeneman</i>	
4.1	<b>Introduction</b>	45
4.2	<b>What is governance?</b>	46
4.3	<b>Agency and its distribution</b>	49
4.4	<b>Markets and government</b>	51
4.5	<b>Centralized versus decentralized</b>	55
4.6	<b>Coordination in fragmentation</b>	56
4.7	<b>Conclusion</b>	57
	<b>References</b>	59
5	<b>Emerging mobility technologies and transitions of urban space allocation in a Nordic governance context</b>	63
	<i>Miloš N. Mladenović and Dominic Stead</i>	
5.1	<b>Introduction</b>	63
5.2	<b>Scenarios on emerging mobility technologies and implications for urban space allocation</b>	64
5.2.1	Business as usual (BAU)	65
5.2.2	Technology+non-shared (T)	65
5.2.3	Technology+shared (T+)	66
5.2.4	Technology+shared+infrastructure/policy (T++)	66
5.3	<b>Methodology</b>	66
5.3.1	Methodological framework	66
5.3.2	Otaniemi case description	68
5.4	<b>Results</b>	69
5.4.1	PESTLE analysis	69
5.4.2	Resulting scenarios	71
5.4.3	Focus group discussion with transport planners	73
5.5	<b>Discussion of envisioning results and governance implications</b>	74
5.5.1	The complexity of implications from and for emerging technology	74
5.5.2	Networked and responsible governing of the technological emergence	75
5.6	<b>Conclusion</b>	78
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	79
	<b>References</b>	80

<b>6</b>	<b>Urban form and travel behavior: The interplay with residential self-selection and residential dissonance</b>	<b>83</b>
	<i>Veronique Van Acker</i>	
6.1	Introduction	83
6.2	Background	84
6.2.1	Residential self-selection	84
6.2.2	Residential dissonance	85
6.3	Research design	86
6.3.1	Data set	86
6.3.2	Dependent variables: Public transport and bike use	87
6.3.3	Key independent variables	88
6.4	Results	93
6.5	Conclusions	103
	Acknowledgments	103
	References	104
<b>7</b>	<b>Making place in the car-dependent city</b>	<b>107</b>
	<i>Courtney Babb</i>	
7.1	Introduction	107
7.2	Situating place in utopian visions of the city	108
7.3	Making place: From street protests to placemaking	111
7.4	Place and placemaking in three urban contexts	112
7.4.1	Mixed-use streets	113
7.4.2	Transit stations	114
7.4.3	Neighborhoods	115
7.5	Conclusion	117
	References	119
<b>8</b>	<b>Active accessibility and transit-oriented development: Connecting two sides of the same coin</b>	<b>123</b>
	<i>David S. Vale</i>	
8.1	Introduction	123
8.2	Station areas and active accessibility	125
8.2.1	Active accessibility measures	126
8.3	TOD, active accessibility, and autonomous individuals	127
8.4	Integration of PT and active travel in Lisbon	129
8.4.1	Bicycle and rail integration	130
8.4.2	Shared mobility services and rail	131
8.5	Conclusion	134
	References	137

<b>9</b>	<b>Urban form and walkable environments</b>	<b>141</b>
	<i>Danielle Sinnett and Katie Williams</i>	
9.1	Introduction	141
9.2	Relationships between the walking environment and walking, health and well-being	143
9.2.1	Relationship between urban form and walking	143
9.2.2	Importance of perceptions of neighborhood quality and safety	148
9.2.3	Walkability, and health and well-being outcomes	150
9.3	Discussion and conclusion	151
	References	154
<b>10</b>	<b>The potential for telecommuting to offer sustainable and resilient accessibility</b>	<b>157</b>
	<i>Hannah Budnitz, Emmanouil Tranos, and Lee Chapman</i>	
10.1	Introduction	157
10.2	Telecommuting in time and space	159
10.3	Broadband as an access alternative	161
10.4	Telecommuting capability and consequences for nonwork activities	164
10.5	Conclusion	167
	References	168
<b>11</b>	<b>School location, urban structure, and accessibility</b>	<b>173</b>
	<i>Yiping Yan and Matthew Burke</i>	
11.1	Introduction	173
11.2	Education policies and their effects on school geographies	175
11.3	New research findings on the effects of private schools	179
11.4	Implications for policy and practice	182
	References	184
<b>12</b>	<b>Built environment and health</b>	<b>187</b>
	<i>Rania Wasfi and Yan Kestens</i>	
12.1	Introduction	187
12.2	What is the built environment and urban form?	188
12.3	Links between urban changes and the role of "place" in public health	189
12.4	Theoretical frameworks, pathways, and relationships between built environment characteristics and health	190
12.4.1	Theoretical frameworks	190
12.4.2	Causal pathways and relationships between built environment characteristics and health	192

12.5	<b>Empirical findings linking built environment to health</b>	194
12.5.1	Built environment and travel behavior	194
12.5.2	Built environment and physical activity	195
12.5.3	Built environment, exposure to food environments, diet, and obesity	196
12.6	<b>Methodological challenges and developments</b>	197
12.6.1	Limits of residential-based exposure methods and new approaches	197
12.6.2	Causal pathways, estimation biases, and critics of lack of causal inference	198
12.6.3	The importance of natural experiments	199
12.7	<b>Conclusion</b>	199
	<b>References</b>	200
13	<b>Transport, access, and health</b>	207
	<i>Jennifer Kent</i>	
13.1	<b>Introduction</b>	207
13.2	<b>How does transport influence health?</b>	207
13.2.1	Transport-related collisions and injuries	208
13.2.2	Transport and climate change	208
13.2.3	Transport and respiratory illness	209
13.2.4	Transport and physical activity	209
13.2.5	Transport, stress, and community	210
13.2.6	Travel time and health	211
13.2.7	Transport and equity	211
13.2.8	An alternative view on the links between the private car and health	212
13.2.9	So, what is a healthy transport system?	212
13.3	<b>Urban form for healthy transport</b>	213
13.3.1	Healthy urban fabrics	213
13.3.2	Taming private car fabrics for healthier transport networks	215
13.4	<b>Conclusion</b>	219
	<b>References</b>	219
14	<b>Public transport equity outcomes through the lens of urban form</b>	223
	<i>Geneviève Boisjoly and Ahmed El-Geneidy</i>	
14.1	<b>Introduction</b>	223
14.2	<b>Urban form, public transport, and social equity</b>	224
14.2.1	Postwar urban structure, public transport, and poverty	224
14.2.2	Public transport, employment, and social inclusion	224
14.2.3	Urban sprawl and forced car ownership	227

14.3	Principles behind transport equity	227
14.4	Measuring public transport equity	229
14.4.1	What is measured?	229
14.4.2	How is equity assessed?	231
14.5	Conclusion	237
	References	238
<b>15</b>	<b>Urban expansion and mobility on the periphery in the global South</b>	<b>243</b>
	<i>Christoffel Venter, Anjali Mahendra, and Nahungu Lionjanga</i>	
15.1	Introduction	243
15.2	Urban expansion in the global South	244
15.2.1	New analysis of upward and outward growth patterns in cities	244
15.2.2	Key drivers of peripheralization	247
15.3	Impacts of unmanaged urban expansion on mobility and access	249
15.4	Diversity and mobility at the Gauteng periphery	250
15.4.1	Context of the Gauteng city region	250
15.4.2	Characterizing the periphery	254
15.4.3	Car use on the periphery: The costs of being forced to drive?	258
15.5	Conclusions	260
	Acknowledgments	261
	References	261
<b>16</b>	<b>Who gains in a distance-based public transport fare scheme? Accessibility, urban form, and equity implications in Santiago, Chile</b>	<b>265</b>
	<i>Ignacio Tiznado-Aitken, Juan Carlos Muñoz, and Ricardo Hurtubia</i>	
16.1	Introduction	265
16.2	Accessibility, affordability, urban form, and equity: A brief review focusing on Latin American settings	266
16.3	Case study setting of Santiago, Chile	269
16.4	Methods	272
16.4.1	Who benefits from a distance-based fare scheme?	272
16.4.2	How much does a distance-based fare affect the accessibility levels?	273
16.5	Findings	274
16.6	Discussion and further research	280
	References	284

<b>17</b>	<b>Urban form and public transport design</b>	<b>289</b>
	<i>Seungjae Lee and Madiha Benckri</i>	
17.1	Background	289
17.2	Urban form and public transport	290
17.2.1	Urban form	290
17.2.2	Connections between urban form and transport	290
17.2.3	Transport and urban streets	293
17.2.4	Transport design revolution	294
17.3	Public transport design	296
17.3.1	Introduction	296
17.3.2	Public transport network design	298
17.3.3	Sustainable public transport design	300
17.4	Conclusion	301
	References	302
<b>18</b>	<b>Innovative financial mechanisms for transport infrastructure in time of crisis: The case of London Crossrail</b>	<b>307</b>
	<i>Luca Cocconcelli and Francesca Medda</i>	
18.1	Introduction	307
18.2	Literature review	309
18.3	The Crossrail case study	311
18.4	London Crossrail case study: BRS progressive scheme and revenue municipal bond savings	313
18.5	Conclusions	323
	References	324
<b>19</b>	<b>Dispersion of agglomeration through high-speed rail in China</b>	<b>327</b>
	<i>Wanli Fang, Yunhan Zheng, Mi Diao, and Jinhua Zhao</i>	
19.1	Introduction	327
19.2	Literature review	328
19.3	Data and methods	333
19.3.1	Parameters and data	333
19.3.2	Model specification	335
19.4	Estimation results	341
19.4.1	Economic effect estimation of HSR from 2001 to 2010	341
19.4.2	Economic effect estimation of HSR from 2012 to 2015	351
19.5	Conclusions	353
	References	355

<b>20</b>	<b>City logistics and the urban environment</b>	<b>359</b>
	<i>Michael G.H. Bell</i>	
20.1	Introduction	359
20.2	Link and place	361
20.3	Urban consolidation centers and microhubs	364
20.4	Omnichannel retail	365
20.5	Construction logistics	367
20.6	Co-modality	369
20.7	The circular economy and reverse logistics	370
20.8	Sustainable city logistics	372
20.9	Conclusions	375
	Acknowledgments	376
	References	376
<b>21</b>	<b>Accessibility, land use models, and modeling</b>	<b>379</b>
	<i>Daniel Engelberg, He He, Diem-Trinh Le, and P. Christopher Zegras</i>	
21.1	Introduction	379
21.2	Accessibility	380
	21.2.1 Dimensions of accessibility measures	380
	21.2.2 Types of accessibility measures	381
	21.2.3 Accessibility and urban form	385
21.3	Accessibility in model integration: A brief history	385
21.4	Methods	387
21.5	Findings	398
	21.5.1 State-of-the-art	398
	21.5.2 State-of-the-practice	400
21.6	Conclusions	403
	References	404
	Index	411